

# COLLECTION OF THE Primitive Fathers.

Giving Testimony of the  
Faith once deliver'd to the Saints.

BEING

A Full Discovery of the Sentiments of the *Ancient Fathers*  
in the Chief Points of Controversie at present under Debate.

WITH AN

## APPENDIX

Containing the Testimonies of many Eminent *Fathers*  
bearing witness to have taught, Practis'd, and Maintain'd  
those Articles, to which they are here produc'd Above.

By *St. Chrysostom*.

D. Paul. ad Hebr. 12.

*Idcirco & Nos tantum habemus unguistam Nobis Testimonium*  
*patientiam curamus ad propositionem nobis certamen.*

Sermon at the Visit of Bish. of Norw. by S. Crispe, p. 6.

This will oblige us not to rest in our own Private Judgements for the  
Sense of Scripture, but to take the Concurrence Sense of the Catholic  
Church in the First and Purest Ages of it, which may reasonably  
presum'd the most Authoritative and Creditable Witnesses of the  
real Faith and Practice.

Printed by W. Baskin.

London: Printed by W. Baskin.

23012

Carro

6124

A.S.O.

ELT 10

*[Faint mirrored bleed-through from the reverse side of the page]*



---

---

THE  
PREFACE.



Novelty in Faith is so great a Scandal to any that lay claim to the Truth of Christianity, that wheresoever 'tis effectually prov'd, there all Pretences immediately fall to the ground, and there can be no more of Truth in that Society, besides the Noise of it, and the Name. This all Pretenders to Orthodox Christianity are so sensible of, that they make choice of no more serviceable Engine, for the total Overthrow of Antagonists, than to prove Novelty against them, and that their Doctrin is not from Christ, but of a more Modern Date. Thus the Church of Rome is oppos'd with the Charge of Novelty, with Endeavours to shew, that several Articles of her Faith are not of Christ, but the Inventions of Men. The same Engine is play'd upon the Church of England; and she charg'd with Novelty, for denying those very Articles, which the Church of Rome is accus'd of Novelty for believing. And thus Novelty, Novelty is urg'd on both Sides, with mutual Heats, and mutual Endeavors of defeating each other, and the surer Establishment of themselves. And now amidst these violent Charges of Novelty, there cannot be, methinks, a more commendable Curiosity, than to look back into the Primitive Times, and take a Prospect of the past Ages of our Forefathers, and upon enquiry into their Belief, examine what Doctrin now profess'd in these our Days, agrees with theirs;

## The Preface.

*and what stands at defiance with them. Were it allowable and practicable, with the Woman of Endor, to disturb the Rest of those that sleep, calling the Ancient Fathers from their Graves, to demand the Solution of some Questions; we might soon be satisfied in this Point: But Christians cannot follow so unwarrantable an Example; we must be content to let alone their Tombs, and only look into the Monuments of their Writings, and from thence learn what was the Sentiment of their Thoughts, what their Judgment, what their Belief; when as yet alive: There will be no necessity of proposing any Questions; for in Them we may find our Queries already propos'd and answer'd. And now if amongst these Ancient Monuments, we meet with but few of the First Two or Three Centuries, we must rest contented, and only complain of the violent Persecutions and Tyrants of those Times, which gave but few leisure to write, or at least permitted not their Writings to out-live their Authors. We must be satisfied, to ask of those that follow'd them; we must enquire of the Disciples, what their Masters taught; hear from the Children, what was the Faith of their Fathers; and let the Fourth Century inform us, what was the Belief of those that went before them. If they have left but little, whereby they speak to us themselves, let it be sufficient to hear those at large, who were Witnesses of their Doctrine, were instructed by their Example, and have deliver'd to us what they receiv'd from them. Of These, and of the following Ages, God be prais'd, there is no small number, whose Works are every where to be seen; such, who in all Ages have been esteem'd Venerable; and tho' not suppos'd to have had the Assistance of the Divine Spirit, like the Evangelists; yet in their concurring Testimonies, always look'd on as unquestionable Witnesses of the Doctrine and Faith of the Church in their Times: Men of that Exemplar Piety (as Dr. Stillingfleet renders them) of those great Abilities,*

## The Preface.

Abilities, of that excellent Conduct and Magnanimity, as set them above the Contempt or Reproach of any, but Infidels and Apostates.

'Tis true, it cannot be denied, but many things in the Ancient Fathers are Obscure; their Names have been prefix'd to Books, of which they were never the Authors; Additions have been made to some of their Writings, besides the divers Mistakes of Transcribers in the publishing their Works. But what then? All this, and more, may be with Truth affirm'd of the Sacred Volume of the Bible, whose Authority notwithstanding stands firm and unshaken; and in spite of all such like Objections, is the Pure Fountain of Life. I pretend not here to make Comparisons; but in all Humility and Respect, only so far make use of This, as without derogation may serve to ease this Difficulty. Which too of it self will not be very great to any one that has perus'd the following Authorities, when he shall find, that Those here made use of, are generally Clear, and free from Ambiguity; not Scraps, or imperfect Sentences, but fully speaking the Mind of the Writers; taken out of such Books, whose Authors are either not doubted of, or at least not wanting sufficient Grounds to prove them the Products of those whose Names they bear. I know, some are call'd in question; but 'tis upon such Grounds, that if once allow'd as Just, there will be scarce a Book extant in the World whose Author may not be reasonably doubted.

'Twill be too long for a Preface, to examine This in particular; and very unnecessary to treat of a Thing, which has been learnedly handled by so many Eminent Pens; to whom I must refer the Reader at present. 'Tis sufficient for my purpose to mind him, that every Point here touch'd in the following Papers, is maintain'd and supported by so many Authorities of Historians and Fathers, that in case any thing of weight could be produc'd against any Two or Three  
of

## The Preface.

of them, yet would the Whole stand Firm and Secure, and be only like a Wall, which with a Stone or two taken out of it, may be inconsiderably prejudic'd indeed, but without any danger of falling.

I expose therefore the following Monuments of Antiquity to the Publick; which however obnoxious to the Caviels of some, yet, I am confident, when Criticks have done their worst, can never be so lessen'd in their Authority, but to all Steddy, Judicious Persons they will be still a convincing Argument of the Doctrin of our Fore-fathers, and a Test, whereby to examine, how far each Point under Debate may be truly stamp'd with Novelty.

+ To suspect them in any of the following Points, wherein so many of them agree, speaking, as it were, with one Mouth; to suspect them in things, for which Antiquity never question'd them, which no Church or Prelates then in being, or succeeding, ever censur'd or condemn'd them; to suspect them in things, which never any Man yet, in all the intervening Tears, has Preach'd against, or publicly disown'd, but what has been branded as an Innovator; to suspect them, I say, in These Points, is to proceed with Passion rather than Reason, and to pass Sentence, not according to the Merits of the Thing, but as the Cause and Party require.

Had Christ or his Apostles foretold us of a total Eclipse his Church was to suffer for a Thousand Years; that the Assistance of the Holy Ghost was to be wanting to the Faithful, their Overseers and Prelates, from the Year 500, to 1500; and that in all this time there was to be neither Reason, nor Zeal, nor Knowledge, nor Conscienc, nor Care of Salvation in any of the Believers, for them nor their Children; I should then with ease begin to suspect the Corruption both of Faith and Fathers in this miserable time, and receive neither the one nor the other, but upon a Good Reformation. But since I find Christ's Promise of the Holy Spirit to his Church,

## The Preface.

*Church, to have no other Limitation besides the End of the World, and that 'tis to continue till then, all days, without interruption, I can look on those Ten Centuries of Sleep and Corruption in the Church, to be no more than a Dream of the Reporters; and cannot think of defaming the Belief of that time, and rejecting the Volumes of the Fathers for so many Libels, till I have better Grounds than the Suspensions of those, whose Interest it is to have 'em thought no better.*

*Having allow'd therefore, that some Texts of the Fathers are ambiguous, and that in some places, if taken alone, and separate from the Context, they seem to favour Opinions quite different from those, which they are here quoted to maintain: Yet I must upon good Consideration affirm, That if those Places are examin'd with due relation to what goes before and follows; if respect be had to the Design and Occasion of the Fathers Discourses; if their Expressions and ways of speaking familiar to them, be rightly consider'd; if other Places be weigh'd, wherein they design'dly treat of that Subject; if the Obscure Texts be expounded by Others that are Plain and Clear, and not, on the contrary, the Clear ones expounded by the Obscure; if these Rules, I say, be observ'd, there's scarce any thing of the Fathers, but what will be free from Ambiguity; and no danger of their abetting any other Doctrin, besides That which in the following Papers they professedly and evidently own.*

*Remember therefore, Judicious Reader, that in the following Authorities, Antiquity and the Ancient Fathers speak; they inform you what was the Faith and Profession of those Primitive Times; they declare to you what Doctrin was then reputed Orthodox, and by their vigorous and zealous Opposition let you know, what Opinions they thought to be no better than Antichristian. They spent their Lives in the Study of Christianity; their whole Business was the Reading the Scriptures, and expounding them according to  
the*

## The Preface.

the Tradition receiv'd from the Apostles; Many of them lost their Liberty and Lives for Christ's sake: And if these are of no credit with you, let me know whom you can trust, when you have rejected these. When the Holy Fathers are disesteem'd by you, and reputed as Erroneous, I would willingly know whose Judgment you can rely on, whether your own, or which of your Teachers. When the Fathers lie under the Scandal, of not to be trusted in what they deliver, I think there's none that presumes to teach, but must of necessity be suspected. Receive therefore these, and give ear to them as they deserve: They speak here both Latin and English. \*The Latin is out of such Editions as are most Authentick; and for the English, I hope you will find nothing in it but the Sense of the Original: The Translator is not conscious of being influenc'd either by Passion or Party in the Performance; and if it vary any thing from the Mind of the Authors, he assures you 'twas Mistake, and not Design.

\* But let the reader observe that 22 of the chief Authorities wrote in Greek, not in Latin. He must therefore consult the Greek Original before he can depend on the Latin Version since by this Author.

---

The Church of Rome has officially declared that the Fathers are not for her, for then are few of them whom she has not plac'd in the Index expurgatorius. where we find Romish Writers censur'd for referring to places adverse to Popish doctrines.

A CATA-



# A CATALOGUE OF

Councils, Fathers, Historians, Authors, &c.  
*mention'd in the Following Collection; With  
the Time of their Being.*

<b>A</b> Adrianus I. P.	An. 787	Damascenus.	An. 740
Ambrosius.	387	Dionysius Areop.	93
Anacletus P.	110	<i>D. Alexandrinus 15</i>	
Anicetus P.	173	Ephesin. Conc. Oecum.	430
Arnobius.	316	Epiphanius.	397
Asterius Amas. Ep.	390	Ephrem Cyr.	368
Athanasius.	363	Eusebius Cæsar.	330
Augustinus. 2, 5, 6, 9.	420	Eusebius Emisl.	350
Basilius.	369	Fulgentius.	523
Beda.	725	Florentinum Conc.	1055
Cælestinus I. P.	422	Gaudentius Brixienf.	408
Chalced. Conc. Oecum.	451	Germanus B. Constan.	740
Chrysostomus. 16	393	Gregorius Nyssenus.	385
Constant. Conc. Oecum.	381	Gregorius Nazianz.	379
Cyprianus. 2 & 10, 11, 12.	248	Gregorius M.	594
Cyrillus Alex.	434	Gregorius II. P.	731
Cyrillus Hieros.	376		

# A Catalogue of Councils, Fathers, &c.

Hieronymus.	An. 410	Philippus Presb.	An. 446
Hilarius.	357	Proclus P. Constan.	436
		Prudentius.	395
Ignatius Mart.	98		
Jonas Aurel.	832	Rusticus Diac.	538
Irenæus. /3	191		
Isidorus Hispal.	626	Sardicus Conc.	347
Isidorus Pelus.	421	Sedulius.	420
Justinus Mart.	151	Simeon Stylit.	450
Justinianus Imp.	556	Simeon Thessalon.	1030
		Socrates.	430
Leo M.	451	Sophronius Hier. Patr.	627
Leontius Neap. Ep.	610	Sozomenus.	430
		Synodus Quini-sex.	692
Maximus Mart.	292		
		Tertullianus. 7. 6	205
Nicenum Conc. Oecum.	325	Theodoretus.	450
Nicenum 2. Conc.	785	Theophylact. Simocat.	602
Nilus Ab.	430	Tridentinum Conc.	1546
Optatus Mil. /3	360	Valentianus Imp.	364
Origen.	244	Victor P.	201
		Victor Vitenfis.	427
Papias.	108	Vincentius Lyr.	424
Paulinus.	421		
Petrus Chrys.	439	Xyftus.	140



---

A N  
I N D E X  
OF THE  
Principal Matters in this Collection.

---

Against the Donatists,

**T**He Holy Fathers teach, That in the Church of Christ  
Militant are both Good and Wicked Men. That her  
Faith remains Pure and Uncorrupt, notwithstanding the  
Sins of Her Corrupt Members. Page 2

That the Catholick Church cannot fail, as being assisted by  
the Holy Ghost. p. 6

That to break the Unity of the Catholick Church, upon any  
Pretext whatever, is to be guilty of Schism: And He  
that obstinately continues in such a Sin, can have no hopes  
of Salvation. p. 10

That Christ built his Church upon Peter. The Bishop of  
Rome is the Successor of St. Peter; His See the Center  
of Catholick Communion: and to separate from it, is to  
make a Schism. p. 22

Against the Gnosticks, Marcionits, and Valentinians,

The Holy Fathers teach, That the Traditions of the Carbo-  
lick Church are to be receiv'd, and Her Constitutions and  
Practises

## An Index, &c.

*Practices not to be rejected, tho' not found expressly in  
Scripture.* Pag. 48

### Against Vigilantius,

*The Holy Fathers teach, That there's an Honour due to  
the Saints in Heaven, and that 'tis commendable to ob-  
serve some Days in Memory of them.* p. 63

*That the Invocation of Saints in Heaven is Profitable and  
Convenient.* p. 67

*That there's a Respect and Veneration due to their Reliques.*  
p. 74

### Against Aërius.

*The Holy Fathers teach, That Praying for the Souls De-  
parted is advantageous to them, and was the Practice of  
the Primitive Church.* p. 85

### Against Berengarius,

*The Holy Fathers teach, That in the Blessed Sacrament is  
contain'd the True, Real, Substantial Body of Christ:  
the Substance of the Bread and Wine being converted  
into the True Substance of Christ's Body and Blood.*  
p. 99, 109

### Against the Iconoclasts,

*The Fathers teach, That the Making the Sign of the Cross,  
the Keeping Images of Christ and his Saints, was the  
Practice of the Primitive Church.* p. 154

---

NUBES TESTIUM:  
OR, A  
COLLECTION  
OF THE  
**Primitive Fathers.**

---

*Of the Donatists.*

**I**N the Year of *Christ* Three hundred and six, being the First of *Constantine the Great*, a Schism and Heretic began, which from *Donatus* Bishop of *Carthage*, a principal Abettor and Patron of it, was call'd the Heretic of the *Donatists*. They taught, That the Catholick Church, which till that time had been spread over the whole World, being infected by the Vices and Errors of some Prelates and other wicked Men, quite fail'd, and was no where to be found but in *Africa*, where *Donatus* was Bishop. For the more plausible Defence of this their Doctrine, they made use of that place in the *Canticles*, (c. 1.) *Indica mihi, quem diligit anima mea, ubi pascas, ubi cubes in Meridie*: Tell me, O thou whom my Soul loveth, where thou feedest, where thou liest at Noon. Persuading their Followers, that this Text did clearly demonstrate their Country to be the only Seat of the Catholick Church, because *Africa* was the Southern part of the World, and plac'd under the *Meridian*. They maintain'd many other erroneous

(2)  
no!

† 3)

Tenets, and were condemn'd first in a Synod at *Rome*, in the Year 313. under *Melchisedes* Pope: and the next Year again in the Council at *Arles*, under *Sylvester*, where Sentence was pass'd against them, and they declar'd Hereticks by Two hundred Bishops. They were afterwards restrain'd by the Edicts of several Christian Emperors, *Constantine*, *Constant*, *Valentinian*, *Gratian*, *Theodosius*, and *Honorius*. The History of their Rise, Progress, wicked Principles, and Practises, is writ by *Optatus Milevitanus*, and *St. Augustin*; and their several Heretodox Opinions oppos'd by the same Learned Fathers, and others, who writ against them in defence of the Catholick Faith.

In Opposition to one of the Errors of the *Donatists*,

*The Fathers maintain, That in the Church of Christ there are both Good and Wicked Men: That her Faith remains Pure and Uncorrupted, notwithstanding the Sins of her corrupt Members; and that their Wickedness is not sufficient Motive for any to desert her Communion.*

*S. Cyprian Ep. 51. ad Confess. ex Schism. revers.* For altho' (says he) there are Tares in the Church, yet this ought not to diminish our Faith or Charity: that because we behold Tares in the Church, we should for that reason desert her. We only are to take care, that we grow up Corn, that when the Harvest of Christ shall be carried in, we may receive the fruit of our industry and labour. The Apostle says in his Epistle, (2 Tim. 2.) In a great House there are not only Vessels of Gold and Silver, but also of Wood and Clay; some Vessels of Honour, and some of

*S. Cypr. Ep. 51. ad Confessores ex Schismate reversos.* Nam etsi (inquit) videntur in Ecclesia esse zizania, non tamen impedi debet aut Fides, aut Charitas nostra; ut quoniam zizania esse in Ecclesia cernimus, ipsi de Ecclesia recedamus. Nobis tantummodo laborandum est, ut frumentum esse possimus; ut cum cæperit frumentum Dominicis horreis condi, fructum pro opere nostro & labore capiamus. Apostolus in Epistola sua dicit, (2 Tim. 2.) In domo autem magna non solum vasa sunt aurea & argentea, sed & lignea, & fictilia, & quædam quidem honorata, quædam vero inbo-

quid ad rem?

*inhonorata. Nos operam demus, & quantum possumus, laboremus, ut vas aureum, vel argenteum simus.*

Hoc S. Cypriani testimonium contra Donatistas urget S. Augustinus, l. 3. cont. Crescon. c. 34. 45. & 36. ipsum sic alloquens. *Nolite calumniari separati, & ad Ecclesiam redite correcti. Cecilianum & Socios ejus, contra quos tunc Secundus Tigrisitanus contraxit, condiditque Concilium, necesse est tibi accusare, mihi non est necesse defendere. Accusa eos quantis viribus potes. Si innocentes fuerunt, nihil eis tanquam frumentis obervit ventositas tua: si nocentes fuerunt, non debuerunt propter illam zizaniam frumenta deserui, quibus nihil obfuerunt. Accusa quantum potes: vinco si non probas: vinco, si probas. Vinco, inquam, si non probas, iudice te ipso: vinco, si probas, teste Cypriano. Quid eos vis fuisse? Si innocentes, cur frumentis Dominicis, cum sitis zizania, calumniamini? Si nocentes, cur a frumentis Dominicis, propter zizania, separamini? Extat Ecclesia cunctis clara atque conspicua, quippe Civitas, quæ abscondi non potest super montem constituta, per quam dominatur Christus, a mari usque ad mare, & a flumine usque ad terminos Orbis terræ, tanquam semen Abrahamæ multiplicatum sicut stellæ cæli, & si-*

Dishonour. Let us endeavour, and strive with all our industry, that we may become Vessels either of Gold or Silver.

*This Testimony of St. Cyprian is urg'd against the Donatists by St. Augustin, l. 3. cont. Cresc. c. 34. 45. & 36.* While you are separated from the Church, do not raise Scandals against her, but return into her bosom full of Repentance. You are oblig'd to accuse Cecilianus and his Adherents, against whom Secundus Tigrisitanus fram'd a Council; but I have no necessity of speaking in their behalf. Accuse them as fiercely as you can. If they were innocent, your blowing against them will do them no injury, as being good Corn: If they were guilty, yet, for these Tares, you ought not to have thrown by the Corn too, which receiv'd no prejudice from them. Accuse them all you are able: I shall gain the Cause, whether you make good your Evidence, or no. If you cannot do it, you your self will yield; and if you can, yet Cyprian will give Sentence in my favour. What will you have them to have been? If they were innocent, why do you, being Tares, defame them, who are good Corn? If they were guilty, why do you separate from the Corn, upon the account of the Tares? The Church

is manifest and conspicuous to all, being a City built upon a Hill, which cannot be hid, in which Christ governs from Sea to Sea, and from the River to the ends of the Earth, as being the Seed of *Abraham*, multiplied like the Stars in the Heaven, and like the Sand of the Sea, in whom all Nations are blessed.

—That this Church ought not to be accus'd for her good Corn, your selves avow: that she ought not to be deserted for her Tares, learn of *Cyprian*, who thus expresses himself: For altho' there are Tares in the Church, yet this ought not to diminish our Faith or Charity; that because we behold Tares in the Church, we should for that reason desert her. You say, that by the contagion of the scandalous *Africans*, the Church perish'd throughout the whole World, and that the only Remnant of it is to be found

with *Donatus* and his Followers, as being sound Corn, purg'd both from Tares and Straw: in this flatly contradicting *Cyprian*, who affirms, that the Good do not perish in the Church by the mixture of the Evil; and that the Evil cannot be separated from the Good before the Day of Judgment.

*S. Hierom in his Dialogue against Lucifer.* The Ark of *Noah* (says he) was a Type of the Church. As in that were all sorts of Living Creatures; so in this are Men of all Nations and Qualities: as there were the Leopard

cut arena maris, in quo benedicuntur Gentes. — *Hæc ut in frumentis suis non accusaretur, aut propter zizania non deseretur; unum horum vobis etiam vos ipsi respondete, alterum Cypriani monitis discite. Ipsius enim verba sunt attestantis & dicentis: Nam etsi videntur in Ecclesia esse zizania, non tamen impediri debet aut Fides, aut Charitas nostra; ut quoniam zizania esse in Ecclesia cernimus, ipsi de Ecclesia recedamus. Vos contagione malorum Afrorum periisse dicitis de Orbe terrarum, & in parte Donati ejus reliquias remansisse, tanquam in frumentis, a zizaniis & palea separatis, contra Cyprianum apertissime sentientes, qui dicit. nec malorum permixtione bonos perire in Ecclesia, nec eosdem Malos posse ante tempus judicii divini à Bonorum permixtione separari.*

*S. Hieronymus in Dialogo contra Lucifer.* Arca Noë (inquit) Ecclesiæ typus fuit. Ut in illa omnium animantium genera, ita & in hac universarum & Gentium, & morum homines sunt; ut ibi pardus & hædi, lupus



*lupus & agni: ita & hic iusti & peccatores; id est, vasa aurea & argentea cum ligneis & fictilibus commorantur.*

S. Augustinus lib. de Unico Baptismo, contra Petilianum, c. 16. *Non sane, inquit, parva est, parumque gloriosa consolatio cuiuscunque nostrum, si ab inimicis Ecclesiæ, cum ipsa Ecclesia criminamur. Ejus tamen defensio non in eorum hominum defensione consistit, quos isti nominatim falsis criminationibus appetunt. Prorsus qualescunque fuerint, nihil præjudicat Ecclesiæ Catholicæ toto terrarum orbe diffusæ: nullo modo eorum innocentia coronamur: nullo modo eorum iniquitate damnamur. Si boni fuerunt, in Areæ Catholicæ tritura tanquam grana mundati sunt. Si mali fuerunt, in Areæ Catholicæ tritura, tanquam stipule comminuti sunt. Intra istam aream boni & mali esse possunt: extra eam boni esse non possunt. Quisquis ab hac unitate vento superbiæ, tanquam sola palea separatur, Areæ Dominicæ propter commixtam paleam quid calumniatur?*

and Kids, the Wolf and Lambs; so here are Just men and Sinners, that is, Vessels of Gold and Silver, together with those of Wood and Clay.

*S. Augustin, lib. de Unico Bapt. cont. Petil. c. 16.* 'Tis a great and glorious comfort to every one of us, if, together with the Church, we are also maliciously defam'd by her Enemies. But the Credit of the Church is not at all concern'd in the vindication of those men, whom they so falsely accuse. Whether they are guilty or innocent, the Catholick Church spread over the whole World, receives no prejudice from them. Their Innocence will never crown us, neither are we to be condemn'd for their Iniquity. If they are good, they are like sound Corn in the Floor of the Catholick Church; but if wicked, they are crush'd in the same Floor like Stubble. Within this Floor there may be both good and wicked Men: but there can be no Good out of it. He that is separated from its Unity, like meer Chaff, by the Wind of Pride, why do's he calumniate the Floor of Christ, because it has a mixture of Chaff?

*Another Error of the Donatists was, that they taught, That the Catholick Church spread over the World, was corrupted, and bad sail'd, and was no where to be found, but with them in Africa.* In

## In Opposition to this Error,

*The Fathers maintain, That the Catholick Church cannot fail, as being assisted by the Spirit of God.*

S. Augustin, Enarr. 2. in Psal. 101. upon these Words, In the assembling the People together in one, and Kings to serve our Lord. He answered him in the way of his strength; writes thus: — But that Church which was spread through all Nations, now has no longer a Being, it is quite lost. This is the cry of those who are not in the Church. O impudent clamour! She is not, because you do not belong to her? See that you for that reason have not lost your Being: for she will have a Being, tho' you have none. This abominable and accursed Calumny, full of Presumption and deceit, void of all Truth, Wisdom, and Reason, idle, temerarious, rash, and pernicious, the Spirit of God foresaw, when even as it were against them, he proclaim'd her Unity: In assembling the People together in one, and Kings to serve our Lord. And when he subjoyn'd, His Praise return'd him answer, to wit, Jerusalem our Mother, to be call'd from Banishment, being more plentifully accompanied with a numerous Offspring, than she who had a Husband. Because there

S. Augustinus Enarrat. 2. in Psal. 101. ad illa verba, In conveniendo populos in unum, & Reges ut serviant Domino; respondit ei in via virtutis suæ, sic habet: — Sed illa Ecclesia, quæ fuit omnium Gentium, jam non est, periit. Hoc dicunt, qui in illa non sunt. O impudentem vocem! Illa non est, quia tu in illa non es? Vide ne tu ideo non sis: nam illa erit, etsi tu non sis. Hanc vocem abominabilem & detestabilem, præsumptionis & falsitatis plenam, nulla veritate suffultam, nulla sapientia illuminatam, nullo sale conditam, vanam, temerariam, præcipientem, perniciosam, prævidit Spiritus Dei, & tanquam contra illos cum annuntiaret Unitatem: In conveniendo populos in unum, & regna ut serviant Domino. Cumque subdidisset, Respondit ei utique laus ejus, utique Hierusalem mater nostra de peregrinatione revocanda; fæta cum multis filiis, magis quam ea quæ habebat virum. Quoniam quidam dicturi erant contra, Fuit, & non est: exiguitatem, inquit, dierum meorum annuntia mihi. Quid est quod nescio qui recedentes



*dentēs a me, murmurant contra me? Quid est, quod periti me periisse contendunt? Certē enim hoc dicunt, quia fui, & non sum: Annuntia mihi exiguitatem dierum meorum. Non a te quæro illos dies æternos; illi sine fine sunt, ubi ero, non ipsos quæro: temporales quæro; temporales dies mihi annuntia. — Et annuntiavit, nec vacua fuit vox ista. Quis annuntiavit mihi nisi ipsa via? Quomodo annuntiavit? Ecce ego vobiscum sum usque ad consummationem sæculi.*

these days I desire you to shew me. And he has shew'd me, neither was the Answer insignificant. And who was it, but he that is the very Way? And what was the Information he gave me? Behold I am with you to the end of the world.

Tertullianus, lib. de Præscrip. adversus Hæreticos, c. 28. ait; *Age nunc, omnes erraverint Ecclesiæ, nullam respexerit Spiritus Sanctus, ut eam in veritatem deduceret, ad hoc missus a Christo, ad hoc postulatus de Patre, ut esset Doctor veritatis: neglexerit officium Dei villicus, Christi vicarius, sinens Ecclesias aliter interim intelligere, aliter credere, quam ipse per Apostolos prædicabat: æquid verisimile est, ut tot ac tantæ in unam fidem erraverint?*

were to arise some, that would say against her; 'Tis true, she was; but now she is perish'd: Shew me, says she, the fewness of my days. What is the reason, that some, I know not who, going out from me, murmur against me? Why will the lost Persons needs have me lost? For this is their cry against me, That I was, but now I have no being: Shew me the fewness of my days. I do not enquire for my days in the next World, those are without end; 'tis not those days of Eternity I ask for. I desire to know of my continuance in this world,

me. And he has shew'd me, neither was the Answer insignificant. And who was it, but he that is the very Way? And what was the Information he gave me? Behold I am with you to the end of the world.

Tertull. l. de Præsc. adv. Hæret. c. 28. What then, says he, have all the Churches err'd: did the Holy Ghost take care of none, to lead them into Truth, being for this very reason sent by Christ, being for this intention petition'd of the Father, that he might teach them all Truth? Ple warrant you the Steward of God, and Vicar of Christ, neglected his Duty, letting the Churches in the mean time understand and believe otherwise than He taught by his Apostles: And is it likely, that so many, and such diffus'd Churches, should all err, and yet meet in one Faith?

*Id. ib. c. 29.* Howsoever Error crept into the Church, yet it seems it prevail'd so long as there were no Heresies. Truth, no doubt, waited for some Followers of *Marcion* or *Valentinus* to set her at liberty: and in the mean time there was neither Preaching nor Believing aright, Baptism was ill administred, the Works of Faith, Vertues, and Gifts were ill put in practice, so many Priestly Functions and other Duties were perform'd amiss, and so many laid down their Lives to no purpose.

*S. Cyprian Ep. 69. ad Flor. Pap.* *Christ* in the Gospel, says he, when his Disciples went away from him, as he was speaking, turning to the Twelve, said, *What, will you also depart?* Peter answered him, *Lord, to whom shall we go? Thou hast the words of eternal life, and we believe and have known, that thou art the Son of the Living God.* Peter speaks there, upon whom the Church was built, declaring in the name of the Church, that tho' great numbers of such stubborn and self-will'd People, as will not submit, become Deserters, yet the Church will never fall from *Christ*; which Church is the People united to the Priest, and the Flock following their Pastor.

*Idem. l. sing. de Unit. Eccl.* The Church, having receiv'd the Light of *Christ*, spreads its

*Idem ib. c. 29.* *Quoquo modo sit erratum; tam diu utique regnavit error, quamdiu hæreses non erant. Aliquos Marcionitas & Valentinianos liberanda Veritas expectabat: incerta perperam evangelisabatur, perperam credebatur, tot millia millium perperam tincta, tot opera fidei perperam administrata, tot viriutes, tot charismata, perperam operata: tot Sacerdotia, tot ministeria perperam functa: tot denique Martyria perperam coronata.*

*S. Cyprianus, Ep. 69. ad Florentium Papiam.* Dominus in Evangelio, ait, cum eum loquentem Discipuli derelinquerent, conversus ad Duodecim, dixit, Nunquid & vos vultis abire? Respondit ei Petrus dicens: Domine, ad quem ibimus? Verba vitæ æternæ habes, & nos credimus, & cognovimus, quoniam Tu es Filius Dei vivi. Loquitur illic Petrus, super quem ædificata fuerat Ecclesia; Ecclesiæ nomine docens & ostendens, quia etsi centumax & superba obaudire nolitum multitudinem discedat; Ecclesia tamen a Christo non recedit, & illi sunt Ecclesia, plebs Sacerdoti adunata, & Pastori suo grex adherens.

*Idem, lib. singulari de Unit. Ecclesiæ.* Ecclesia, inquit, Domini luce perflusa per Orbem totum

totum radios suos porrigit, unum tamen lumen est, quod ubique diffunditur, nec Unitas Corporis separatur: ramos suos in universam terram copia ubertatis extendit; profluentes largiter rivus latius expandit; unum tamen caput est & Origo una, & una mater, fecunditatis successibus copiosa. Illius fœtu nascimur, illius lacte nutrimur, spiritu ejus animamur. Adulterari non potest Sponsa Christi, incorrupta est & pudica: unam domum novit; unius cubiculi sanctitatem casto pudore custodit. Hæc nos Deo servat, hæc filios regno, quos generavit assignat.

She it is that preserves us for Heaven, and gives to her Children which she has brought forth the Inheritance of a Crown.

Hinc S. Augustinus, lib. I. contra Cresconium Grammaticum, c. 33. ait, Scripturarum a nobis tenetur veritas, cum hoc facimus, quod Universe jam placuit Ecclesiæ, quam ipsarum Scripturarum commendat autoritas: ut quoniam Sancta Scriptura fallere non potest, quisquis falli metuit hujus obsecratione questionis, eandem Ecclesiam de illa consulat, quam sine ulla ambiguitate sancta Scriptura demonstrat.

Rays through the whole World, yet it is one Light which is thus diffus'd, neither is the Unity of the Body at all injur'd by it: by her Fertility her Branches reach over the Earth, and every place is water'd by her copious Streams; yet there is but one Head, and one Fountain, one Mother rich in her numerous Issue. By her Fruitfulness we are born, we are nourish'd by her Milk, and we are enliven'd by her Spirit. The Spouse of Christ cannot become an Adulteress, she is uncorrupt and pure, she knows but one House, and with a chaste Modesty secures the sanctity of one Chamber.

Heaven, and gives to her Children the Inheritance of a Crown.

Hence S. Augustin, lib. I. cont. Cresc. Gram. c. 33. says, That then we follow the Truth of the Scriptures, when we do that which hath seem'd good to the whole Church, which Church is commended to us by the Authority of the Scripture: to the end that because Holy Writ cannot deceive, whosoever is afraid of being deceiv'd by the difficulty of this Question, may consult the Church concerning it, which, without leaving room to doubt, the Holy Scripture demonstrates.

*The Donatists, upon the Presumption, that the Catholick Church spread over the World, had been infected with Errors, and fail'd, separated themselves from her Communion.*

Upon which,

*The Fathers unanimously declare, That whosoever breaks the Unity of the Church, upon any Pretext whatsoever, by making Divisions, is guilty of Schism, and can have no hopes of Salvation, but by returning from whence they went out.*

*S. Cyprian, Ep. 69. having said, That the Church is the People united to the Priest, and the Flock following their Pastor, adds; Whence you must know, That the Bishop is in the Church, and the Church in the Bishop: and whosoever is not united with the Bishop, is no Member of the Church: and that they in vain flatter themselves, who having broken the Peace with the Priests of God, steal to private Meetings, and persuade themselves, that they retain a Communion with some in secret. Whenas the Church which is Catholick, is One, without separation or division, closely knit together, and united by the tie of the agreeing Priests.*

*Id. l. de Unit. Eccl. Whosoever, says he, leaving the Church, cleaves to an Adulteress, is cut off from the Promises of the Church. He that falls from the*

*Cyprianus Ep. 69. ubi postquam præmisit, quod Ecclesia est Plebs Sacerdoti adunata, & Pastori suo grex adhærens, subjungit: Unde scire debes Episcopum in Ecclesia esse, & Ecclesiam in Episcopo: & si quis cum Episcopo non sit, in Ecclesia non esse; & frustra sibi blandiri eos, qui pacem cum Sacerdotibus Dei non habentes, obrepunt, & latenter apud quosdam communicare se credunt; quando Ecclesia, quæ Catholica, Una est, scissâ non sit, neque divisa, sed sit utique connexa, & coherens sibi invicem Sacerdotum glutino copulata.*

*Idem lib. de Unit. Eccl. Quisquis (inquit) ab Ecclesia segregatus adulteræ jungitur, a promissis Ecclesiæ separatur. Nec perveniet ad Christi præmia,*

*mia, qui relinquit Ecclesiam Christi. Alienus est, prophanus est, hostis est. Habere jam non potest Deum Patrem, qui Ecclesiam non habet Matrem. Si potuit evadere quisquam, qui extra arcam Noe fuit; & qui extra Ecclesiam foris fuerit, evadit. Monet Dominus, & dicit; Qui non est mecum, adversus me est: & qui non mecum colligit, spargit, (Matth. 12.) Qui pacem Christi, & concordiam rumpit, adversus Christum facit. Qui alibi præter Ecclesiam colligit, Christi Ecclesiam spargit. Dicit Dominus; Ego & Pater unum sumus, (Jo. 10.) & iterum de Patre, & Filio, & Spiritu Sancto, scriptum est; Hi tres unum sunt. Et quisquam credit hanc Unitatem de divina firmitate venientem, Sacramentis cælestibus coherentem, scindi in Ecclesia posse, & voluntatum collidentium divortio separari? Hanc unitatem qui non tenet, Dei legem non tenet, non tenet Patris & Filii fidem, vitam non tenet & salutem.*

ever do's not preserve this Unity, contemns the Law of God, is without the Faith of the Father and the Son, and has neither Life nor Salvation in him.

*Idem infra. Quam sibi pacem promittunt inimici fratrum? Quæ Sacrificia celebrare se erodunt æmuli Sacerdotum? An secum esse Christum,*

Church of Christ, shall never come to the Rewards of Christ; he is an alien, he's a profane person, he's an enemy. He cannot have God for his Father, who has not the Church for his Mother. If 'twere possible for any to escape that was not in the Ark of Noah, it shall be likewise possible for him to escape who is not in the Church. Our Lord says, He that is not with me, is against me: and he that do's not gather with me, scatters, (Matth. 12.) Whosoever disturbs the Peace of Christ, makes war against Christ. And he that gathers any where out of the Church, breaks in pieces the Church of Christ. Christ affirms, I and my Father are one, (John 10.) and 'tis written of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, These three are one. And can any one believe, that this Unity, proceeding even from the Unity of God, and strengthened by Heavenly Sacraments, can be broken in the Church, and dissolv'd by disagreeing Schismatics? Whoso-

*Idem infra. What peace can the Enemies of our Brethren promise to themselves? What kind of Sacrifices do they imagine they offer up, who are in*

*The Donatists, upon the Presumption, that the Catholick Church spread over the World, had been infected with Errors, and fail'd, separated themselves from her Communion.*

Upon which,

*The Fathers unanimously declare, That whosoever breaks the Unity of the Church, upon any Pretext whatsoever, by making Divisions, is guilty of Schism, and can have no hopes of Salvation, but by returning from whence they went out.*

*S. Cyprian, Ep. 69. having said, That the Church is the People united to the Priest, and the Flock following their Pastor, adds; Whence you must know, That the Bishop is in the Church, and the Church in the Bishop: and whosoever is not united with the Bishop, is no Member of the Church: and that they in vain flatter themselves, who having broken the Peace with the Priests of God, steal to private Meetings, and persuade themselves, that they retain a Communion with some in secret. Whenas the Church which is Catholick, is One, without separation or division, closely knit together, and united by the tie of the agreeing Priests.*

*Id. l. de Unit. Eccl. Whosoever, says he, leaving the Church, cleaves to an Adulteress, is cut off from the Promises of the Church. He that falls from the*

*Cyprianus Ep. 69. ubi postquam præmisit, quod Ecclesia est Plebs Sacerdoti adunata, & Pastori suo grex adherens, subjungit: Unde scire debes Episcopum in Ecclesia esse, & Ecclesiam in Episcopo: & si quis cum Episcopo non sit, in Ecclesia non esse; & frustra sibi blandiri eos, qui pacem cum Sacerdotibus Dei non habentes, obrepunt, & latenter apud quosdam communicare se credunt; quando Ecclesia, quæ Catholica, Una est, scissâ non sit, neque divisa, sed sit utique connexa, & coherentium sibi invicem Sacerdotum glutino copulata.*

*Idem lib. de Unit. Eccl. Quisquis (inquit) ab Ecclesia segregatus adulteræ jungitur, a promissis Ecclesiæ separatur. Nec perveniet ad Christi præmia,*



*mia, qui relinquit Ecclesiam Christi. Alienus est, prophanus est, hostis est. Habere jam non potest Deum Patrem, qui Ecclesiam non habet Matrem. Si potuit evadere quisquam, qui extra arcam Noe fuit; & qui extra Ecclesiam foris fuerit, evadit. Monet Dominus, & dicit; Qui non est mecum, adversus me est: & qui non mecum colligit, spargit, (Matth. 12.) Qui pacem Christi, & concordiam rumpit, adversus Christum facit. Qui alibi præter Ecclesiam colligit, Christi Ecclesiam spargit. Dicit Dominus; Ego & Pater unum sumus, (Jo. 10.) & iterum de Patre, & Filio, & Spiritu Sancto, scriptum est; Hi tres unum sunt. Et quisquam credit hanc Unitatem de divina firmitate venientem, Sacramentis celestibus coherentem, scindi in Ecclesia posse, & voluntatum collidentium divorcio separari? Hanc unitatem qui non tenet, Dei legem non tenet, non tenet Patris & Filii fidem, vitam non tenet & salutem.*

ever do's not preserve this Unity, contemns the Law of God, is without the Faith of the Father and the Son, and has neither Life nor Salvation in him.

*Idem infra. Quam sibi pacem promittunt inimici fratrum? Quæ Sacrificia celebrare se credunt annuli Sacerdotum? An secum esse Christum,*

Church of Christ, shall never come to the Rewards of Christ; he is an alien, he's a profane person, he's an enemy. He cannot have God for his Father, who has not the Church for his Mother. If 'twere possible for any to escape that was not in the Ark of Noah, it shall be likewise possible for him to escape who is not in the Church. Our Lord says, He that is not with me, is against me: and he that do's not gather with me, scatters, (Matth. 12.) Whosoever disturbs the Peace of Christ, makes war against Christ. And he that gathers any where out of the Church, breaks in pieces the Church of Christ. Christ affirms, I and my Father are one, (John 10.) and 'tis written of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, These three are one. And can any one believe, that this Unity, proceeding even from the Unity of God, and strengthened by Heavenly Sacraments, can be broken in the Church, and dissolv'd by disagreeing Schismaticks? Whoso-

*Idem infra. What peace can the Enemies of our Brethren promise to themselves? What kind of Sacrifices do they imagine they offer up, who are in*

contention with the Priests? Can they think that Christ is with them in their Meetings, being assembled 'out of the Unity of the Church? Such as these, tho' they suffer Death in the confession of his Name, yet is not their Blood capable of washing out this Stain: the unpardonable and horrid Crime of Schism is not to be expiated by Suffering: He can be no Martyr, who is not in the Church.—— They are Enemies to God, who would not keep Peace in his Church, tho' they deliver their Bodies to be burnt, or are torn to pieces by wild Beasts, yet this will never be a Crown of their Faith, but a Punishment of their Treachery; nor a glorious Issue of a Christian Courage, but a desperate End: Such an one may be put to death, but he can never be crown'd.

*Id. infra.* Whosoever is separated from the Church, is abominable, and to be avoided. Can any one think himself to have a part with Christ, who is an Enemy to his Priests; Who cuts himself off from the Communion of his Clergy and People? He bears Arms against the Church, resists the Ordinance of God, being an Enemy of the Altar, a Rebel against the Sacrifice of Christ, in Faith perfidious, sacrilegious in Religion, a disobedient Servant, an ungracious Son, a false Brother, who con-

*cum collecti fuerint, opinantur, qui extra Ecclesiam Christi colliguntur? Tales, etiamsi occisi in confessione nominis fuerint, macula ista nec sanguine abluitur; inextinguibilis & gravis culpa discordiæ, nec passione purgatur: esse Martyr non potest, qui in Ecclesia non est.—— Cum Deo manere non possunt, quia esse in Ecclesia Dei unanimes noluerunt; ardeant licet flammis, & ignibus traditi; vel objecti bestiis animas suas ponant, non erit illa fidei corona, sed pœna perfidiæ; nec religiosæ virtutis exitus gloriosus, sed desperationis interitus: Occidi talis potest, coronari non potest.*

*Idem infra.* Aversandus est, atque fugiendus, quisquis fuerit ab Ecclesia separatus. An esse sibi cum Christo videtur, qui adversus Sacerdotes Christi facit? Qui se a Chri ejus, & plebis societate secernit? Arma ille contra Ecclesiam portat, contra Dei dispositionem repugnat, hostis Altaris, adversus Christi Sacrificium rebellis, pro fide perfidus, pro Religione sacrilegus, inobsequens servus, filius impius, frater inimicus, contemptis Episcopis, & Dei Sacerdotibus derelictis, constituere audet aliud Altare,



*Altare, precem alteram illicitis vocibus facere, Dominicæ Hostiæ veritatem per falsa Sacrificia profanare.*

temning the Bishops, and forsaking the Priests of God, dares to set up another Altar, use other Prayers in unallow'd Words, and profane the Truth

of the Christian Host by false Sacrifices.

*Optatus Milevitanus, l. 1. cont. Parmen. sic Donatistas alloquitur. Deserta Matre Catholica, impii filii dum foras excurrunt, & se separant (ut vos fecistis, a radice Matris Ecclesiæ, invidiæ falcibus amputati) erando rebelles abscedunt.*

*Idem infra l. 2. eisdem sic alloquitur. Intelligite vel sero vos esse filios impios, vos esse ramos fractos ab arbore, vos esse abscissos palmites a vite, vos esse rivum concisum a Fonte. Non enim potest esse Origo rivus, quia parvus est, & non de se nascitur; aut arbor a ramo concidi, cum arbor fundata suis radicibus gaudeat, & ramus, si fuerit exsectus, arescat.*

stands fix'd; but a Branch, if it

*Optatus Milevitanus, l. 1. cont. Parm. speaks thus to the Donatists. Whilst wicked Children, forsaking their Catholick Mother, run away and separate themselves (as you have done, who through envy are cut off from the Unity of your Mother the Church) falling into Errors, they turn rambling Rebels.*

*And after, l. 2. he speaks thus to them. Understand, tho' late, that you are ungodly Children, that you are Boughs broke from the Tree, Branches cut off from the Vine, a Stream divided from its Fountain. And no Stream can be a Fountain, because of its scarcity of Water, and springs not from it self: Neither can a Tree be broke off from its Branch, because a Tree has Roots of its own, on which it be divided, immediately withers.*

*S. Irenæus, lib. 4. advers. Hæres. c. 43. Eis (inquit) qui in Ecclesia sunt Presbyteris obaudire oportet, his qui successionem habent ab Apostolis, sicut ostendimus, qui cum Episcopatus successione, charissima veritatis certum, secundum placitum Pa-*

*S. Irenæus, l. 4. adv. Hæres. c. 43. We are bound to obey (says he) those Priests who have their Succession from the Apostles, as I have prov'd, who with the Succession of their Episcopal charge, have receiv'd, according to the Ordinance of God, a sure Gift*

of

of Truth. But for others, who are not in Communion with the Principal Seat, in whatsoever place they hold their Meetings, we are to look on them either as Hereticks, and Men of pernicious Doctrine; or as Schismatics, full of Pride and Self-love; or finally, as Hypocrites, carrying on their Design in hopes of Gain, and for Vain-glory. And all these are Enemies to Truth: and such as are Hereticks, who bring strange Fire to the Altar of God, that is, strange Doctrines, shall be consum'd with Fire from Heaven, like *Nadab* and *Abiu*, (*Lev. 10.*) Such as rebell against the Truth, and set on others against the Church, shall be devour'd by Hell, swallow'd up by the Earth, as were the Complices of *Chore*, *Dathan*, and *Abiron*, (*Num. 16.*) And those who make Schisms, and break the Unity of the Church, shall have the same punishment as *Feroboam* had, from the Hand of God, (*3 Reg. 14.*)

*Id. ib. c. 62.* And he will judge those who make Schisms, who are abominable, void of the Love of God, and having more concern for their own Convenience, than for the Unity of the Church; who for any inconsiderable Reasons divide and break asunder the great and glorious Body of Christ, and endeavour, as much as lies in them,

*tris acceperunt. Reliquos vero, qui absistunt a principali Successione, & quocunque loco colliguntur, suspectos habere, vel quasi hæreticos, & malæ sententiæ; vel quasi Scindentes (Schismaticos) & elatos, & sibi placentes; aut rursus ut Hypocritas, quæstus gratia, & vanæ gloriæ hoc operantes. Omnes autem decidunt a veritate: & hæretici quidem alienum ignem afferentes ad altare Dei, id est, alienas Doctrinas, a caelesti igne comburentur, quemadmodum Nadab & Abiu, (Lev. 10.) Qui vero exurgunt contra veritatem, & alteros adhortantur adversus Ecclesiam Dei, remanent apud inferos, voragine terræ absorpti, quemadmodum qui circa Chore, Dathan, & Abiron, (Num. 16.) Qui autem scindunt & separant unitatem Ecclesiæ, eandem quam Feroboam penam percipiunt a Deo, (3 Reg. 14.)*

*Idem ibid. c. 62. Judicabit autem & eos, ait, qui Schismata operantur, qui sunt immanes, non habentes Dei dilectionem, suamque utilitatem potius considerantes, quam Unitatem Ecclesiæ: & propter modicas & qualibet Causas, magnum & gloriosum Corpus Christi conscindunt & dividunt, & quantum in ipsis est, interficiunt, pacem loquentes*

*loquentes, & bellum operantes; vere liquantes culicem, & camelum transglutientes. Nulla enim ab eis tanta potest fieri correptio, quanta est Schismatis perniciēs. Iudicabit & omnes eos, qui sunt extra veritatem, id est, qui sunt extra Ecclesiam: ipse autem a nemine iudicabitur.*

are out of the Church: but

Dionysius Alexandrinus Epist. ad Novatum, inscripta apud Eusebium, Hist. Eccl. l. 6. c. 45. Edit. Vales. eundem Schismaticum sic alloquitur. *Si quidem inuitus, ut asseris, eo deductus es; id nobis ostendes, tua sponte redeundo: satius quidem fuerit quidvis pati, ne Ecclesia Dei discinderetur. Nec minus gloriosum fuisset idcirco subire Martyrium, ne Ecclesiam scinderes, quam ut ne idolis sacrificares. Imo illud quidem meo iudicio, illustrius extitisset: Hic enim pro sua unius anima; illic pro omni Ecclesia martyrium quis sustinet. Si tamen, vel nunc persuaseris fratribus, aut eos coegeris, ut ad concordiam redeant, majus tibi meritum erit, quam culpa. Et hæc quidem non imputabitur, illud vero prædicabitur. Quod si, Fratribus parere recusantibus, id efficere non potes, tuam ipsius animam serva. Opto te paci studentem in Domino, bene*

utterly to ruin it, having Peace in their Mouths, but working nothing but Destruction, truly straining at a Gnat, and swallowing a Camel. For whatsoever Evil they design to redress, 'twill be much less than the Evil of Schism. He will likewise judge all those who believe not the Truth; that is, such as he shall be judg'd by none.

Dionysius Alexandrinus Epist. ad Novat. apud Euseb. Hist. Eccl. l. 6. c. 45. Ed. Vales. where he speaks to Novatus a Schismatick, thus. If you were forc'd to espouse the Cause, as you say, against your will, your voluntary returning back again, will be the best Demonstration of the truth of it. 'Tis far better to undergo the worst of Evils, than to occasion Division in the Church of God. And 'tis no less glorious to suffer Martyrdom for the prevention of Schism, than for refusing to sacrifice to Idols: nay that, in my opinion, is the more commendable: for the one lays down his Life for the particular good of his Soul; but the other, for the good of the whole Church. But if even now at last you will persuade or compel your Brethren to be reconcil'd to the Church, your Merit will be greater than your Sin. This will be divulg'd abroad in your commendation, and that shall not

not be laid to your charge. And in case you cannot effect this, through the obstinacy of your Brethren; yet save your own Soul. I wish you all Health, labouring for the Peace of the Church. Thus wrote Dionysius to Novatus.

S. John Chrysostome, Hom. 11. in c. 4. Ep. ad Ephes. This is spoken (says he) not only to those who rule, but also to Subjects, who are under their Government. A certain holy Man spoke a thing which was very bold, and yet he spoke it. And what was it? He affirm'd, that this Sin (of Schism) cannot be wash'd away even by the Blood of Martyrdom. For, tell me, for what reason do you suffer Martyrdom? Is it not for the Glory of Christ? And how can you, who desire to lay down your Life for Christ, in the mean time overthrow the Church, for which Christ shed his Blood?

S. Augustine, l. de Unit. Eccl. c. 4. Whole Christ is both Head and Body. The Head is the only begotten Son of God, and the Body his Church: Bridegroom and Bride, two in one Flesh. Whosoever believes otherwise of the Head, than is taught in Scripture, tho' they are spread over the World, as wide as the Church, yet they are not in the Church. Again; Whoever assent to the Scripture in all things

valere. Hæc Dionysius ad Novatum scripsit.

S. Joannes Chrysostomus, Hom. 11. in cap. 4. Epist. ad Ephes. Hæc dicta sunt (inquit) non solum ad eos qui regunt, sed etiam ad subditos, hoc est, qui ab iis gubernantur. Dixit autem vir quidam Sanctus, quod magnam præ se fert audaciam, sed tamen illud pronuntiavit. Quid hoc est autem? Affirmavit autem vir iste, ne sanguine quidem Martyrii hoc peccatum obliterari posse. Nam dic mihi: Qua de causa subis Martyrium? Nonne propter Christi gloriam? Qui pro Christo animam prodigere cupis, quomodo Ecclesiam popularis, pro qua Christus suam profudit animam?

S. Augustinus, l. de Unitate Ecclesiæ, c. 4. Totus Christus Caput & Corpus est, inquit. Caput Unigenitus Dei Filius, & Corpus ejus Ecclesia, Sponsus & Sponsa, duo in carne una. Quicunque de ipso capite a Scripturis Sanctis dissentiunt, etiam si in omnibus locis inveniantur, in quibus Ecclesia designata est, non sunt in Ecclesia. Et rursus; Quicunque de ipso Capite, Scripturis Sanctis consentiunt, & Unitati Ecclesiæ

*Ecclesiæ non communicant, non sunt in Ecclesia, quia de Christi Corpore, quod est Ecclesia, ab ipsius Christi testificatione dissentiunt. Verbi gratia, qui non credunt Christum in Carne venisse, de Virgine Maria ex semine David, quod apertissime Scriptura Dei loquitur; aut non in Corpore ipso resurrexisse, in quo crucifixus & sepultus est; etiamsi per omnes terras inveniantur, per quas est Ecclesia, non tamen sunt in Ecclesia: quia ipsum Caput Ecclesiæ non tenent, quod est Christus Jesus. Nec in aliqua divinarum Scripturarum obscuritate falluntur, sed notissimis & apertissimis earum testimoniis contradicunt. Item quicumque credunt quidem, quod Christus Jesus, ita ut dictum est, in carne venerit, & in eadem carne, in qua natus & passus est, resurrexerit, & ipse sit Filius Dei, Deus apud Deum, & cum Patre unum & incommutabile Verbum Patris, per quod facta sunt omnia: sed tamen ab ejus Corpore, quod est Ecclesia, ita dissentiunt, ut eorum Communio non sit cum toto, quacunque diffunditur, sed in aliqua parte separata inveniat, manifestum est eos non esse in Ecclesia Catholica.*

however diffus'd, but only with some Part which is separated, 'tis evident they are not in the Catholick Church.

concerning the Head, and yet refuse Communion in the Unity of the Church, they are not in the Church; because they reject the Testimony of Christ concerning his Body, which is the Church. For example: They who believe not, that Christ took Flesh, was born of the Virgin Mary, of the Seed of David, as is plainly testified in Scripture; or that he did not rise again with the same Body, in which he was Crucified. and Buried, tho' in extent they can compare with the Church, yet they are no Members of the Church; because they believe not aright of the Head of the Church, who is Christ Jesus. In this not deceiv'd by any obscure Text of Scripture, but contradicting what is most plain and express in it. Likewise, They who believe that Christ, as is said, was incarnate, that he arose again in the same Flesh, in which he was born, and suffer'd; that he is the Son of God, God with God, and with the Father the Only and Unchangeable Word of his Father, by which all things were made: and yet do so disagree with his Body, which is the Church, that they have no Communion with the Whole,

*Again, c. 19.* None can arrive to Salvation, and Life-everlasting, but he that has Christ for his Head. And 'tis impossible that any should have Christ for his Head, unless he be a Member of his Body, the Church.

*Idem Epist. 204. ad Don. Presb. Donatist.* Being out of the Pale of the Church, separated from its Unity, and Bond of Charity, thou wouldst not escape Damnation, tho' thou shouldst be burnt alive in confession of the Name of Christ.

*Idem l. 2. contr. Ep. Parm. c. 11.* We produce these Instructions from Holy Writ, that it may evidently appear, that there's no Wickedness can compare with the Sacrilege of Schism; because there's no just necessity for Separation: Since Good men do therefore bear with the Wicked, from whom they can receive no spiritual damage, lest by separating from them, they also spiritually divide themselves from the Good; when the consideration of preserving Peace, do's withhold or keep off the severity of Discipline; which nevertheless is put in execution when it can be done securely; and 'tis evident, Ecclesiastical Censures may work a wholesome Correction, without the Wound of Schism.

*Idem de Bapt. contr. Donat. c. 5.* To mistake, is Humane Frailty; but so to adhere to ones

*Idem ib. c. 19. Ad ipsam salutem ac vitam aeternam nemo pervenit, nisi qui habet Caput Christum. Habere autem Caput Christum, nemo poterit, nisi qui in ejus Corpore fuerit, quod est Ecclesia.*

*Idem Ep. 204. ad Donat. Presbyt. Donatist.* Foris, inquit, ab Ecclesia constitutus, & separatus a compage Unitatis, & vinculo Charitatis, aeterno supplicio punireris, etiamsi pro Christi nomine vivus incendereris.

*Idem lib. 2. contr. Epist. Parmeniani, cap. 11.* Hæc de Scripturis Sanctis documenta proferimus (inquit) ut appareat facile non esse gravius quidquam Sacrilegio Schismatis: quia præscindendæ Unitatis nulla est justa necessitas: cum sibi nequam spiritualiter nocituros malos ideo tolerant boni, ne spiritualiter se jungantur a bonis; cum disciplinæ severitatem consideratio custodiendæ pacis refrænataut differt; quam tamen securitas exerit, cum apparet sine vulnere Schismatis, ad salubrem correctionem posse aliquid Ecclesiastico judicio vindicari.

*Idem l. 2. de Baptismo, contr. Donatistas, c. 5.* Aliter sapere quam se res habet (inquit)



quit) humana tentatio est; nimis autem amando sententiam suam, vel irvidendo melioribus, usque ad præciendæ Communionis, & condendi Schismatis vel Hæresis Sacrilegium pervenire, diabolica præsumptio est: In nullo autem aliter sapere quam se res habet, angelica perfectio est. Qui itaque homines sumus, sed spe Angeli sumus, quibus æquales in Resurrectione futuri sumus, quamdiu perfectionem Angeli non habemus, præsumptionem Diaboli non habemus. Deinde urgens Donatistas subjungit, c. 6. Respondete, Quare vos separastis? Quare contra orbem terrarum altare erexistis? Quare non communicatis Ecclesiis, in quibus Epistolas Apostolicas missas tenetis, & legitis, & secundum ipsas vos vivere dicitis? Respondete, quare vos separastis?

Idem ib. c. 6. Non afferamus stateras dolosas, ubi appendamus quod volumus, & quomodo volumus pro arbitrio nostro, dicentes, hoc grave, hoc leve est: sed afferamus divinam stateram de Scripturis sanctis, tanquam de Thesauris Dominicis, & in illa quid sit gravius appendamus, imo non appendamus, sed a Domino appensa recognoscimus. Tempore illo, quo Dominus priora delicta recentibus

own Opinion, or envy others better than themselves, as to break off Communion, to make a Schism, or broach an Heresie, is the Presumption of Devils. But to be exempt from all Mistakes, is an Angelical Perfection. We therefore that are Men, but hope to be Angels, with whom we are to be equal in the Resurrection, while we cannot arrive to the Perfection of Angels, let us not fall into the Presumption of Devils. Then urging the Donatists, he adds, c. 6. Tell me, why did you make a Separation? Why did you set up an Altar, in opposition to the whole World? Why do not you hold Communion with those Churches, to which the Apostolical Epistles were directed, which you keep and read, and conform to whose Doctrine, you say, you live? Answer me, Why have you made a Separation?

Id. ib. c. 6. Let us not bring false Ballances, in which we may weigh what we will, and how we will, according to our own humour, pronouncing this to be heavy, this light: but let us make the Scriptures our Balance, and in this, produc'd from the Treasury of Heaven, let us see, what is heavy. Or rather, let us not weigh it ourselves, but own what is already weigh'd by God. At that

time, when by fresh Judgments God shew'd how his People ought to decline their former Sins; when an Idol was made and ador'd, (*Exod. 22.*) when the King, full of contempt, commanded the Book of the Prophet to be burnt, (*Jer. 36.*) when a Schism was attempted: The Idolatry was punish'd with the Sword; the Burning of the Book, by a Slaughter from the Enemies, and Captivity; and the Schism, by an opening of the Earth, the Authors of it being swallow'd up alive, and the Heaven. Now who can doubt, in the sight of God, which was

*pænarum exemplis cavenda monstravit, & idolum fabricatum, atque adoratum est, (Exod. 22.) & Propheticus liber ira Regis contemptoris incensus, (Jer. 36.) & Schisma tentatum: IdololatRIA gladio punita est; exustio libri bellica cæde, & peregrina captivitate; Schisma biatu terræ, sepultis Authoribus vivis, & cæteris cælesti igne consumptis. Quis jam dubitaverit hoc esse sceleratius commissum, quod est gravius vindicatum?*

rest consum'd by Fire from but that was most detestable punish'd with most severity:

*Again, l. 3. c. 16.* They (*says he*) who do not love the Unity of the Church, have not the Love of God within them.

*Again, Enarr. in Ps. 88.* Let us love our Lord God, let us love his Church: God, as our Father, and the Church, as our Mother—No one can offend one, and oblige the other. Let no body say, I go to Idols indeed, I consult Magicians and Conjurers; but yet I do not forsake the Church of God, I am a Catholick still. For thus keeping to your Mother, you offend your Father. Another says, Far be it from me, I do not go to Cunning Men, I follow not Diviners, I consult no Oracles of the Devils; but yet I am a Fol-

*Et l. 3. c. 16. Non habent, inquit, Dei charitatem qui Ecclesiæ non diligunt unitatem.*

*Idem Enarr. in Psal. 88. Amemus Dominum Deum nostrum, amemus Ecclesiam ejus: illum sicut Patrem, istam sicut Matrem.—Nemo offendit unum, & promeretur alterum. Nemo dicat, ad Idola quidem vado, arreptitios quidem & sortilegos consulo, sed tamen Dei Ecclesiam non relinquo; Catholicus sum: tenens Matrem, offendis Patrem. Alius item dicit, Absit a me, non consulo sortilegum, non quæro arreptitium, non quæro divinationes sacrilegas, non eo ad demonia; sed tamen in parte Donati sum. Quid*



*Quid tibi prodest non offensus Pater, qui offensam vindicat Matrem? Quid prodest si Dominum confiteris, Deum honoras, ipsum prædicas, Filium ejus agnoscis, sedentem ad dextram Patris confiteris, & blasphemas Ecclesiam ejus? Non te corrigunt exempla humani conjugii? Si haberes aliquem Patronum, cui quotidie obsequeris, cujus limina serviendo contereris, quem quotidie non dico salutares, sed & adorares, cui impenderes fidelia obsequia: si unum crimen de ejus conjugē diceres, nunquid domum ejus intrares? Attendite ergo Charissimi, tenete omnes unanimiter, Deum Patrem, & Ecclesiam Matrem.*

to hold God your Father, and the Church your Mother.

*S. Fulgentius ad Petrum Diacon. cap. 39. Firmissime tene, inquit, & nullatenus dubites, quemlibet Hæreticum, sive Schismaticum, in nomine Patris, & Filii, & Spiritus Sancti baptizatum, si Ecclesiæ Catholicæ non fuerit aggregatus, quantacunque Eleemosynas fecerit, & si pro Christi nomine etiam sanguinem fuderit, nullatenus posse salvari. Omni enim homini qui Ecclesiæ Catholicæ non tenet unitatem, neque Baptismus, neque Eleemosyna quamlibet copiosa, neque mors pro nomine Christi suscepta, proficere poterit ad salutem.*

lower of *Donatus*. What will it avail thee, not to have offended thy Father, who takes revenge of those who injure their Mother? What signifies it, to own a God, to honour him, to divulge his Name; to believe in Christ, and that he sits at the Right-hand of the Father; if thou blasphemest his Church? Learn from the Example of Marriage. If you had a Patron, whom you respected above all Men, whom you did love and honour, and seek by daily Services more nearly to engage to you, and should raise but one Scandal against his Wife, do you think you should have access to his House? Take care therefore, my Beloved, unanimously

*S. Fulgent. ad Petr. Diac. c. 39.* Stedfastly believe, (*says he*) and doubt not at all, but that every man that is an Heretick or Schismatick, baptiz'd in the Name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost, if he be not in the Unity of the Catholick Church, tho' he give never so much Alms, and lose his Life for the Name of Christ, yet he cannot be sav'd. For neither Baptism, nor liberal Alms, nor Death it self for the Profession of Christ, can avail a man any thing, in order to Salvation, if he do's not hold the Unity of the Catholick Church.

*The*

*The Donatists separating from the Catholick Church, oblig'd their Followers to have no Communion with the Bishop of Rome, but only with their own Bishops in Africa.*

Upon which,

*The Fathers teach, That Christ built his Church upon Peter; That the Bishop of Rome is the Successor of St. Peter; That that See is the Center of the Catholick Communion; and, That whosoever separates himself from it, is guilty of Schism.*

*S. Irenæus, l. 3. c. 3. adv. Hær.* Because 'tis too much to reckon up in such a Volume as this, the Succession of all Churches; 'tis only declaring the Tradition of the Church of Rome, the greatest, the most ancient, and most known of all others, founded and establish'd there by the two most glorious Apostles Peter and Paul; 'tis only declaring (I say) the Tradition of this Church, which it has receiv'd from the Apostles, and the Faith deliver'd and convey'd down to us by the Succession of Bishops, and we confound all such, who either through Self-love, Vain-glory, Blindness, Malice, or on any other account, do unduely assemble. For 'tis necessary, that every Church should have recourse to this, by reason of its more powerful Principality.

*S. Optatus Milevit. l. 2. adv. Parmen.* We must see, who was

*S. Irenæus, lib. 3. c. 3. adv. Hær.* Quoniam valde longum est in hoc tali volumine omnium Ecclesiarum enumerare successiones; maximæ & antiquissimæ & omnibus cognita, a gloriosissimis duobus Apostolis Petro & Paulo Romæ fundata & constituta Ecclesia, eam quam habet ab Apostolis Traditionem, & annunciatam hominibus fidem, per successiones Episcoporum pervenientem usque ad nos, indicantes, confundimus omnes eos, qui quoquo modo vel per sui placentiam malam, vel vanam gloriam, vel per cecitatem & malam sententiam, præterquam oportet, colligunt: ad hanc enim Ecclesiam, propter potentioris principalityatem, necesse est, omnem convenire Ecclesiam.

*S. Optatus Milev. l. 2. adv. Parmen.* Videndum est, qui, & ubi,

ubi, prior Cathedra sederit : si ignoras disce, si nosti, erubescere.

— Negare non potes, scire te in urbe Roma Petro primo Cathedram Episcopalem esse collocatam : in qua sederit omnium Apostolorum Caput Petrus, unde & Cephas appellatus est : in qua una Cathedra unitas tibi omnibus servaretur, ne ceteri Apostoli singulas sibi quisque defenderent : ut jam Schismaticus & peccator esset, qui contra singularem Cathedram, alteram collocaret : ergo Cathedra unica, quæ est prima de dotibus. Sedit prior Petrus, cui successit Linus, Lino successit Clemens, Clementi Anacletus, Anacleto Evaristus, Evaristo Sixtus, Sixto Telesphorus, Telesphoro Hyginus, Hygino Anicetus, Aniceto Pius, Pio Soter, Soteri Eleutherius, Eleutherio Victor, Victori Zepherinus, Zepherino Calixtus, Calixto Urbanus, Urbano Pontianus, Pontiano Antherus, Anthero Fabianus, Fabiano Cornelius, Cornelio Lucius, Lucio Stephanus, Stephano Sixtus, Sixto Dionysius, Dionysio Felix, Felici Eutychianus, Eutychiano Caius, Caio Marcellinus, Marcellino Marcellus, Marcello Eusebius, Eusebio Miltiades, Miltiadi Silvester, Silvestro Marcus, Maro Julius, Julio Liberius, Liberio Damasus, Damaso Siricius, hodie qui noster est Socius, cum quo nobis totus orbis commercio formatarum in una

the First Bishop, and where his Episcopal See. If thou art ignorant of this, learn : if thou knowst it, be ashamed. — Thou canst not deny, but thou knowst, that the Episcopal Chair was first erected at Rome by Peter ; in which Peter did sit the Head of all the Apostles, for which reason he was also call'd Cephas : to the end that in this one Chair, Unity might be preserv'd amongst all ; and that the other Apostles might not every one maintain other Seats for themselves, in opposition to this. So that he would be a Schismatick and Sinner, whosoever should set up another Chair, to contend with this one. The Chair therefore is only one ; which is the first of its Endowments. In this Peter sat first, Linus succeeded him, then Clemens, then Anacletus, then Evaristus, then Sixtus, then Telesphorus, then Hyginus, then Anicetus, then Pius, then Soter, then Eleutherius, then Victor, then Zephyrinus, then Calixtus, then Urbanus, then Pontianus, then Antherus, then Fabianus, then Cornelius, then Lucius, then Stephanus, then Sixtus, then Dionysius, then Felix, then Eutychianus, then Caius, then Marcellinus, then Marcellus, then Eusebius, then Miltiades, then Silvester, then Marcus, then Julius, then Liberius, then Damasus, then Siricius, who is at this day

our

our Contemporary, with whom we and the whole World hold Communion by Circular Epistles, and agree, as one Body. Now do you declare to us the Foundation of your Chair, who pretend to be the Holy Church. — If *Victor* were ask'd, where he sat; He could neither shew any to have been there before him, nor any Chair, but the Chair of Pestilence. — Upon what grounds is it therefore, that you pretend to usurp to your selves the Keys of the Kingdom (of Heaven), who by your Presumptions and Lies, have sacrilegiously set your selves in defiance against the Chair of *Peter*? — Your Ancestors took impious Resolutions, that they might make a Schism in the Church.

*S. Cyprian, Ep. 69.* There *Peter* speaks, upon whom the Church was built, teaching and shewing in the name of the Church, &c. *And Ep. 40.* God is one, says he, one Christ, one Church, and one Chair founded upon *Peter* by the Word of Christ. *And Ep. 73.* Christ gave this Power first to *Peter*, upon whom he built the Church, and from whence he instituted and declar'd the Origin of Unity, &c.

*Id. Ep. 55. ad Corn. Pont. Rom.* After this, receiving moreover a counterfeited Bishop given them by the Hereticks, they presume to take Shipping, and to carry Letters from Schismatics and wicked

*communione societate concordat. Vestrae Cathedrae vos originem edicite, qui vobis vultis sanctam Ecclesiam vindicare. — Si Visitori diceretur ubi sederit, nec ante se aliquem illic fuisse monstraret, nec Cathedram aliquam nisi Pestilentiae ostenderet. — Unde est, quod claves Regni vobis usurpare contenditis, qui contra Cathedram Petri, vestris praesumptionibus & mendaciis sacrilegio militatis? — In consilio impietatis itum est a Majoribus vestris, ut dividerent Ecclesiam, &c.*

*S. Cyprianus, Ep. 69.* Loquitur illic Petrus super quem aedificata fuerat Ecclesia, Ecclesiae nomine docens & ostendens, &c. *Et Ep. 40.* Deus unus est, inquit, & Christus unus, & una Ecclesia, & Cathedra una super Petrum Domini voce fundata. *Et Ep. 73.* Petro primum Dominus, super quem aedificavit Ecclesiam, & unde Unitatis originem instituit & ostendit, potestatem istam dedit, &c.

*Idem Ep. 55. ad Cornelium Episc. Rom.* Post ista, inquit, adhuc insuper Pseudo-episcopo sibi ab Haereticis constituto, navigare audent, & ad Petri Cathedram, atque ad Ecclesiam

*siam principalem, unde unitas Sacerdotalis exorta est a schismaticis & profanis literas ferre, nec cogitare eos esse Romanos, (quorum fides Apostolo prædicante laudata est, Rom. 1.) ad quos perfidia habere non possit accessum.*

Patres Sardicenis Concilii in Epist. Synodica ad Julium, P. P. *Hoc enim optimum & valde congruentissimum esse videbitur, si ad Caput, id est, ad Petri Apostoli Sedem, de singulis quibusque Provinciis Domini referant Sacerdotes.*

Eusebius l. 5. Histor. Eccl. c. 24. refert, S. Irenæum adhortatum fuisse Victorem Episcopum Romanum, de Asiaticis Episcopis anathemate percellendis, ob controverfiam de Paschate. cogitantem, ne tot Ecclesias a communione suspenderet. *Victorem, inquit, monuit de hoc, videlicet ne tam multas Ecclesias omnino, propter traditionis ex antiqua consuetudine inter illas usurpatæ, observationem, a corpore universæ Christi Ecclesiæ penitus amputet. Agnovit igitur S. Irenæus in Romano Pontifice potestatem Excommunicandi Asiaticos Episcopos, licet illam non justam fuisse causam existimaret.*

S. Basil ad cap. 2. Isaïæ. *Illico, inquit, per hanc vocem, Petrus, intelligimus Jonæ filium,*

men, to the Chair of Peter, and the Head-Church, from whence the Priestly Unity had its rise; without thinking they were Romans (whose Faith was commended by the Apostle, Rom. 1.) to whom Perfidiousness can have no access.

*Patr. Sardic. Conc. in Ep. ad Jul. P. P.* 'Twill be best, and most agreeable, if the Priests from all Countries have recourse to the Head, that is, to the Seat of Peter the Apostle.

*Eusebius, l. 5. Hist. Eccles. c. 24.* relates, how St. Irenæus, understanding that Victor Bishop of Rome had an intention of excommunicating the Bishops of Asia, for their disagreement in the Observance of Easter, admonishes him not to expel the Communion of the Church so many Prelates with their Dioceses. He admonish'd Victor, says he, not wholly to cut off from the Body of the Universal Church so many Dioceses, for the Observance of a Tradition which from the Primitive times had been practis'd amongst them. *In which which words St. Irenæus acknowledg'd in the Bishop of Rome a Power of Excommunicating the Eastern Bishops, tho' he did not think that a sufficient Reason.*

*S. Basil. ad c. 2. Isa.* Immediately, says he, by this word Peter, we understand the Son of Jo-

*nas*, who was of *Bethsaida*, the Brother of *Andrew*, who was call'd from being a Fisherman to be an Apostle. Who, because he excell'd the rest in Faith, had the Church built upon him.

*And Ep. 74. ad Occid. Episc. de Eustathio Sebast. Where he relates, how Eustathius Sebastenus being depos'd from his See by an Eastern Council at Melitina in Armenia, upon the producing Letters from Liberius Bishop of Rome, in the Council of Tyana in Cappadocia, he was again restor'd to his Seat without controversy. Being expell'd his Bishoprick, says he, because Sentence had been pass'd against him at Melitina, he resolv'd upon using some means for his Redress, and it was by making his application to you. But now, what the most holy Bishop Liberius propos'd to him, or what he consented to, we are altogether ignorant; only that he brought a Letter with him for his being restor'd to his See: Which when the Synod at Tyana had read, his Bishoprick was again deliver'd to him.—Since therefore 'twas thence he grew capable of injuring the Churches, and abus'd the Confidence you gave him, to publish his Impiety, to the subversion of many; 'tis necessary that thence likewise means should be us'd for redressing these Mischiefs; and Letters sent to the Churches,*

*qui fuit ex Bethsaida, Andrea fratrem, qui ex Piscatore in Apostolatus Ministerium vocatus est. Qui quoniam fide præstabat, Ecclesiæ ædificationem in seipsum recepit.*

*Et Ep. 74. ad Occid. Episc. de Eustathio Sebasteno. Ubi refert factum Eustathii, qui cum a Concilio Melitenensi in Armenia fuisset exauctoratus, literis Liberii Pontificis Romani, quibus suam restituebatur in Sedem, coram Concilii Tyanenſis in Cappadocia Patribus prolatis Ecclesiam suam recepit, nulla quæstione habita. Episcopatu, inquit, ejectus, propterea quod jam Melitinæ depositus esset, viam sibi ipsi, per quam restitueretur, excogitavit; eam videlicet, ut ad vos proficisceretur. Quæ vero sint illi a beatissimo Episcopo Liberio proposita, & ad quæ consenserit, nobis clam est; nisi quod Epistolam attulit, per quam restitueretur. Eam ubi Synodo Tyanensi exhibuit, in suum locum restitutus est.—Quoniam igitur isthinc vires accepit lædendi Ecclesias, ac publicandæ suæ impietatis fiducia, quam vos dedistis, ad subversionem multorum usus est, necesse est ut isthinc quoque veniat malorum istorum correctio, scribaturque Ecclesiis, quibus quidem conditionibus ad communionem susceptus sit.*



S. Gregorius Nazian. Orat. 26. de S. Petro & S. Joanne. *Vides, inquit, quemadmodum ex Christi discipulis, magnis utique omnibus, & excelsis, atque electione dignis, hic Petra vocetur, atque Ecclesiæ fundamenta in fidem suam accipiat; ille impensius ametur, & supra pectus Jesu requiescat, ac reliqui Discipuli eos sibi præferri æquo animo ferant.*

Et in brevi Homilia de Creatione Episcopi Doarorum, de Romano Pontifice ita scribit. *Non venimus in magni illius Pastoris contemptum & ignominiam, qui splendide civitati præsidet. Honorabilem scimus, caput agnoscimus, sanctum vocamus tametsi injuria affecti: tantum indulgentem, & humanum Patrem filius se præbeat, totique Ecclesiæ sedulo prospiciat.*

S. Athanasius lib. de Synodis Atimini & Seleuciæ, refert quod cum Dionysium Alexandrinæ Patriarchum Orthodoxi coram Pontifice Romano accusassent; quasi de Filio Dei male sentiret; is vero ab eo Fidei suæ rationem postulasset, ille codi-

declaring the Conditions upon which he was admitted to Communion.

*St. Gregory Nazian. Orat. 26. speaking of St. Peter and St. John. You see, says he, how though all the Disciples of Christ were great, eminent, and worthy to be chosen, yet amongst them all, this is call'd the Rock, and has the Foundations of the Church built upon his Faith: the other is lov'd above the rest, leans upon the Breast of Jesus; and the other Apostles, without disturbance see these prefer'd before them.*

*And in his short Homily de Creat. Episc. Doarorum, he writes thus of the Bishop of Rome. We do not condemn nor revile that Great Pastor, who governs that magnificent City. We know him to be Honourable, we acknowledge him the Head, we style him Holy, notwithstanding the Injury we suffer: only we desire, he will shew himself an indulgent and tender Father to his Children, and diligently take care of the whole Church.*

*St. Athanasius lib. de Synodis Atimini & Seleuciæ, relates, how the Faithful accus'd Dionysius Patriarch of Alexandria, before Dionysius Pope, of his being inclin'd to Arianism: how the Pope demanded an Account of his Faith, and receiv'd an Apology from him, for the quitting himself from the suspi-*

*cion of Heresie.* When certain of the Brethren, *says he*, accus'd the Bishop of *Alexandria* before the Pope, of teaching the Son to be a Creature, and not Consubstantial to the Father: a Synod was call'd at *Rome*, which much resented this: and the Pope wrote to the Bishop of *Alexandria*, giving him an Account of the Judgment of all present: upon which he prepar'd to make his Defence, and writ a Book, with the Title of the Confutation of his Adversaries, and his Apology for himself.

*The same Father relates*, Apolog. 2. *how he being expell'd from his See of Alexandria by the Arians, apply'd himself to Julius Bishop of Rome, who receiv'd him into Communion, and cited both him and his Adversaries to appear at his Tribunal. This appears in the Letter of Julius, sent to the Eastern Bishops, related by St. Athanasius.* Are you ignorant, *says he*, that according to the receiv'd Custom, you ought first to have writ to Us, that hence what was just might have been determin'd? If therefore any such suspicion had been conceiv'd there of a Bishop, it ought to have been referr'd hither to our Church. But now, having done what they pleas'd, without acquainting Us with the matter, they would have Us approve their Condemnation

cillos Apologeticos misit ad Apostolicæ sedis Antistitem, ut Hæresis suspicionem a se depelleret. Cum quidam, inquit, Alexandrinum Episcopum, apud Romanum accusarent, quasi qui Filium opus, & non consubstantialem Patri diceret, & Synodus Romæ cuncta indigne tulit, & Romanus ad cognitionem sibi Episcopum omnium sententiam perscripsit; ac jam inde ille se ad defensionem parans, libello suo titulum Refutationis & Apologiæ indidit.

Idem Athanasius refert, Apolog. 2. quod cum ipse ab Arianis e sede sua Alexandrina pulsus fuisset, provocavit ad Julium Episcopum Romanum; qui ipsum in Communionem suscepit, eumque simul & ejus hostes ad Apostolicum Tribunal vocavit. Hoc liquet in Ep. Julii ad Orientales Episcopos data, de qua S. Athanasius. An ignari estis, inquit, hanc consuetudinem esse, ut primum nobis scribatur, ut hinc, quod justum est, definiri possit? Quapropter si isthic hujusmodi suspicio in Episcopum concepta fuerat, id huc ad nostram Ecclesiam referri oportuit. Nunc autem nos, quos certiores minime fecerunt, postquam jam egerint quod libuit, suffragatores suæ damnationis, cui non interfuimus,

*fuimus, esse volunt. Non ita se habent Pauli ordinationes, non ita Patres docuerunt, sed factus iste & novum studium est. Obsecro vos cum alacritate audite, pro publico bono ista scribo. Quæ enim accepimus a Beato Petro Apostolo, ea vobis significo, non scripturus alioqui; quæ nota apud vos esse arbitror, nisi facta ipsa nos conturbassent.*

writ what, I presume, you know already, had not the Facts themselves given Us a disturbance.

*Sozomenus l. 3. Hist. Eccles. de eadem materia sic scribit. Julius, inquit, certior factus non tutum esse Athanasio in Agypto vitam agere, eum ad se accersivit. Ad illos autem, qui Antiochiæ in unum conveniant, scripsit, atque adeo incusavit, quod clam contra Fidem Concilii Nicæni novas res moliti fuerant, quodque contra leges Ecclesiæ ipsum ad Concilium non vocarant. Nam legem esse ad Sacerdotis dignitatem expectantem, quæ pronunciat acta illa irrita esse, quæ præter sententiam Episcopi Romani constituntur.*

Socrates refert idem l. 2. Hist. Eccles. afferens quod Concilia Orientis irrita haberentur, nisi Romani Episcopi autoritate firmarentur. *Julius* (inquit, scribens

We had no share in. This was not done according to the Ordinance of *Paul*, neither was this Method taught you by the Fathers. 'Tis meer Pride and Innovation. I beseech you, hear me with all cheerfulness, writing these things for the common good. For I signifie nothing to you, but what we have receiv'd from the Blessed Apostle *Peter*; nor would I have

*Sozomenus l. 3. Hist. Eccles. writes thus of the same Subject. Julius, says he, being assur'd that 'twas not safe for Athanasius to continue in Agypt, sent for him. He writ likewise to those who had been assembled at Antioch, reprehending them for clandestinely making Innovations, contrary to the Decrees of the Council of Nice; and that transgressing the Laws of the Church, they had not call'd him to the Council. For that 'tis a Law belonging to the Dignity of Priesthood, which declares those Acts to be void, which are resolv'd on without the Approbation of the Bishop of Rome.*

*Socrates gives the same Account, l. 2. Hist. Eccles. attesting that the Synods of the Eastern Church were void, unless they were confirm'd by the Bishop of Rome. Julius (says he, speaking of the Synod at Antioch)*

tioch) Bishop of Rome was not present there, neither had he sent any thither to supply his Place: and this, notwithstanding the Canon of the Church commands, That no Decrees be establish'd for the Church, without the Consent of the Bishop of Rome.

*Theodoret concurs with the former; for relating how Pope Julius resolv'd to determine the Cause of Athanasius, he writes thus. He (Julius) proceeding according to the Canon of the Church, commanded them to make their Appearance at Rome; he order'd likewise the holy Athanasius to come, and answer in the Court for himself, who without delay obey'd the Summons.*

*Socrates again relates, how Julius Pope restor'd several Eastern Bishops to their Seats, who had been expell'd them by the Arians, l. 2. Hist. Eccles. c. 11. At the same time, says he, Paul Bishop of Constantinople, Asclepas Bishop of Gaza, Marcellus Bishop of Ancyra, and Lucius Bishop of Adrianople, for several Causes accus'd and thrown out of their Churches, going to Rome, the Head City of the World, gave Julius the Bishop of Rome an Account of their Condition. And he, because the Church of Rome had a Privilege above all others, took their parts, writing very sharp Letters to the Eastern Bishops:*

*de Synodo Antiochena) Romanus Episcopus neuitquam aderat; neque quemquam, qui ejus locum suppleret, eo misit, idque cum Canon Ecclesiasticus jubeat, non oportere absque sententia Episcopi Romani decreta Ecclesiæ sancire.*

*Theodoretus idem asserens, de Julio Pontifice cognitionem causæ S. Athanasii suscipiente ita scribit. Ille Ecclesiæ Canonem secutus, & eos jussit Romam venire & Divinum Athanasium quo pro se in judicio ipsi responderet, vocavit. Qui, ut primum fuit accersitus eo contendit.*

*Socrates item refert quomodo Julius Romanus Pontifex aliquos Orientis Episcopos ab Arianis exauthoratos, suis sedibus restituit, l. 2. Hist. Eccles. c. 11. Eodem tempore, inquit, Paulus Episcopus Constantinopolitanus, Asclepas Gazæ, Marcellus Ancyrae, & Lucius Adrianopolis, alius ob aliam causam accusati, & Ecclesiis suis ejecti, apud Romam omnium civitatum facile Principem versantes, Julium Episcopum Romanum de suo ipsorum statu certiore faciant. Ille vero quoniam Ecclesiæ Romanæ privilegium præter cæteras obtinebat, literis suis ad Episcopos Orientales*

*Orientalibus liberius perscriptis, illorum partes tuere studet: In Orientem literas mittit, quo & suis cuique locus restitueretur; & eos, qui illos temere abdicaverant graviter reprehenderet.*

Sozomenus idem scribit de iisdem Episcopis, l. 3. Hist. Eccles. c. 7. Quorum criminationes cum Episcopus Romanus intellexisset, & omnes Fidei Concilii Nicæni consentientes reperisset, in communionem recepit. Ac cum propter Sedis dignitatem cura omnium ad ipsum spectaret, singulis suam Ecclesiam restituit, scripsitque ad Episcopos Orientis, eosque incusavit, quod inconsulto de hisce viris iudicassent, Ecclesiasque perturbassent, cum decretis Concilii Nicæni stare nollent: deditque mandatum, ut quidam illorum, omnium nomine, ad diem constitutam accederent, perspicue declaraturi sententiam, quam de his tulerant, æquam justamque esse: quin etiam minatus est, se de reliquo non passurum eos inultos esse, nisi novis rebus studere desisterent.

ded likewise some Threats, assuring them, that if they did not desist from these unwarrantable Practices for the future, he would not let them pass uncensur'd.

S. Chrysostom. Hom. 2. de Pœnit. in Psal. 50. Columna illa Ecclesiæ, inquit, illa fidei basis, illud Apostolici chori caput,

And sent Orders into the East, that they should be all restor'd to their Bishopricks; severely reprehending those who had so rashly expell'd them their Dioceses.

Sozomenus gives the like account of these Bishops, l. 3. Hist. Eccles. c. 7. When the Bishop of Rome, says he, had understood their Charge, and found they were all Orthodox, and Professors of the Faith as deliver'd in the Council of Nice, he receiv'd them into Communion. And because, for the Dignity of his See, the Care of all belong'd to him, he restor'd them to their Dioceses again; and directed Letters to the Eastern Bishops, reprehending them for so unadvisedly passing Sentence upon these Prelates, for disturbing the Churches, and not observing the Decrees of the Council of Nice. He moreover sent express Command, that some of them should make their appearance in the behalf of all, on the day appointed, to justify the Sentence pronounc'd against these Men, as Good and Righteous. He ad-

S. Chrysostom Hom. 2. de Panit. in Psal. 50. Did not Peter, that Pillar of the Church, that Foundation of the Faith, that Head

Head of the Apostles, deny his Master three several times? *And Hom. 3. in Act. Apost. where speaking of St. Peter, who assembled the First Council of the Church, and propos'd to the Apostles the Choice of one to succeed in the place of the Traytor Judas, he says thus:* How zealous is he! how sensible that the Flock was committed to his Charge by Christ! How do's he shew himself the Chief in this Council!—He being the Chief of all, do's with reason use Authority in this Affair, as having them all in his power. For Christ says to him, Do you being converted confirm your Brethren.—What, *says he*, might not Peter himself have chosen one? No doubt, he might. But he would not do it, lest he should seem to prefer any for favour. *And l. 2. de Sacerdotio, c. 1.* For what reason did he (Christ) shed his Blood? Certainly to gain those Sheep, the Care of which he committed to Peter, and his Successors.

*In his Libello Supplici, presented to Innocentius I. Bishop of Rome, he desires him to repeal the Sentence pass'd against him, by Theophilus Patriarch of Alexandria, and the other Bishops assembled in a Synod ad Quercum. Write, says he*, I beseech you, and by your Authority declare, that what they have thus unjustly done, when I was absent, and never

*Petrus, an non semel, & iterum, & tertio Dominum abjuravit? Et Homil. 3. in Act. Apost. ubi de Sancto Petro scribens, qui convocavit primum Ecclesiæ Concilium, proposuitque Apostolis electionem aliqujus in Judæ proditoris locum, ait: Quam est fervidus, quam cognoscit creditum a Christo gregem! Quam in hoc choro Princeps est!—Merito primus omnium, auctoritatem usurpat in negotio, ut qui omnes habeat in manu. Ad hunc enim dicit Christus, Et tu aliquando conversus, confirma fratres tuos. —Quid, inquit, an non licebat ipsi (Petro) eligere? Licebat, & quidem maxime. Verum id non facit, ne cui videretur gratificari. Et l. 2. de Sacerdotio, c. 1. Quanam de causa ille (Christus) sanguinem effudit suum? Certe ut oves eas acquireret, quarum curam tum Petro, tum Petri successoribus committebat.*

Et in Libello Supplici, quem porrexit Innocentio I. Romano Pontifici, rogat ut rescindat exauctorationis sententiam in se latam a Theophilo Alexandriæ Patriarcha, & Episcopis in Synodo ad Quercum congregatis. *Scribite, precor, & auctoritate vestra decernite, hujusmodi inique gesta, nobis absentibus, & judicium*



*judicium non declinantibus, nullius esse roboris. Porro qui talia gessere, eos Ecclesiæ censuræ subijcite. Nos autem insones, neque convictos, neque deprehensores, neque ullius criminis reos comprobatos, Ecclesiis nostris jubete restitui.*

Vincentius Lerinenfis in Commonit. advers. Hæres. c. 5. Tunc, inquit, B. memoriæ Stephanus Apostolicæ sedis Pontifex, cum cæteris collegis suis, sed tamen præ cæteris restitit, dignum, ut opinor, existimans si reliquos omnes tantum fidei devotione vinceret, quantum loci auctoritate superabat.

S. Ambrosius l. 10. in Luc. ad c. 24. Dominus non dubitabat, inquit, qui interrogabat, non ut disceret, sed ut doceret, quem elevandus in cælum amoris sui velut Vicarium relinquebat.

— Quia solus proficitur ex omnibus, omnibus antefertur. — Tertio Dominus, non jam diligis me? Sed amas me? interrogavit: & jam non agnos, ut primo, quodam lacte pascendos; nec oviculas, ut secundo; sed oves pascere jubetur, perfectiores ut perfectior gubernaret.

time; but to feed his Sheep; that so he being the more perfect, might govern those that are more perfect.

Et in Epist. 78. ad Theophilum, cui Capuana Syno-

refusing to appear, is void and of no effect. Subject them to the Censure of the Church, who have been thus presumptuous. And since I am innocent, having nothing prov'd against me, and found guilty of no Crime, command that I be again restor'd to my See.

Vincentius Lerinenfis in Commonit. advers. Hæres. c. 5. Then, says he, Stephen of Blessed Memory, Bishop of the See Apostolick, with the rest of his Collegues, made opposition, but he above all the rest: Esteeming it, I believe, his Obligation, as much to exceed the rest in Zeal, as he did in the Authority of his Place.

St. Ambrose lib. 10. in Luc. ad c. 24. Christ had no doubt, neither did he ask to learn, but to teach, who it was at his Ascension he would leave behind him as Vicar of his Love. — Because he alone amongst all confesses Christ, he is prefer'd before all. — The third time Christ did not only ask him, Dost thou love me? But, Dost thou love me entirely? And he do's not command him now, as he did the first time, to feed his Lambs, with a kind of Milk; or his little Sheep, as he did the second

And in his 78. Epist. to Theophilus, whom the Synod at Capua  
F  
had

*bad nam'd Arbitrator for the Decision of the Controversies of the Church at Antioch: Where he advises Theophilus, that he ought to give an Account of the Cause to the See Apostolick; that otherwise his Sentence would not be accepted, unless it were ratified by the Bishop of Rome. Truly we judge, says he, that you ought to have recourse to our Holy Brother, the Bishop of Rome; because we presume, you will so decide the Controversie, as shall not be displeasing to him. For by this means the Sentence you pronounce will be advantag'd; by this means you'll secure Peace and Quietness, if that be establish'd in your Council, which may not cause any Dissention in our Communion: that we also receiving your Decrees, may joyfully reap the Fruit of such a Trial, knowing what has been done, the Roman Church has steddily approv'd.*

*St. Jerome l. 1. advers. Pelag. c. 4. As Plato, says he, was Prince of the Philosophers, so was Peter, of the Apostles; upon whom the Church of Christ was firmly built. And in his 89. Epist. to St. Augustine: The whole Multitude, says he, was silent, and James the Apostle, together with all the Priests, subscrib'd to his Judgment. The Reader ought not to be disturb'd at these things, but look on them as*

*adus Provinciam commiserat judicandi de Ecclesiæ Antiochenæ diffidiis. Agnoscit namque Theophilum judicii sui relationem ad Sedem Apostolicam mittere debere, & nullius fore roboris prolata ab illo sententiam, nisi a Pontifice Romano probeatur. Sane, inquit, referendum arbitramur ad Sanctum fratrem nostrum Romanæ Sacerdotem Ecclesiæ; quoniam præsumimus ea te judicaturum, quæ etiam illi displicere nequeant. Ita enim utile erit consultum sententiæ; ita pacis & quietis securitas, si id vestro statuatur Concilio, quod Communioni nostræ dissentionem non afferat; ut nos quoque accepta vestrorum serie statutorum, cum id gestum esse cognoverimus, quod Ecclesia Romana haud dubie probaverit, leti fructum hujusmodi examinis adipiscamur.*

*S. Hieronymus l. 1. advers. Pelag. c. 4. Ut Plato, inquit, Princeps Philosophorum, sic Petrus Apostolorum fuit, super quem Ecclesia Domini stabili mole fundata est. Et in Epist. 89. ad S. Augustinum: Tacuit, inquit, omnis multitudo, & in sententiam ejus Jacobus Apostolus, & omnes simul Presbyteri transierunt. Hæc non debent esse molesta Lectori, sed & mihi, & illi utilia, ut probe-*  
*mus,*

*mus, ante Apostolum Paulum non ignorasse Petrum Principem hujus decreti, Legem post Evangelium non esse servandam. Denique tantæ Petrus autoritatis fuit ut Paulus in Epistola sua scripserit; Deinde post annos tres veni Hierosolyman videre Petrum, &c. Et in l. 1. advers. Jovinian. c. 14. At dicis, inquit, super Petram fundatur Ecclesia; licet idipsum alio in loco super omnes Apostolos fiat, & cuncti claves regni cælorum accipiant, & ex æquo super eos Ecclesiæ fortitudo solidetur; tamen propterea inter duodecim unus eligitur, ut Capite constituto, Schismatis tollatur occasio.*

upon them; Nevertheless, One is chosen of the Twelve, to the end that a Head being appointed, all occasion of Schism may be cut off.

*Et in Ep. 57. ad Damasum Papam: Quanquam igitur, inquit, tua me terreat magnitudo, invitat tamen humanitas. A Sacerdote victimam salutis, a Pastore præsidium ovis flagito. Cum successore Piscatoris, & Discipulo Crucis loquor. Ego nullum primum, nisi Christum sequens, Beatitudini tuæ, id est, Cathedræ Petri, communione consocior. Super illam Petram ædificatam Ecclesiam scio. Quicumque extra hanc domum agnum comederit, prophanus est. Si quis in arca Noe non fuerit,*

*profitable both to him and me, to prove, that before the Apostle St. Paul, St. Peter, the Author of this Decree, knew well, that the Law was not to be observ'd after the Promulgation of the Gospel. Finally, so great was the Authority of Peter, that Paul says in his Epistle thus: Then after three years I came to Jerusalem to see Peter. And in his first Book against Jovinian, c. 14. But you affirm, says he, that the Church is founded upon St. Peter; altho' in another place, 'tis said to be built upon all the Apostles, and that all of them receiv'd the Keys of the Kingdom of Heaven, and have the Church establish'd equally*

*And in his 57. Epistle to Pope Damasus: Notwithstanding therefore, says he, your Greatness do's strike an awe upon me, yet your Goodness puts me forward. I petition of the Priest, the Victim of Salvation; and since you are my Pastor, I demand a Protection of this your Sheep. I speak to the Successor of the Fisherman, to the Disciple of the Cross. I, following no other Leader, but Christ, am in Communion with your Holiness, that is, with the Chair of Peter. Upon that Rock I know the*  
F 2 Church

Church is built. Whosoever eats the Lamb out of this House, is profane. Whoever is not in the Ark of Noah, shall perish in the Flood. And because, for my Sins, I have retired to that Desert, which joyns Syria to Barbary, and by reason of the great distance, I cannot always ask the Holy of our Lord from your Holiness; I therefore follow here your Brethren, the Egyptian Confessors; and being but inconsiderable, lie hid among these eminent Men. I know not *Vitalis*, I reject *Meletius*, I do not own *Paulinus*. Whosoever do's not gather with you, scatters; that is, He that is not of Christ, is Antichrist's. — Wherefore I conjure your Holiness, by our Crucified Lord, by the Redemption of Mankind, by the Consubstantial Trinity, that by your Letters you will give me Authority how to use this word *Hypostasis*, in explicating the Mystery of the Trinity. And Ep. 58. I cry aloud, says he, Whoever is in Communion with the Chair of *Peter*, is mine. *Meletius*, *Vitalis*, and *Paulinus* say they communicate with you. I could give credit, if only One said it; but now either Two of them lie, or All.

And in his eighth Epistle to *Demetrias*, c. 9. And because I fear, says he, nay, I hear it reported, that in some there yet live and spring up poisonous Weeds; I

peribit regnante diluvio. Et quia pro meis facinoribus ad eam solitudinem commigravi, quæ Syriam juncto Barbariæ sine determinat, nec possum sanctum Domini tot interjacentibus spaciis a Sanctimonia tua semper expetere, ideo hic Collegas tuos Egyptios Confessores sequor, & sub onerariis navibus parva navicula delitescō. Non novi Vitalem, Meletium respuo, ignoro Paulinum. Quicumque tecum non colligit, spargit; hoc est, Qui Christi non est, Antichristi est. — Quamobrem obtestor Beatitudinem tuam per Crucifixum, per mundi salutem, per Homousion Trinitatem, ut mihi literis tuis, siue tacendarum, siue dicendarum Hypostaseon detur auctoritas. Et Ep. 58. ad eundem: Ego, inquit, clamo; Si quis Cathedræ Petri jungitur, meus est. Meletius, Vitalis, atque Paulinus tibi hæc se dicunt. Possem credere si hoc unus assereret; nunc aut duo mentiuntur, aut omnes.

Et in Ep. 8. ad *Demetriadem*, c. 9. Et quia vereor, inquit, imo rumore cognovi, in quibusdam adhuc vivere & pullulare venenata plantaria, illud  
se,

te, pio charitatis affectu prae-mo-nendam puto, ut Sancti Inno-centii qui Apostolicae Cathedrae Successor est, teneas fidem: Nec peregrinam, quantumvis tibi prudens callidaque videaris do-ctrinam recipias.

S. Augustinus in Psal. 69. Petrus, inquit, qui paulo ante Christum confessus erat Filium Dei, & in illa confessione appel-latus erat Petra, supra quam fa-bricaretur Ecclesia, paulo post, Domino dicente de futura Passi-one sua, ait, Absit a te Domine.

Et l. 2. de Bapt. cont. Do-nat. c. 1. ubi cum retulisset illa S. Cypriani verba ex Epistola ad Quintum: Nam nec Petrus quem primum Domi-nus elegit, & supra quem ædifi-cavit Ecclesiam suam, cum se-cum Paulus de Circumcisione disceptaret, postmodum vindi-cavit sibi aliquid insolenter, aut arroganter assumpsit, ut diceret, se Primatum tenere, & obtem-perari a Novellis, & Posteris sibi debere.—Cum inquam, il-la verba S. Augustinus retu-lisset, ait; Ecce ubi commemo-rat Cyprianus, quod etiam nos in Scripturis sanctis didicimus, Apostolum Petrum, in quo Pri-matus Apostolorum tam excel-lenti gratia præeminet, aliter quam veritas postulabat, agere

think fit to admonish you with a pious and charitable affection, that you hold fast the Faith of Holy Innocentius, the Successor in the Apostolical Chair: and tho' you seem to your self prudent and subtle, yet by no means em-brace any strange Doctrine.

St. Augustin in Psal. 69. Peter, says he, who a little before con-fess'd Christ to be the Son of God, and upon that Profession had been call'd the Rock, on which the Church was to be built, soon after, when our Lord was speaking of what he was to suffer, replied to him, Far be it from thee, O Lord, &c.

And l. 2. de Bapt. cont. Donat. c. 1. where when he had quoted these words of St. Cyprian out of his Epistle to Quintus: For nei-ther Peter, who was the First chosen by our Lord, and upon whom he built his Church, did any thing insolently take upon him, when Paul argued with him concerning the Circumci-sion, or arrogantly tell him, that he held the Primacy, and that he ought to be obey'd by New Converts, and those that came after him.—When St. Au-gustin, I say, had quoted these words, he adds: Behold, says he, where Cyprian recounts, as-we have likewise read in the Holy Scriptures, how the Apostle Pe-ter, in whom the Primacy of the Apostles was so eminently re-splendent,

splendent, being us'd to treat with the Jews about Circumcision, otherwise than Truth requir'd, was reprehended by Paul, who was call'd to the Apostleship after him. And a little after: I am of opinion, that, without any injury, Cyprian the Bishop may be compar'd in point of Martyrdom to the Apostle Peter. Yet I ought to be afraid of undervaluing Peter: For who is there that do's not know, that that Headship of the Apostles is more excellent than any Bishoprick? But notwithstanding there be a difference as to the Dignity of their Chairs; yet as they are Martyrs, their Glory is the same.

*And in Psal. contr. partem Donati:* Reckon up the Priests even in the Chair of Peter; and in that List of Fathers see the Order of Succession. That is the Rock, against which the Gates of Hell shall never prevail.

*In his Epistle to Generosus, being the 165th.* If we are to consider the Order of Bishops succeeding one another, how much more certainly, and truly to purpose, do we begin from Peter; to whom, being the Representative of the whole Church, Christ said, Upon this Rock I will build my Church, and the Gates of Hell shall not prevail against it. For Linus succeeded Peter, Clemens succeeded Linus, Anacletus succeeded Clemens, then

*solitum de Circumcisione, a posteriore Apostolo Paulo esse correctum. Et inferius: Puto quod sine ulla contumelia Cyprianus Episcopus Apostolo Petro comparatur, quantum attinet ad Martyrii coronam: ceterum magis vereri debeo ne in Petrum contumeliosus existam. Quis enim nescit illum Apostolatus Principatum cuilibet Episcopatu præferendum? Sed etsi distat Cathedralium gratia; una est tamen Martyrum gloria.*

*Et in Psal. contr. partem Donati: Numerate, inquit, Sacerdotes vel ab ipsa Sede Petri: & in ordine illo Patrum, quis cui successit, videte. Ipsa est Petra, quam non vincunt superbiæ inferorum portæ.*

*Et in Ep. ad Generosum, quæ est 165. Si ordo, inquit, Episcoporum sibi succedentium considerandus est, quanto certius & vere salubriter ab ipso Petro numeramus, cui totius Ecclesiæ figuram gerenti, Dominus ait, Super hanc Petram ædificabo Ecclesiam meam, & portæ inferorum non vincent eam. Petro enim successit Linus, Lino Clemens, Clementi Anacletus, Anacleto Evaristus, &c. Damasus Siricius, Siricio Anastasius,*

*in*



*in hoc ordine successionis nullus Donatista Episcopus invenitur.— In illum autem ordinem Episcoporum, qui ducitur ab ipso Petro usque ad Anastasium, qui nunc eandem Cathedram tenet, etiamsi quisquam traditor per illa tempora subrepisset nihil præjudicaret Ecclesiæ, & innocentibus Christianis.*

Church, nor the Innocent Christians.

Et in Ep. 162. Unde, inquit, (Carthago) non mediocris auctoritatis habebat Episcopum, (Cæcilianum) qui posset non curare conspirantem multitudinem inimicorum, cum se videret, & Romanæ Ecclesiæ, in qua semper Apostolicæ Cathedræ viguit Principatus, & cæteris terris, unde Evangelium ad ipsam Africam venit, per communicatorias literas esse conjunctum, ubi paratus esset suam causam dicere, si Adversarii ejus ab eo illas Ecclesias alienari conarentur.

Et Ep. 92. ad Innocentium Papam I. nomine Concilii Milevitani data: Quia, inquit, te Dominus gratiæ suæ præcipuo munere in Sede Apostolica collocavit, talemque nostris temporibus præstitit, ut nobis potius ad culpam negligentiae valeat, si apud tuam Venerationem, quæ pro Ecclesia

*Evaristus, &c. then Damasus, then Siricius, then Anastasius.* In this List of Succession there's not one Donatist Bishop to be found.— And now if any Faithless Brother had in all that time crept into this Order of Bishops, which beginning with Peter, comes down to Anastasius, who now sits in that Chair; yet would not this prejudice the

*In his 162. Epistle:* Whence, says he, (Carthage) had a Bishop (Cæcilianus) of no small Authority, who might condemn his numerous Adversaries conspiring against him; because he saw himself in Communion with the Church of Rome, in which has always flourish'd the Prerogative of the Apostolical Chair; and likewise with other Nations, from whence Africa first receiv'd the Gospel, where he was ready to justify himself, if his Adversaries should endeavour to alienate from him those Churches.

*In his 92. Epist. to Pope Innocent I. in the name of the Council of Milevis:* Since it hath pleased God, says he, by his special Grace, to seat you in the Chair Apostolick, and so to qualifie you in these our Times, that it would be a Crime in us not to lay before you what is for the Church's Interest, there being no danger

splendent, being us'd to treat with the Jews about Circumcision, otherwise than Truth requir'd, was reprehended by *Paul*, who was call'd to the Apostleship after him. And a little after: I am of opinion, that, without any injury, *Cyprian* the Bishop may be compar'd in point of Martyrdom to the Apostle *Peter*. Yet I ought to be afraid of undervaluing *Peter*: For who is there that do's not know, that that Headship of the Apostles is more excellent than any Bishoprick? But notwithstanding there be a difference as to the Dignity of their Chairs; yet as they are Martyrs, their Glory is the same.

*And in Psal. contr. partem Donati:* Reckon up the Priests even in the Chair of *Peter*; and in that List of Fathers see the Order of Succession. That is the Rock, against which the Gates of Hell shall never prevail.

*In his Epistle to Generosus, being the 165th.* If we are to consider the Order of Bishops succeeding one another, how much more certainly, and truly to purpose, do we begin from *Peter*; to whom, being the Representative of the whole Church, Christ said, Upon this Rock I will build my Church, and the Gates of Hell shall not prevail against it. For *Linus* succeeded *Peter*, *Clemens* succeeded *Linus*, *Anacletus* succeeded *Clemens*, then

*solitum de Circumcisione, a posteriore Apostolo Paulo esse correctum. Et inferius: Puto quod sine ulla contumelia Cyprianus Episcopus Apostolo Petro comparatur, quantum attinet ad Martyrii coronam: ceterum magis vereri debeo ne in Petrum contumeliosus existam. Quis enim nescit illum Apostolatus Principatum cuilibet Episcopatu præferendum? Sed etsi distat Cathedralarum gratia; una est tamen Martyram gloria.*

*Et in Psal. contr. partem Donati: Numerate, inquit, Sacerdotes vel ab ipsa Sede Petri: & in ordine illo Patrum, quis cui successit, videte. Ipsa est Petra, quam non vincunt superbiæ inferorum portæ.*

*Et in Ep. ad Generosum, quæ est 165. Si ordo, inquit, Episcoporum sibi succedentium considerandus est, quanto certius & vere salubriter ab ipso Petro numeramus, cui totius Ecclesiæ figuram gerenti, Dominus ait, Super hanc Petram ædificabo Ecclesiam meam, & portæ inferorum non vincent eam. Petro enim successit Linus, Lino Clemens, Clementi Anacletus, Anacleto Evaristus, &c. Damaso, Siricius, Siricio Anastasius,*  
in

*in hoc ordine successionis nullus Donatista Episcopus invenitur.— In illum autem ordinem Episcoporum, qui ducitur ab ipso Petro usque ad Anastasium, qui nunc eandem Cathedram tenet, etiamsi quisquam traditor per illa tempora subrepsisset nihil præjudicaret Ecclesiæ, & innocentibus Christianis.*

*Evaristus, &c. then Damasus, then Siricius, then Anastasius. In this List of Succession there's not one Donatist Bishop to be found.— And now if any Faithless Brother had in all that time crept into this Order of Bishops, which beginning with Peter, comes down to Anastasius, who now sits in that Chair; yet would not this prejudice the Church, nor the Innocent Christians.*

*Et in Ep. 162. Unde, inquit, (Carthago) non mediocris auctoritatis habebat Episcopum, (Cæcilianum) qui posset non curare conspirantem multitudinem inimicorum, cum se videret, & Romanæ Ecclesiæ, in qua semper Apostolicæ Cathedræ viguit Principatus, & cæteris terris, unde Evangelium ad ipsam Africam venit, per communicatorias literas esse conjunctum, ubi paratus esset suam causam dicere, si Adversarii ejus ab eo illas Ecclesias alienari conarentur.*

*In his 162. Epistle: Whence, says he, (Carthage) had a Bishop (Cæcilianus) of no small Authority, who might condemn his numerous Adversaries conspiring against him; because he saw himself in Communion with the Church of Rome, in which has always flourish'd the Prerogative of the Apostolical Chair; and likewise with other Nations, from whence Africa first receiv'd the Gospel, where he was ready to justify himself, if his Adversaries should endeavour to alienate from him those Churches.*

*Et Ep. 92. ad Innocentium Papam I. nomine Concilii Milevitani data: Quia, inquit, te Dominus gratiæ suæ præcipuo munere in Sede Apostolica collocavit, talemque nostris temporibus præstitit, ut nobis potius ad culpam negligentiae valeat, si apud tuam Venerationem, quæ pro Ecclesia*

*In his 92. Epist. to Pope Innocent I. in the name of the Council of Milevis: Since it hath pleased God, says he, by his special Grace, to seat you in the Chair Apostolick, and so to qualifie you in these our Times, that it would be a Crime in us not to lay before you what is for the Church's Interest, there being no danger*

ger on your side of taking it either in contempt or scorn; we do beseech your Holiness to use all your Care of Pastor, in looking after the infirm Members of Christ. For a New Heresie is lately broach'd, and a violent Storm is rais'd by the Enemies of the Grace of Christ, who by their profane Arguments endeavour to take from us the Lords Prayer.—But we hope, by the Mercy of our Lord Jesus Christ, which is never wanting to you in the discharging your Duty, and always assists you at your Prayers, that the Abettors of this perverse and pernicious Doctrine will submit to the Authority of your Holiness, which Authority is deriv'd to you by the Authority of the Holy Scripture.

*In his 157. Epistle to Optatus, where he says, that himself and the African Bishops went to Cesarea, to assemble in Council there, by express Command of the Pope: They came, says he, to Cesarea, I being likewise present; whither we were oblig'd to go, by the Command of Venerable Pope Zozimus, Bishop of the See Apostolic.*

*And l. 1. to Pope Boniface, c. 1. he writes thus of the Pelagians, contra duas Epist. Pelag. Since they cease not to roar about the Fold of Christs Flock, and to pry on all sides, for entrance, to worry the*

*suggerenda sunt, tacuerimus, quam ea tu possis vel fastidiosè vel negligenter accipere: magnis periculis infirmorum membrorum Christi Pastoralem diligentiam quæsumus adhibere digneris. Nova quippe Hæresis. & nimium perniciofa tempestas surgere inimicorum gratiæ Christi capit, qui nobis etiam Dominicam Orationem impiis disputationibus conantur auferre.—Sed arbitramur, adjuvante misericordia Domini nostri Jesu Christi, qui te & regere consulentem, & orantem exaudire dignatur, auctoritati Sanctitatis tuæ de sanctorum Scripturarum auctoritate depromptæ, facilius eos, qui tam perversa & perniciofa sentiunt, esse cessuros.*

*Et in Ep. 157. ad Optatum data; ubi dicit se & Africanos Episcopos, jussu Summi Pontificis ad Concilium Cesareæ venisse: Me, inquit, apud Cesaream præsentem, venerunt, quo nos injuncta nobis a venerabili Papa Zozimo Apostolicæ sedis Episcopo, Ecclesiastica necessitas traxerat.*

*Et l. 1. ad Bonifacium, c. 1. de Pelagianis ita scribit. Cum vero non desinant fremere ad Dominici gregis caulas, atque ad diripiendas tanto pretio redemptas oves, aditus undecun-*  
que

*que rimari, communisque sit omnibus nobis, qui fungimur Episcopatus officio, quamvis ipse in eo præmineas celsiore fastigio speculæ pastoralis: facio quod possum, pro mei particula muneris, ut pestilentibus & insidiantibus eorum scriptis medentia & munientia scripta præcendam, quibus rabies, qua furunt aut ipsa sanetur, aut a lædendis aliis repellatur.*

S. Cyrillus Alexandrinus l. 2. in Joannem c. 12. hæc scribit de Christo. *Discipulum instruit eum se esse, qui cuncta, etiam antequam fiant, novit; nec Simon fore jam nomen sibi, sed Petrus, prædicit; vocabulo ipso commode significans, quod in eo tanquam in Petra lapideque firmissimo, suam esset ædificaturus Ecclesiam.* Et l. 12. in Joan. c. 64. hæc habet de Petro. *Ut Princeps, inquit, Caputque cæterorum primus exclamat; Tu es Christus Filius Dei vivi.*

Cælestinus I. in Ep. ad Cyrillum, quæ refertur prima parte Concil. Ephesin. c. 15. Nestorius Constantinopolitanus Antistes in Hæresim labitur. Certior factus de ejus impietate Cælestinus Romanus Pontifex, vices suas committit Cyrillo Episcopo Alexandrino, ut eum Communione, & Episcopatu mulctet, si fuerit in er-

Sheep, redeem'd at such a rate: and 'tis the common Cause of us all who are Bishops, tho' you shine in a higher Orb of the Pastoral Charge: I do what I can, in my little Sphere, to oppose their pestilent and treacherous Pamphlets, with healing and fortifying Writings, that so I may either cure them of their Madness, or at least prevent the Infection of others.

St. Cyril of Alexandria, l. 2. in Joan. c. 12. writes thus of our B. Saviour. He teaches, says he, his Apostle, that he knew all things before they had a Being: And he foretells him, that his Name shall be no longer *Simon*, but *Peter*: very fitly intimating by that Name, that he intended to build his Church upon him, as upon a Rock or most firm Stone. And l. 12. in Joan. c. 64. he speaks thus of Peter. He first cries out, says he, as the Prince and Head of all the rest; *Thou art Christ, the Son of the Living God.*

Cælestin I. in Ep. ad Cyrillum, which is cited in the First Part of the Council of Ephesus, c. 15. In the time of his Papacy Nestorius Patriarch of Constantinople began to preach a new Heresie; and Cælestinus Bishop of Rome, being inform'd of it, substituted Cyril Bishop of Alexandria in his place, to excommunicate Nestorius, and expel him his Bishoprick, in case he continued obstinate in his Error.

G

Where-

Wherefore (*says Cælestinus in the foremention'd Epistle*) taking upon you the Authority of our Chair, supplying our Place, and using our Power, see this Sentence with all rigour executed upon him; *viz.* That in case he do's not, within the space of ten days, to be reckon'd from the day of this our Admonition, disown, and in express words condemn his wicked Doctrine, — Do you immediately provide for that Church. And let him know, that he's in all respects cut off from the Communion of our Body. *This Council of Ephesus was one of the first Four General Councils.*

*And the Fathers of the Ephesin Council own'd themselves oblig'd to pass this Sentence against Nestorius, in obedience to the Command of Pope Cælestin: The Sentence pronounced against the Herefiarch being deliver'd in these words, Act. 1. Conc. Being oblig'd by the Holy Canons, and by the Letter of our Holy Father and Fellow-Minister Cælestin Bishop of Rome, not without Tears we proceed to pronounce this mournful Sentence against him.*

*In the same Ephesin Council, Philip Presbyter, and Legat from the See Apostolick, speaks thus, upon occasion of the foremention'd Letter, Act. 2. We return our Thanks to this Holy and Venerable Synod, for that when the Letter*

*rore propugnando pertinax. Quamobrem (inquit Cælestinus dicta Epist.) nostra Sedis auctoritate adscita, nostraque vice & loco cum potestate usus, ejusmodi, non absque exquisita severitate, sententiam exequeris; nempe, ut nisi decem dierum intervallo ab hujus nostre admonitionis die numerandorum, nefariam Doctrinam suam conceptis verbis anathematizat. — Illi-co Sanctitas tua illi Ecclesiæ prospiciat. Is vero modis omnibus se a nostro corpore segregaturus esse intelligat.*

*Ephesina Synodus officii loco duxit, obsequi Epistolæ Cælestini I. qua Nestorium damnabat. Sic enim habent Patres in sententiâ depositionis in illum Hæresiarcham lata. Act. 1. Conc. Coacti per Sacros Canones & Epistolam Sanctissimi Patris nostri, & Comministri Cælestini Romanæ Ecclesiæ Episcopi, lachrymis subinde perfusi, ad lugubrem hanc contra eum sententiam venimus.*

*His consonat Philippi Presbyteri, & Sedis Apostolicæ Legati, interlocutio in eodem Concilio, Act. 2. Gratias agimus, inquit, Sanctæ Venerandæque Synodo, quod literis Sancti Beatique Papæ nostri*



*noſtri vobis recitatis, ſanctos cantus, ſanctis vocibus veſtris, ſancto Capiti veſtro, ſanctis etiam acclamationibus exhibueritis. Non enim ignorat veſtra Beatitudo, totius fidei, vel etiam Apoſtolorum Caput eſſe Beatum Apoſtolum Petrum. Ita Concilii Oecumenici Caput, nemine omnium Patrum reclamante, Romanus Pontifex appellatur. Iterum Philippus, Act. 3. Nulli dubium, imo ſæculis omnibus notum eſt, quod Sanctus Beatiffimusque Petrus Apoſtolorum Princeps & Caput, Fideique columna, & Catholice Eccleſiæ fundamentum a Domino noſtro Jeſu Chriſto Salvatore humani generis, ac Redemptore, claves regni accepit, ſolvendique ac ligandi peccata poteſtas ipſi data eſt, qui ad hoc uſque tempus, & ſemper, in ſuis Succeſſoribus vivit, & judicium exercet. Hujus itaque ſecundum ordinem Succeſſor, & locum tenens Sanctus Beatiffimusque Papa noſter Cæleſtinus Episcopopus, nos ipſius præſentiam ſupplentes ad hanc Synodum miſit.*

*Hinc Cæleſtinus Pontifex Maximus in Ep. ad Synodum Ephēſ. 3. p. c. 20. ait; Longius quidem ſumus poſiti; ſed per ſollicitudinem, totum propius intuemur. Omnes habet Beati Petri Apoſtoli cura præſentes.*

of our Holy and Bleſſed Father the Pope was read, with your holy Voices and Acclamations you reſounded holy Songs to your Holy Head. For your Holineſs knows well, that the Bleſſed Apoſtle Peter is the Head of all the Faithful, even of the Apoſtles themſelves. *Thus in a General Council the Biſhop of Rome was call'd Head, without any contradiction. But Philip goes on, Act. 3. 'Tis doubted by none, nay 'tis confeſſ'd by all Ages, that the Holy and ever Bleſſed Apoſtle Peter, the Prince and Head of the Apoſtles, the Pillar of our Faith, and Foundation of the Catholick Church, receiv'd from our Lord Jeſus Chriſt the Saviour and Redeemer of Mankind, the Keys of his Kingdom; and that the Power of looſing and binding Sins was given him, who do's at this time, and will always live in his Succeſſors, and exerciſe this Power. And 'tis his Succeſſor, our Bleſſed Pope Celeſtin, who has ſent us to this Synod, with Commiſſion to ſupply his Place.*

*Hence Pope Celeſtin, in his Epiſtle to this Council of Ephēſus, 3. p. c. 20. ſays thus; We are at a great diſtance indeed; but Our ſollicitude for the Whole, makes it all preſent to us. The Care of the Bleſſed Apoſtle Peter keeps all Perſons in his Eyes.*

*The General Council of Chalcedon own'd this Supreme Authority of the Pope, inasmuch as the chief Accusation against Dioscorus was, That contrary to the Tradition and Practice of the Church, he had presum'd to call a Council, without the consent of the Bishop of Rome; as appears from the words of Lucentius, Legate from the See Apostolick, Act. 1. 'Tis necessary, says he, he should give an account of the Judgment he has pronounc'd; since not having Authority to judge, he notwithstanding presum'd and durst Convocate a Synod without the Authority of the See Apostolick, which was ever unlawful, and without Precedent.*

*In an Account given by the same General Council to Pope Leo, of the irregular Proceedings of Dioscorus in condemning Flavianus, and absolving Eutyches, these words are added: Moreover, his Fury has not spar'd him, to whom the Care of the Vineyard was committed by Christ, that is, your Holiness.*

*The Emperor Valentinian, in his Letter to Theodosius Emperor, writes thus, p. 1. Conc. Chal. num. 25. Which (Faith) deliver'd to us by our Ancestors, we are oblig'd to maintain with all reasonable Zeal; and to preserve unviolated, in our time also, the*

*Agnita est ab Oecumenica Synodo Calcedonenſi Suprema Romani Pontificis Authoritas, cum præcipuum illud prolatum est adverſus Dioſcorum accuſationis caput, quod Pontificiam læſiſſet Majeſtatem, & Synodum abſque Romani Epiſcopi authoritate, contra traditionem & Eccleſiæ conſuetudinem celebrare auſus eſſet; quod ex Lucentii Legati Apoſtolici interlocutione conſtat, Act. 1. Judicii ſui, inquit, neceſſe eſt eum reddere rationem, quia cum perſonam judicandi non haberet, præſumpſit, & Synodum auſus eſt facere ſine authoritate Sedis Apoſtolicæ; quod nunquam licuit, nunquam factum eſt.*

*Hinc Synodus univerſa, in relatione ad S. Leonem, reſenſitis Dioſkori exceſſibus in damnatione Flaviani & Eutychetis reſtitutione ſubjungit: Inſuper & contra ipſum, cui vineæ cuſtodia a Salvatore commiſſa eſt, extendit inſaniam, id eſt, contra tuam quoque Apoſtolicam Sanctitatem.*

*Valentinianus Imperator ad Theodoſium Imperatorem ſcribens hæc habet, p. 1. Conc. Chal. num. 25. Quam (Fidem) nos a majoribus traditam, debemus cum omni competente devotione defendere, & dignitatem propriæ venerationis*  
B. Apo-

*B. Apostolo Petro intemeratam & in nostris temporibus conservare: quatenus Beatissimus Romanæ Civitatis Episcopus, cui Principatum Sacerdotii super omnes antiquitas contulit, locum habeat, ac facultatem de Fide & Sacerdotibus judicare: hujus enim rei gratia & Constantino- politanus Episcopus Flavianus eam per libellos appellavit, propter contentionem, quæ orta est de fide.*

Victor Vitenfis l. 2. de Persec. Vandal. refert quod cum Hunnericus Rex Vandalorum urgeret Eugenium Carthageniensem Archiepiscopum, ut collationem de fide haberet cum Arianis, ita ipsi respondit: *Si nostram fidem, quæ una & vera est, potestas Regis cognoscere desiderat, mittat ad amicos suos. Scribam & ego fratribus meis, ut veniant Coepiscopi mei, qui vobis nobiscum communem fidem nostram valeant demonstrare, & præcipue Ecclesia Romana, quæ caput est omnium Ecclesiarum.*

S. Petrus Chrysologus in Ep. ad Eutychet. quæ refertur 1. p. Concil. Chalced. num. 15. *In omnibus autem, inquit, hortamur te, Frater honorabilis, ut his, quæ a beatissimo Papa Romanæ Civitatis scripta sunt, obedienter attendas; quoniam B. Petrus, qui in*

Dignity and just Veneration to the Blessed Apostle Peter: to the end that the Holy Bishop of Rome, to whom Antiquity hath given the Preeminence of Priesthood above all, may have liberty and power to judge of Matters of Faith, and the Cause of Priests. For this end, even the Bishop of Constantinople, Flavianus, hath made his Appeal to him, by reason of a Controversie hapning in a Concern of Faith.

Victor Vitenfis l. 2. de Persec. Vandal. relates how Eugenius Archbishop of Carthage, being urg'd by Hunnericus King of the Vandals, to meet in Conference with the Arians, to discourse of Matters of Faith, answer'd thus: If it be my Sovereign's desire to understand our Faith, which is One, and True, let him please to summon his Friends: and I will likewise write to my Brethren and Fellow-Bishops to meet, and demonstrate to you our Common Faith; and especially the Church of Rome, which is the Head of all other Churches.

S. Peter Chrysologus in Ep. ad Eutychet. quæ refertur 1. p. Concil. Chalced. num. 15. We advise you, Reverend Brother, in all things to observe obediently what is written to you by his Holiness the Pope of Rome: because Blessed Peter, who both lives and governs in his own See, never fail,

fails to afford the Truth of Faith to such as seek it. For we, out of love to Peace and Faith, cannot hear Controversies of Faith, without the Consent of the Bishop of Rome.

*The Religious and Monks of Syria, in an Epistle, write thus to Hormisdas Bishop of Rome: We beseech your Holiness, and humbly entreat you, to stand up with all fervor and zeal, and take just Compassion on your wounded Body: For you are the Head of all. Defend the Faith, which is condemn'd; the Canons, which are trampled under foot; the Fathers, which are blasphem'd; and so great a Synod, which is thrown by and condemn'd.*

*The Second General Council, being the First at Constantinople, desir'd their Decrees to be confirm'd by Pope Damasus; especially as to the deposing of Timotheus Bishop, an Apollinarist. Of which Damasus writes thus to the Eastern Bishops, as Theodoret has it, l. 5. c. 10. 'Tis your Honour, my most worthy Children, that you express a due Respect to the See Apostolick.—But why do you desire again of me, that I depose Timotheus, who has been already condemn'd here, by the Judgment of the See Apostolick, (Peter Bishop of Alexandria being then present) together with*

*propria sede & vivit & præsidet, præstat querentibus Fidei veritatem. Nos enim pro studio Pacis & Fidei, extra consensum Romanæ Civitatis Episcopi, causas Fidei audire non possumus.*

Archimandritæ & Monachi secundæ Syriæ in Epistola ad Hormisdam Episcopum Romanum ita scribunt: *Deprecamur, Beatissime, supplicantes & rogantes, ut exurgatis cum fervore & zelo, & condoleatis jussu pro corpore laniato (nam Caput estis omnium); & vindicetis Fidem contemptam, Canones conculcatos, Patres blasphematos, & talem Synodum anathemate impetitam.*

Secunda Synodus Oecumenica, seu Constantinopolitana prima, suorum confirmationem Decretorum, maxime quantum ad Timothei Episcopi Apollinaristæ exauctorationem, a Damaso suppliciter postulavit: qua de re Damasus ad Orientales Episcopos ita scribit apud Theodoretum, l. 5. c. 10. *Quod vestra Charitas, inquit, debitam Sedi Apostolicæ reverentiam tribuit, Filii honoratissimi, vobis ipsis quoque maximo sane honori est.—Itaque quid est quod abdicationem Timothei a me rursus requiratis, qui etiam hic*

*hic iudicio Sedis Apostolicæ, Petro quoque Episcopo Alexandriæ præsentē, abdicatus est, una cum Magistro suo Apollinari, qui item in die iudicii debitas pœnas*

his Master *Apollinaris*, who at the Day of Judgment will meet with a just Chastisement.

*& supplicia persolveret.*

Hinc Concilium Florentinum in Definitione Fidei, hæc constituit. *Diffinimus Sanctam Apostolicam Sedem, & Romanum Pontificem, in universum orbem tenere Primatum, & ipsum Pontificem Romanum successorem esse Beati Petri Principis Apostolorum, & verum Christi Vicarium, totiusque Ecclesiæ Caput, & omnium Christianorum Patrem ac Doctorem existere; & ipsi in B. Petro pascendi, regendi, ac gubernandi universalem Ecclesiam, a Domino nostro Jesu Christo plenam potestatem traditam esse, quemadmodum etiam in gestis Oecumenicorum Conciliorum, & in Sacris Canonibus continetur.*

Hence the Council of Florence made this Declaration. We define, That the Holy Apostolick See, and Bishop of Rome, has the Primacy over the whole World; that he is the Successor of St. Peter Prince of the Apostles, True Vicar of Christ, Head of the whole Church, the Father and Doctor of all Christians; and that there was given to him, in the Blessed St. Peter, by our Lord Jesus Christ, a full Power of Feeding, Ruling, and Governing the Universal Church, according to the Acts of General Councils, and to the holy Canons.

### *Of the Gnosticks, Marcionites, and Valentinians.*

IN the second Age, about the Year of Christ 120. first appear'd the *Gnosticks*: They taught, That there were 369 lesser Gods; That Christ was not born of the Virgin *Mary*, nor had true Flesh, but only in appearance: They denied the Resurrection of the Flesh, laugh'd at the Professors of Virginity, condemn'd Fasts, and were such Monsters in all sorts of Impurities, that for their sakes the Name of *Christian* (which they assum'd) became a Scandal amongst the Heathens. These were follow'd

follow'd by the *Marcionites*, viz. *Ann.* 146. These preach'd up Two Gods, a Good one, and an Evil, by which the World was Created; likewise Two Christs: They believ'd the Transmigration of Souls; that *Cain*, *Eſau*, the *Sodomites*, and such as serv'd not the God of the Jews, were sav'd; while *Abel*, *Enoch*, *Abraham*, and the Prophets were damn'd. They reject'd the Old Testament, as dictated by the Evil God; and of the New they receiv'd only the Gospel of *St. Luke*, with Ten of *St. Paul's* Epistles, and those not entire. The *Valentinians*, about the same Year, shew'd themselves to the World: These believ'd in Thirty Gods, and abounded with so many ridiculous Fictions, that their Religion seem'd rather to be borrow'd from the *Grecian* Poets, than to favour of Christianity, which with so much earnestness they laid claim to. They call'd themselves *Spiritual*, and other Christians *Animal-Men*: For these *Animal-Men* indeed, they held that Faith and Good Works were necessary for the obtaining Salvation; but for themselves, there was nothing more requisite, but the *Knowledge* of their Duty: Hence they committed all sorts of Wickedness without remorse, and Virginity was in contempt amongst them. They taught, That Christ brought his Body from Heaven, and receiv'd nothing of the Virgin *Mary*. The History of all of these is writ by *St. Irenaus*, *Tertullian*, *St. Epiphanius*, *Theodoret*; who recount and oppose these, and many other of their Doctrines: And because All these, in the upholding their Errors, made themselves the Rule of their Faith, interpreting Scripture according to their own Fancies, and as they thought convenient; disowning all Authority of the Church, and rejectng its receiv'd Doctrines and Practices, pretending they were not in Scripture, as *St. Irenaus* notes, *l. 3. adv. Hæc. c. 2.*

The Fathers, in Opposition to them,

*Maintain, That the Tradition of the Catholick Church is to be receiv'd; and that her Constitutions and Practices are not to be reject'd, though not found expressly in Scripture.*

*S. Irenaus l. 3. adv. Hæres. c. 3.*  
All such as are willing to know  
the Truth, must see before them

*S. Irenaus, l. 3. advers.  
Hæreses, c. 3. Traditionem, in-  
quit, Apostolorum in toto mundo  
mani-*



*manifestatam, in omni Ecclesia adest perspicere omnibus, qui vera velint audire: & habemus annumerare eos, qui ab Apostolis instituti sunt Episcopi in Ecclesiis, & Successores eorum usque ad nos, qui nihil tale docuerunt, neque cognoverunt, quale ab his deliratur.*—Deinde recensita duodecim Romanorum Pontificum Successione, a Sancto Petro scilicet ad Eleutherium, ait; *Hac Ordinatione & Successione, ea quæ est ab Apostolis in Ecclesia Traditio, & veritatis præconizatio pervenit usque ad nos. Et est plenissima hæc ostensio, unam & eandem vivificatricem fidem esse, quæ in Ecclesia ab Apostolis usque nunc sit conservata, & tradita in veritate.*

Deinde prosequitur: *Tantæ igitur ostensionis cum sint hæc, non oportet adhuc quærere apud alios veritatem, quam facile est ab Ecclesia sumere: cum Apostoli, quasi in Depositarium dives, plenissime in eam contulerint omnia quæ sint veritatis: uti omnis, quicumque velit,umat ex ea potum vitæ. Hæc est enim vitæ introitus: omnes autem reliqui fures sunt & latrones; propter quod oportet devitare quidem illos: quæ autem sunt Ecclesiæ cum summa diligentia diligere, & apprehendere*

in every Church, the Tradition of the Apostles, which is spread over the whole World: And we can shew a List of the Bishops instituted in the Churches by the Apostles, and of their Successors down to our Times, none of which ever taught or knew any such thing, as these men absurdly preach. — *Then having reckon'd up a Succession of Twelve Bishops of Rome, viz. from St. Peter to Eleutherius, he says; By this Ordination and Succession, that Tradition which is in the Church from the Apostles, and the delivery of Truth has been deriv'd down to us. And this is a most compleat Demonstration, that it is one and the same enlivening Faith, which has been preserv'd in the Church from the Apostles time till now, and deliver'd in truth.*

*Then he proceeds:* Seeing therefore that this is so convincing a Proof, no one ought to search for the Truth amongst others, which it is easie to receive from the Church; the Apostles having abundantly stor'd up in her, as in a rich Treasury, all things that are of Truth: So that every one, that will, may from her receive the Water of Life. For she is the entrance into Life; and all others are Thieves and Robbers; wherefore these indeed are to be avoid'd: but those things which are

of the Church, we are to embrace with an extraordinary diligence, and to lay hold on the Tradition of Truth. For why? If there should arise a Dispute about an ordinary Question, ought not recourse be had to the most ancient Churches, in which the Apostles themselves had been conversant, and from them what is certain and clear be learnt, concerning the Matter under debate? And what if the Apostles had left us no Scriptures? Ought we not to follow the Order of Tradition, which they deliver'd to those, to whom they committed the Care of the Churches? To which Ordination many barbarous Nations assent; of whom, such as believe in Christ, have the Doctrine of Salvation written in their Hearts by the Holy Ghost, without the help of Books, and religiously observe the ancient Tradition, believing in one God, Creator of Heaven and Earth, and of all things in them, through Jesus Christ the Son of God.—Such as have embrac'd this Faith without the Assistance of Books, as to our Language are barbarous: but if we consider their Doctrine, way of Life, and Conversation, here their Faith has made them most wise, and they are acceptable to God, living in all Justice, Chastity, and Wisdom.

*veritatis traditionem. Quid enim? Etsi quibus de aliqua modica quaestione disceptatio esset, nonne oporteret in antiquissimas recurrere Ecclesias, in quibus Apostoli conversati sunt, & ab eis de praesenti quaestione sumere quod certum & re liquidum est? Quid autem si neque Apostoli quidem Scripturas reliquissent nobis, nonne oportebat sequi ordinem Traditionis, quam traderunt iis, quibus committebant Ecclesias? Cui ordinationi assentiunt multae Gentes barbarorum, quorum qui in Christum credunt, sine charta & aramento scriptam habentes per Spiritum in cordibus suis salutem, & veterem Traditionem diligenter custodientes, in unum Deum credentes Fabricatorem caeli & terrae, & omnium quae in eis sunt, per Christum Jesum Dei Filium.— Hanc fidem qui sine literis crediderunt, quantum ad sermonem nostrum barbari sunt: quantum ad sententiam & consuetudinem & conversationem, propter fidem, per quam sapientissimi sunt, & placent Deo, conversantes in omni iustitia & castitate & sapientia.*

*Origen in Praef. l. i. Periarch.*  
As now, since we have believ'd

*Origines in Praefat. l. i. Periarchon: Sicut enim multis apud*

*apud Græcos & barbaros pollicentibus veritatem, desuevimus apud omnes eam querere, qui eam falsis opinionibus asserebant, posteaquam credidimus Filium Dei esse Christum, & ab ipso nobis hanc discendam esse persuasimus: ita cum multi sint, qui se putent sentire, quæ Christi sunt, & nonnulli eorum diversa a prioribus sentiant; servetur verò Ecclesiastica Prædicatio per successionis ordinem ab Apostolis tradita, & usque ad præsens in Ecclesiis permanens: illa sola credenda est veritas, quæ in nullo ab Ecclesiastica discordat Traditione.*

be believ'd, which in nothing disagrees from Ecclesiastical Tradition.

*Idem tract. 29. in Matth. hæc verba Christi, Ecce in domibus, nolite credere, Hæreticis accommodat: Quoties autem Canonicas proferunt Scripturas, in quibus omnis Christianus consentit & credit, videntur dicere, Ecce in domibus verbum est veritatis. Sed nos illis credere non debemus, nec exire a prima & Ecclesiastica Traditione, nec aliter credere, nisi quemadmodum per successionem Ecclesiæ Dei tradiderunt nobis.*

*Idem lib. 5. in Epist. ad Rom. Ecclesia ab Apostolis, inquit, Traditionem suscepit,*

Christ to be the Son of God, and are convinc'd that the truth is to be learn'd from him, we no longer follow the *Greeks* or other Forreigners to receive information from them, who being high Pretenders to the Truth, taught nothing but erroneous Opinions. So because there are many who persuade themselves, they believe aright concerning Christ, and some of them teach differently from those that went before us; yet the Doctrin of the Church deliver'd down by the Order of Succession from the Apostles, and to this present remaining in the Churches, is preserv'd: that Truth alone is to

*Origen. tract. 29. in Matth. applies these words of Christ, Behold he is in the Closets, believe it not, to Hereticks: But as often as they produce Canonical Scripture, which all Christians receive and believe, they seem to say, Behold the Word of Truth is in these Closets. But we are not to give credit to them, nor forsake the first and Ecclesiastical Tradition, nor believe otherwise than as the Churches of God have successively deliver'd down to us.*

*Origen. lib. 5. in Epist. ad Rom. The Church, says he, has receiv'd a Tradition of Bapti-*

zing Infants from the Apostles.

*Tertullian lib. de Corona Militis, c. 3. & 4.* If no Scripture, says he, has determin'd this ancient Practice, at least Custom has confirm'd it, which undoubtedly has been deriv'd from Tradition. For how can any thing be thus universally practis'd, if it were not first deliver'd? But, you'll say, for a just pretence to Tradition, there must be produc'd the Authority of Scripture. Let us see therefore if no Tradition ought to be receiv'd, unless it be written? We'll say indeed, that none is to be receiv'd, if we cannot instance in several Particulars to which we justly lay claim, without any warrant from Scripture, but only on the account of Tradition and Authority of Custom. And that I may begin with Baptism: At our going into the Water, and a little before in the Church, we protest under the Hand of the Prelate, that we renounce the Devil, his Pomp, and Angels: then we are dipp'd thrice, answering something more than Christ has commanded us in the Gospel: being taken thence, Milk and Honey is given us to taste; and from that day we are not bath'd or wash'd for a whole Week. We receive the Sacrament of the Eucharist, both at time of Repast, Christ having oblig'd all to take it, and like-

etiam parvulis Baptismum dare.

*Tertullianus lib. de Corona Militis, c. 3. & 4.* Hanc inveteratam observationem, inquit, si nulla Scriptura determinavit, certe consuetudo corroboravit, quæ sine dubio de Traditione manavit. Quomodo enim usurpari quid potest, si traditum prius non est? Etiam in Traditionis obtentu, exigenda est, inquis, auctoritas scripta. Ergo quaeramus, an & Traditio nisi scripta, non debeat recipi? Plane negabimus recipiendam, si nulla exempla præjudicent aliarum observationum, quas sine ullius Scripturæ instrumento, solius Traditionis titulo, & exinde consuetudinis patrocinio vindicamus. Denique ut a Baptismate ingrediar; Aquam adituri, ibidem, sed & aliquanto prius, in Ecclesia, sub Antistitis manu contestamur, nos renuntiare diabolo, & pompæ, & angelis ejus: de hinc ter mergimur, amplius aliquid respondent, quam Dominus in Evangelio determinavit: inde suscepti lactis & mellis concordiam prægustamus, exque eo die lavacro quotidiano per totam hebdomadam abstinemus. Eucharistiæ Sacramentum & in tempore victus, & omnibus mandatum a Domino, etiam antelucanis cætib, nec de aliorum manu, quam Præsidentium sumimus. Oblationes pro defunctis, pro natalitiis,

natalitiis, annua die facimus. Die Dominico jejunium nefas ducimus, vel de geniculis adorare. Eadem immunitate a die Paschæ in Pentecosten usque gaudemus. Calicis, aut panis etiam nostri aliquid decuti in terram anxie patimur. Ad omnem progressum atque promotum, ad omnem aditum & exitum, ad vestitum, ad calceatum, ad lavacra, ad mensas, ad lumina, ad cubilia, ad sedilia, quacunque nos conversatio exercet, frontem Crucis signaculo terimus. Harum & aliarum hujusmodi disciplinarum, si legem exposules Scripturarum, nullam invenies. Traditio tibi prætendetur auctrix, Consuetudo confirmatrix, & Fides observatrix. Rationem Traditioni, & consuetudini, & fidei patrocinaturam, aut ipse perspicies, aut ab aliquo, qui perspexerit, disces: interim nonnullam esse credes, cui debeatur obsequium. — His igitur exemplis renuntiatum erit, posse etiam non scriptam Traditionem in observatione defendi, confirmatam consuetudine, idonea teste probata tunc Traditionis, ex perseverantia observationis. Consuetudo autem, etiam in civilibus rebus, pro lege suscipitur, cum deficit lex: nec differt scriptura, an ratione consistat, quando & legem ratio commendet.

of an unquestionable Tradition, from the continual practice of it.

wise in our morning Assemblies, but never from the Hand of any besides our Prelates. We make Anniversary Oblations for the Dead, and on the Day of Peoples Nativities. We esteem it a Sin to fast on *Sundays*, or to pray on our Knees. And the same Privilege we enjoy from *Easter* to *Whitsontide*. We never see any thing of our Cup, or our Bread fall to the ground, but with a great resentment. At every Progress and Motion, at our coming in and going out, at putting on our Clothes or Shoes, when we wash, sit down to Table, at lighting of Candles, going to Bed, sitting down, or whatsoever Employment we are about, we make the Sign of the Cross on our Forehead. For these and other Observances, if you search for a Command of Scripture, you'll find none. Tradition will be assign'd you the Author, Custom the Confirmer, and Faith the Observer. That Tradition, and Custom, and Faith are according to Reason, either your self will discern, or learn of some other that do's. In the mean time, you'll believe there is some to whom Submission is due. — From these Examples it is clear, that even unwritten Tradition may be upheld in Practice, confirm'd by Custom, a sufficient Testimony

it, For Custom, even in Civil Affairs, in default of a Law, has the Authority of a Law. Neither matters it, whether a Law be deliver'd in writing, or only by Reason, so that it has Reason to recommend it.

*Id. l. de Præsc. Hær. c. 17.* Heresie, says he, do's not allow of some Books of Scripture; and if it acknowledges any, 'tis but by halves, quite confounding them, either by adding or diminishing, as best serves its turn: and in case it receives any entire and whole, yet by different Expositions it quite perverts the Sense. Then he adds: What can you advance, you that are thorough-versed in Scripture, when what you defend, is denied; and, on the contrary, what you deny, is maintain'd? You indeed will lose nothing in the Contest but your Breath, and you will gain nothing but Indignation for the Blasphemies you shall hear. From whence he makes this Inference, c. 19. There's no putting it therefore to Scriptures, nor is the Contest to be decided by them, in which the Victory is either none, or dubious, or little better. For in case the comparing of Scriptures did not end in this, equally to serve the turn of both Parties, yet to proceed methodically, that ought first to be decided, which alone is now under debate, viz. Who they are that believe aright: To whom the Scriptures belong:

*Idem l. de Præscript. Hæret. c. 17.* Hæresis (inquit) non recipit quasdam Scripturas: & si quas recipit, non recipit integras: adjectionibus & deductionibus ad dispositionem instituti sui intervertit: & si aliquatenus integras præstat, nihilominus diversas expositiones commentata convertit. Tum subjungit: Quid promovebis, exercitatissime Scripturarum, cum si quid defenderis, negetur: ex diverso, si quid negaveris defendatur? Et tu quidem nihil perdes nisi vocem in contentione: nihil consequeris nisi bilem de blasphematione. Ex quibus sic colligit, c. 19. Ergo non ad Scripturas provocandum est: nec in his constituendum certamen, in quibus aut nulla, aut incerta victoria est, aut par incertæ. Nam etsi non ita evaderet collatio Scripturarum, ut utramque partem parem sifteret, ordo rerum desiderabat illud prius proponi, quod nunc solum disputandum est: Quibus competat fides ipsa; Cujus sint Scripturæ; A quo, & per quos, & quando, & quibus sit tradita disciplina qua fiunt Christiani? Ubi enim apparuerit esse veritatem & disciplinæ, & fidei Christianæ; illic erit



*erit veritas Scripturarum, & Expositionum, & omnium Traditionum Christianarum.*

shall appear that there is the Truth of Christian Faith and Discipline, there is the Truth of the Scripture, of its Expositions, and of all Christian Traditions.

Basilii Magni lib. de Spiritu S. c. 27. *Dogmata (inquit) & Instituta, quæ in Ecclesia prædicantur, quædam habemus e doctrina scripto prodita, quædam rursus ex Apostolorum Traditione in Mystério, id est, in occulto tradita recepimus: quorum utraque parem vim habent ad pietatem; nec his quisquam contradicit, quisquis sane vel tenuiter expertus est, quæ sint jura Ecclesiastica. Nam si consuetudines, quæ scripto proditæ non sunt, tanquam baud multum habentes momenti, conemur rejicere, imprudentes gravissimum Evangelio detrimentum inferemus, imo potius ipsam Fidei prædicationem ad nudum nomen contrahemus. Quod genus est, ut ejus quod primum est & vulgatissimum primo loco commemorem; ut signo Crucis eos, qui spem collocarunt in Christum, signemus, quis scripto docuit? Ut ad Orientem versi precemur, quæ nos docuit Scriptura? Invocationis verba, cum conficitur panis Eucharistiæ, & poculum benedictionis, quis Sanctorum in scripto nobis reliquit? Nec enim*

From whom, by whom, when, and to whom that Doctrin was deliver'd, which makes Men Christians? For whereever it

*S. Basil the Great, l. de Spiritu S. c. 27.* Amongst the Doctrins and Ordinances which are preach'd in the Church, some we have from the written Word, and others we have receiv'd from the Tradition of the Apostles, in a Mystery, that is, in secret: both which equally conduce to Piety: Nor will any deny this, that has experienc'd never so little the Ecclesiastical Rights. For if we once begin to throw by, as inconsiderable, such Practices as are not deliver'd in Scripture, our Imprudence will highly prejudice the Gospel, or rather reduce the very preaching of Faith to nothing but a meer Name. For example, to begin with what is every where practis'd: Where are we commanded in Scripture to sign all such with the Sign of the Cross, as have put their hope in Christ? Where is it written, that at Prayers we should look towards the East? Where do we find the Prayers us'd at the Consecration of the Eucharist? For besides what is recounted by the Apostle, or in the

the Gospel, we add many things both before and after, as very considerable in that Mystery, which have been deliver'd down to us by Tradition, without Writing. We bless the Water of Baptism, and the Oyl, and also the Person that is to be Baptiz'd; and from what Scripture have we receiv'd this? Is it not from an Unwritten and Silent Tradition? What written Order teaches the Anointing with Oyl? From what Place in Scripture is the triple Immersion deriv'd? Several other Rites too us'd in Baptism, as to renounce the Devil and his Angels, what Scripture is there for them? Have we not receiv'd all these from that Doctrin which our Forefathers preserv'd in quiet and uncurious Silence? as well knowing, that nothing could more conduce to the keeping up the Veneration of Mysteries, than this.

*Idem, c. 29. Speaking against those who dislik'd to see the Holy Ghost, in the Hymn of Glory, added to the Father and the Son, he says thus: In opposition to us, they cry, that to add the Holy Ghost in the Hymn of Glory, is without any Authority, or Text of Scripture; and we answer, If nothing else be receiv'd without the Command of Scripture, neither let this be receiv'd: but if we have many other Myste-*

*his contenti sumus, quæ commemorat Apostolus, aut Evangelium; verum alia quæque & ante & post dicimus, tanquam multum habentia momenti ad Mysterium, quæ ex Traditione citra scriptum accepimus. Consecramus autem aquam Baptismatis, & oleum Unctionis; præterea ipsum qui Baptismum accipit; ex quibus scriptus? Nonne a tacita secretaque Traditione? Ipsam porro olei inunctionem, quis sermo scripto proditus docuit? Jam ter immergi hominem, unde ex Scriptura haustum? Reliqua item quæ sunt in Baptismo, veluti renuntiare Satanae & Angelis ejus, ex qua Scriptura habemus? Nonne ex doctrina quam Patres nostri silentio quisto minimeque curioso servarum? Pulchre quidem illi, nimirum docti arcanorum venerationem silentio conservari.*

*Idem, c. 29. contra eos agens, qui Spiritum S. Patri Filioque in hymno Glorificationis conjungi, agre ferebant, hæc habet: Sane contra id dicunt, Glorificationem, cum Spiritu, & carere testimonio, nec in Scripturis extare, illud dicimus: Si nihil aliud est receptum absque Scripturis, ne hoc quidem recipiatur, sin plurima arcana citra scriptum recepta sunt nobis, cum aliis pluribus,*

& hoc recipiatur. Arbitror autem Apostolicum esse, etiam non scriptis Traditionibus inherere.

Quarum maxime una est & hæc, de qua nunc agimus, quam qui ab initio præscripserunt, per longam consuetudinem Ecclesiis irradiarunt. Ergo si apud Tribunal probationibus et scripto destituti, multos vobis testes produceremus, nonne sententiam absolverent a vobis consequeremur? Ego quidem arbitror: In ore siquidem duorum aut trium testium, stabit omne verbum. Quod si etiam temporis diuturnitatem pro nobis facientem vobis evidenter ostenderimus, an non videbimur vobis probabilia dicere, non posse litem istam nobis jure intendi? Veneranda sunt enim vetusta dogmata, quod ob antiquitatem, seu caniciem quandam, habeant quiddam venerandum.

respected, and they have a Veneration due to them for their Antiquity.

Gregorius Nyssenus, l. 3. contra Eunomium, pag. 126. Ac ne mihi (inquit) aliquis obstrepat, quod illud etiam quod a nobis pro confesso habetur, rationibus & argumentis roboretur; sciat is sufficere nobis ad nostri dogmatis probationem, quod venientem ad nos a Majoribus traditionem habemus, velut hereditatem quandam, per successio-

ries acknowledg'd authentick, without any thing of Writing to support them, let this also be so. For I doubt not, 'tis conform to the Doctrin of the Apostles, to embrace Traditions that are not written.—Amongst which, this we now treat of is to be reckon'd one, which they who prescrib'd from the beginning, have deriv'd down to the Churches by long Custom. If we had a Cause before a Judge, should we not have Sentence in our favour, producing many Witnesses, tho' we had no Writing to shew for our selves? I am of Opinion, we should: for in the mouth of two or three witnesses stands every word. And if, besides these, we make it appear, that Antiquity also speaks in our behalf, shall not we be thought to have Truth on our sides, and that we are unjustly molested? For Ancient Doctrins are to be

Gregory Nyssen, lib. 3. contr. Eunom. p. 126. And that no body be impertinently troublesome to me, by urging me to produce Reasons and Arguments for the maintaining such Points, as by us are receiv'd as unquestionable; let it be known, that 'tis a sufficient Proof of our Doctrin; that we have receiv'd it by Tradition from our Ancestors; it

having been left us as a kind of Inheritance by the Apostles, and convey'd down to us by a continu'd Succession of the Faithful in all Ages.

*S. Epiphanius, Hæresi 61.* 'Tis necessary to admit of Tradition; for all things cannot be found in Scripture. And therefore the Holy Apostles deliver'd some things in Writing, and some by Tradition. Which is affirm'd by *St. Paul*, where he says, *As I have deliver'd to you.* And in another place, *So I teach, and so I have deliver'd in the Churches.*

*Idem, Hæres. 75. n. 8.* where he writes against *Aerius*, who denied Purgatory, & Praying for the Dead. I affirm, says he, the Church do's necessarily maintain this; having receiv'd it from Antiquity. And can any one presume to make null the Command of his Mother, or overthrow the Law of his Father? 'Tis the Advice of *Solomon*, Son, hearken to the words of thy Father, and do not reject the Laws of thy Mother. In which words he informs us, that the Doctrin we have receiv'd from God is partly in Writing, and partly without Writing: And that our Mother the Church hath decreed several things, which no one hath power to make void or repeal. And since these things are determin'd in the Church, they

*nem ab Apostolis, continuata per sequentes Sanctos serie transmissam.*

*S. Epiphanius, Hæresi 61.* Sed & Traditione quoque opus est, inquit, neque enim ex Scripturis peti possunt omnia. Idcirco alia scripto; traditione alia sanctissimi Apostoli reliquerunt. Quod ipsum ita *Paulus* affirmat; Quemadmodum tradidi vobis. Item alio loco, Ita doceo, & ita tradidi in Ecclesiis.

*Idem Hæresi 75. n. 8.* ubi contra *Aerium* Purgatorium & Orationes pro Defunctis impugnantem, ita scribit: Necessario, inquit, facere illud Ecclesiam dico; quæ traditum sibi ritum illum a Majoribus acceperit. Potest vero quisquam Maternam sanctionem, aut legem Patris evertere? Quemadmodum a *Solomone* scriptum est, Audi, Fili, sermones Patris tui, & ne repellas leges Matris tuæ: quibus Patrem, hoc est, Unigenitum Deum, cum Spiritu Sancto declarat partim scripto, partim sine scripto docuisse: matrem vero nostram Ecclesiam decreta quædam habere penes se, quæ dissolvi, evertique nequeunt. Quæ quidem cum in Ecclesia constituta sint, præclara quidem ac penitus admira-

*randa; ex hoc ipso capite veterator ille convincitur.*

S. Augustinus l. 4. de Bap. cont. Donat. c. 23. & 24. Baptismum parvulis prodesse ex Traditione probat: *Quod traditum, inquit, tenet universitas Ecclesie, cum parvuli infantes baptizantur, qui certe nondum possunt corde credere ad justitiam, & ore confiteri ad salutem, quod Latro potuit: quin etiam flendo & vagiendo, cum in eis Mysterium celebratur, ipsis mysticis vocibus obstrepuunt, & tamen nullus Christianorum dixerit eos inaniter baptizari. Etsi quisquam in hac re auctoritatem divinam querat (quanquam quod Universa tenet Ecclesia, nec Concilium institutum, sed semper retentum est, non nisi auctoritate Apostolica traditum rectissime creditur) tamen veraciter con-jicere possumus, quid valeat in parvulis Baptismi Sacramentum, ex Circumcisione carnis, quam prior populus accepit.*

Idem l. 5. de Baptismo, c. 23. *Apostoli autem, inquit, nihil quidem exinde præceperunt, sed consuetudo illa, quæ opponebatur Cypriano, ab eorum Traditione exordium sumpsisse, credenda est: sicut sunt multa, quæ*

claim a Respect and Veneration. Which Consideration alone is enough to confute this old Impostor.

*S. Augustin l. 4. de Bap. cont. Donat. c. 23. & 24. Where he proves from Tradition, that Baptism is beneficial to Infants. When Infants, says he, are baptiz'd, they are incapable of believing in their Heart to Justice, or making Profession in their Mouth to Salvation, as the Thief did on the Cross: nay, by their repeated Sobs and Cries they even disturb those who are performing the Mystery on them. And yet this being receiv'd by Tradition, and observ'd by the whole Church, no Christian ever said, they are baptis'd in vain. And if any demand, where the Command of God is in this Point, (altho' what is observ'd by the whole Church, never decreed in Councils, but always maintain'd, is justly believ'd to have been instituted by the Apostles) we may truly gather, what the Sacrament of Baptism do's avail Infants from the Circumcision which was commanded the Jews.*

*Idem l. 5. de Bapt. c. 23. The Apostles, says he, left no Command touching this matter; but that Practice, which was oppos'd against Cyprian, is to be believ'd to have been deriv'd from their Tradition. As there are*

many things observ'd throughout the whole Church, and therefore are justly look'd on as commanded by the Apostles, tho' they are no where to be found in their Writings.

*Idem l. 6. c. 5. contr. Jul. Pelag. where treating of Original Sin, he says thus:* But altho' no Reason is able to fathom it, no Words sufficient to explicate the nature of it; yet it is true, what has been from all Antiquity deliver'd and taught by the Catholick Faith over all the Church; which would never Exorcise, nor breath on the Children of the Faithful, if it did not deliver them from the power of Darkness, and the Prince of Death: as I have asserted in my Book, which you pretend to answer: But you were afraid to touch on this Point, lest daring to declame against this Breathing on, by which the Prince of the World is cast out of Infants, you your self should be blown out of the World.

*Idem Ep. 118. ad Januar.* But those things which we, and the whole World observe, without any Authority of Writing, but only of Tradition, 'tisto be understood, were commended and appointed to be retain'd either by the Apostles, or General Councils, which are of high Authority in the Church: as, that the Passion of our Saviour, his

*universa tenet Ecclesia, & ob hoc Apostolis præcepta bene creduntur, quamquam scripta non reperiantur.*

*Idem l. 6. c. 5. contra Julianum Pelagianum, ubi agens de Peccato Originali: Sed etsi, inquit, nulla ratione indagetur, nullo sermone explicetur; verum tamen est quod antiquitus veraci Fide Catholica prædicatur & creditur per Ecclesiam totam; quæ filios Fidelium nec exorcizaret, nec exsufflaret, si non eos de potestate tenebrarum, & a Principe mortis erueret; quod in libro meo, cui velut respondes, a me positum est: sed id tu commemorare timuisti, tanquam ipse ab orbe toto exsufflandus esses, si huic exsufflationi, qua Princeps mundi & a parvulis ejicitur foras, contradicere voluisses.*

*Idem Ep. 118. ad Januarium. Illa autem, inquit, quæ non scripta, sed tradita custodimus, quæ quidem toto terrarum orbe observantur, dantur intelligi, vel ab ipsis Apostolis, vel Plenariis Conciliis, quorum est in Ecclesia saluberrima auctoritas, commendata atque statuta retineri; sicuti quod Domini Passio, & Resurrectio, & Ascensio*



*sio in cælum, & Adventus de cælo Spiritus Sancti, anniversaria sollemnitate celebrantur; & si quid aliud tale occurrerit, quod servatur ab universa, quacunque se diffundit Ecclesia.*

Vincentius Lirinensis in Commonit. c. 9. Stephanum Pontificem Max. laudat, quod in controversia de Baptismo Hæreticorum illud scripserit; Nihil innovetur, nisi quod traditum est. *Intelligebat etenim, inquit, Vir sanctus & prudens, nihil aliud rationem pietatis admittere, nisi ut omnia, quæ fide a Patribus suscepta forent, eadem fide filiis consignarentur; nosque religionem, non qua vellemus ducere, sed potius qua illa duceret, sequi oportere; idque esse proprium Christianæ modestiæ & parvitatís, non sua posteris tradere, sed a Majoribus accepta servare.*

S. Ioannes Chrysostomus Hom. 4. in Ep. 2. ad Thesalon. ex illis verbis Apostoli, *Tenete traditiones, quas didicistis, ita colligit: Hinc est perspicuum, inquit, quod non omnia tradiderunt per epistolam, sed multa etiam sine scriptis: & ea quoque sunt fide digna. Quamobrem Ecclesiæ quoque traditionem censeamus esse fide dignam, Est Traditio: nihil quæras amplius.*

Resurrection and Ascension, and the Coming of the Holy Ghost, are celebrated with a yearly Solemnity; and whatsoever such-like is observ'd by the whole Church.

*Vincent. Lirin. in Common. c. 9. where he commends Pope Stephen, for writing thus in the Controversie concerning the Rebaptising of Hereticks; Let nothing be innovated, but all observ'd as has been deliver'd.* For the Holy and Prudent Man understood, *says he*, that the Rule of Piety allows no farther, but that all those things which have been receiv'd by our Predecessors, as Matters of Faith, be transmitted as such to Posterity; that we ought not to lead Religion our way, but rather follow her in her own; and that it is the Duty of Christian Modesty and Humility, not to deliver our own Inventions to Posterity, but preserve what we have receiv'd from our Ancestors.

*St. John Chrysostom Hom. 4. in Ep. 2. ad Thes. upon those words of the Apostle, Hold the Traditions, which you have learn'd, makes this Inference: Hence 'tis manifest, says he, that they (the Apostles) have not deliver'd all in their Epistles, but many things also without writing, which are likewise to be believ'd. Let us therefore esteem the Tradition of the Church worthy of credit. 'Tis a Tradition; enquire no further.* Of

## Of Vigilantius.

**I**N the beginning of the Fourth Century, *Ann.* 404. under the Emperors *Arcadius* and *Honorius*, *Vigilantius*, a Presbyter of *Barcellona*, began to Preach in *France*. He taught, That all Prayers made to Saints departed this Life, were fruitless and in vain; That tho' the Prayers of Just Men, while yet alive, were available; yet being once dead, they were then incapable of performing that Charity: That no Honour was to be given to Martyrs or Saints, no Days to be observ'd in their Memory; That to give Respect to their Reliques, was downright Idolatry; and therefore he call'd other Christians Ash-mongers, Idolaters, and Worshippers of Dead Mens Bones. To burn Candles or Lamps before their Shrines or Monuments, he said, was an Heathenish Superstition: and those that went to visit them, he said, pray'd to them, and acknowledg'd them their Gods. The Miracles wrought at their Sepulchers he turn'd into Ridicule. As to Virginity and Continency, he was a Follower of *Jovinian*, impugning it with all his might; dissuading all from undertaking a Monastical Life, and condemning those who sent any Relief to Persons of that Profession. He undertook the Expounding of Scripture, tho' very ignorant; and in his Comments publish'd many Blasphemies. This Account of *Vigilantius* we have from *St. Hierom*, who being inform'd by *Riparius*, of his Endeavours in spreading these Doctrins, immediately began to oppose him, and for that end wrote a Book against him, in which, as likewise in his Fifty third Epistle to *Riparius*, he answers him as to all these Points, shewing him to be an Innovator, an Impugner of the Truth, and an impudent Calumniator. The like Doctrins have been also condemn'd by many others of the Fathers, as may be seen in their Works.

*Vigilantius taught, That no Honour was to be given to Martyrs or Saints departed this Life.*

*The*

*The Fathers assert, That there's an Honour due to Saints after this Life, and that 'tis commendable and profitable to observe some Days with Solemnity in Memory of them.*

S. Basilus Ep. 291. ad Ponticæ Diœcesis Episcopos. *Martyrum honores, inquit, cum summo studio procurantur ab iis qui in Dominum sperant: tum imprimis a vobis qui virtuti operam datis.——Cum igitur inter Martyres excellant Eutychius & Damas, eorumque socii, quorum memoriam civitas nostra, & circumjecta undequaque regio celebrat; hoc vobis tanquam proprio suo ornamento in memoriam revocat Ecclesia, mea voce vos exhortans, ut veterem consuetudinem invivendi nos resumatis.*

S. Joannes Chrysostomus Hom. in SS. Martyres Juventinum & Maximinum, in qua populum Antiochenum sic alloquitur. *Non aliter priscos, aliter recentiores colitis Sanctos, sed eadem alacritate, eodem amore, eodem affectu, colitis omnes & commendatis. Non enim exploratis tempus, sed exquisitis animi fortitudinem, pietatem, fidem invictam, zelum fervidum, & eas virtutes quas præ se ferunt Sancti, ob quorum honorem hodie convenimus.*

S. Basil Ep. 291. ad Pont. Diœc. Episcop. They who hope in God, are very zealous in honouring the Martyrs. And you in this are inferior to none, who make the acquisition of Vertue your main Concern.——Now since among the Martyrs, *Eutychius* and *Damas* with their Companions are very eminent, whose Memory our City, and the Country round about, do's at present celebrate; of this the Church reminds you, who are her proper Ornament; exhorting you by my mouth, to renew your ancient Custom of coming to visit us.

St. Chrysostom in his Homily upon the Holy Martyrs *Juventinus* and *Maximinus*, speaks thus to the People of Antioch. You do not give one kind of Honour to the Ancient, another to the Modern Saints; but you honour and praise them all with the same Chearfulness, with the same Love, and with the same Affection. For you look not after the time when they suffer'd, but their Courage, their Piety, their invincible Faith, their fervent Zeal, and such other Vertues which were eminent in the

Saints, in whose Honour we are now assembled.

*Idem*

*Idem, in his Homily on St. Pelagia, Virgin and Martyr.* In our Emulation with the Greeks, we do not in vain glory in the Solemnity of this Festival, making them blush with the very mention of it; when we relate, how that one deceas'd Virgin every Year draws together this whole City, and such an infinite number of People, and that this Solemnity was never omitted upon any account whatever.

*Cyrillus Alexand. l. 6. in Jul.* We neither say, the Holy Martyrs are Gods, nor use to adore them with Divine Worship, but that of Affection and Honour. And the reason why we so highly honour them, is, because they have fought courageously for the Truth, maintaining their Faith, even with the loss of their Lives, and the contempt of all Torments and Dangers. 'Tis therefore not at all absurd, but very necessary, that they who have been thus singularly exemplar in their Lives, should be honour'd with perpetual Solemnities.

*St. Ambrose, in a Sermon on St. Peter and St. Paul, says thus:* As often as we keep the Festivals of the Martyrs, laying aside all worldly Concerns, 'tis our Obligation, without any delay, to hasten to the Church, there to honour them, who by the effusion of their Blood have per-

*Idem in Hom. de S. Pelagia Virgine & Martyre. Et enim non temere cum Græcis differentes, hujus diei festi celebritate gloriamur, eamque objicientes, erubescere illos facimus, cum dicimus quemadmodum urbem integram ac totam, tantumque populum puella una mortua singulis annis ad se attrahit, neque tempus ullum honoris hujus seriem interrupt.*

*Cyrillus Alexandrinus, l. 6. in Julianum. Sanctos porro Martyres, inquit, neque Deos esse dicimus, neque Divino cultu illos adorare solemus, sed affectus & honoris. Quin potius summis honoribus illos ornamus, puta quod pro veritate strenue certaverint, sinceritatemque fidei eousque servaverint, ut animam ipsam contempserint, repudiatifque mortis terroribus, periculum omne vicerint. Quare nihil est absurdum: imo vero necesse erat eos, qui tam clavis facinoribus excelluerunt, ornari perpetuis honoribus.*

*S. Ambrosius Serm. de SS. Petro & Paulo sic habet: Quotiescunque Martyrum memorias celebramus, prætermisiss omnibus sæculi actibus, sine aliqua dilatione concurrere debemus ad domum Dei, reddere honorificentiam illis, qui nobis salutem effusione sui sanguinis pepererunt:*

pererunt: qui tam sacratam hostiam pro nostra propitiatione Domino se obtulerunt: præsertim cum dicat ad Sanctos suos Christus Dominus, Qui vos audit, me audit; & qui vos spernit, me spernit. Quisquis ergo honorat Martyres, honorat & Christum: & qui spernit Sanctos, spernit & Christum.

Idem Serm. de SS. Nazario & Celso Martyribus. Honoremus, inquit, Beatos Martyres Principes Fidei, intercessores Mundi, præcones regni, coheredes Dei. — Honorare debemus servos Dei: quanto magis amicos Dei?

S. Augustinus l. 20. contra Faustum, c. 21. Nam quod etiam, inquit, hinc nobis calumniatur Faustus, quod Martyrum memorias honoramus, in hoc dicens, Nos idola convertisse; non tam me movet, ut huic calumniæ respondeam, quam ut ipsum Faustum ostendam studio calumniandi, etiam ab ipsius Manichei vanitatibus exorbitare voluisse. — Populus autem Christianus memorias Martyrum religiosa sollemnitate concelebrat, & ad excitandam imitationem, & ut meritis eorum consocietur, atque orationibus eorum adjuvetur: ita tamen ut nulli Martyrum, sed ipsi Deo Martyrum, quamvis in memorias Marty-

pared Salvation for us, and offered themselves to God a Propitiatory Host for the Remission of our Sins: Especially since Christ, speaking to his Saints, says, *He that hears you, hears me; and he that despises you, despises me.* Whosoever therefore honours the Martyrs, honours Christ; and he that despises the Saints, despises Christ.

*Id. in his Sermon on St. Nazarius & Celsus Martyrs.* Let us honour, says he, these Blessed Martyrs, Princes of the Faith, Advocates of the World, Herald of Heaven, Coheirs of God. — We are oblig'd to honour the Servants of God; how much more his Friends?

*St. Augustin l. 20. contra Faustum, c. 21.* Whereas, says he, Faustus calumniates us, for honouring the Sepulchers of the Martyrs, saying, we have turn'd the Idols into this: it do's not so much provoke me to answer this Calumny, as to shew, that Faustus himself, out of his love of calumniating, has struck into a way, even beyond the Vanities of Manicheus himself. — The Christian People celebrate together the Memory of the Martyrs with a Religious Sollemnity, both to excite to an imitation of them, and that they may be Partakers of their Merits, and be assisted by their Prayers: but so, that we never

erect Altars to any of the Martyrs, but only to the God of Martyrs, tho' in memory of them. For what Prelate officiating at the Altar, where are preserv'd the Bodies of the Saints, did ever say, We offer to thee *Peter*, or *Paul*, or *Cyprian*? But their Oblation they make to God, who has crown'd the Martyrs, tho' they do it at their Monuments; that by the admonition of the very Places, their Affection may be rais'd higher, to whet their Charity both towards them whom we may imitate, and to him by whose Grace we are enabled to do it.

The Honour therefore we give to the Martyrs, is an Honour of Love and Fellowship, such as we shew to Holy Men while yet alive.

*Theodoret Serm. 8. de Martyribus, where he speaks thus to the Heathens: Our God has thrown your Idols out of the Temples, and made them give place to his Martyrs. Those he has render'd vain and out of credit, and given their Honour to these. In stead of your Feasts of Jupiter, Bacchus, and other your Gods, are now kept the Solemnities of Peter, Paul, Thomas, Sergius, Marcellus, Leontius, Panteleemon, Antoninus, Mauritius, and other Martyrs, in a modest and humble way, without your old Pomp, and obscene Words and Actions; without your drunken*

*rum, constituamus altaria. Quis enim Antistitum in locis Sanctorum corporum assistens altari, aliquando dixit, Offerimus tibi Petre, aut Paule, aut Cypriane? sed quod offertur, offertur Deo, qui Martyres coronavit, apud memorias eorum, quos coronavit: ut ex ipsorum locorum admonitione major affectus exurgat ad acuendam charitatem, & in illos quos imitari possumus, & in illum quo adiuvante possumus. Colimus ergo Martyres eo cultu dilectionis & societatis, quo & in hac vita coluntur sancti homines Dei.*

*Theodoretus Serm. 8. de Martyribus, ubi Gentiles sic alloquitur: Suos mortuos Dominus Deus noster in templa pro Diis vestris induxit: ac illos quidem cassos vanosque reddidit, his autem honorem illorum attribuit. Pro Pandis enim Diasisque ac Dionysii, & aliis festis vestris, Petri & Pauli, & Thomæ, & Sergii, & Marcelli, & Leontii, & Panteleemonis, & Antonini, & Mauriti, aliorumque Martyrum solennitates peraguntur: & pro illa veteri pompa, rerumque ac verborum obscenitate, modestæ celebrantur festivitates, non ebrietatem, &*



*jocos risusque exhibentes, sed divina Cantica, sacrorumque Sermonum auditionem, & preces laudabilibus lacrymis ornatas.*

Dances and ridiculous Sports; but in singing Divine Hymns, in hearing devout Sermons, pouring forth Prayers accompanied with commendable Tears.

*Vigilantius condemn'd Praying to Saints, as Idle and Idolatrous.*

**The Fathers**

*Practis'd and recommended it to Christians, as Profitable and Convenient.*

S. Cyprianus Ep. 57. *Memores nostri, inquit, invicem sumus, concordēs atque unanimēs, utrobique pro nobis semper oremus, pressuras & angustias mutua charitate relevemus. Et qui istinc nostrum prior divinæ dignationis celeritate præcesserit, perseveret apud Dominum nostra dilectio, pro fratribus & sororibus nostris apud misericordiam Patris non cesset oratio.*

St. Cyprian Ep. 57. Let us be mindful of one another, being of one heart and mind. Let us pray for each other wherever we are, and ease one anothers Troubles by mutual Charity. And which soever of us shall by the Divine Appointment be first taken out of this Life, let our Love still continue even in the presence of God, & let our Prayers never cease for our Brethren and Sisters at the Mercy-seat.

Idem l. de Discipl. & Habitu Virg. sic ipsas alloquitur: *Durate fortiter, spiritualiter pergitē, pervenite feliciter: tantum mementote tunc nostri, cum incipiet in vobis virginitas honorari.*

Idem l. de Disciplin. & Hab. Virg. *he thus speaks to the Virgins.* Hold out courageously, go on in Virtue, and arrive at Bliss. Only then remember us, when you shall receive the Crown of your Virginity.

Origenes l. 8. contra Celsū. Cui autem, inquit, Primitias offerimus, ad eandem etiam vota precesque nostras dirigimus, (scilicet Deum) habentes Pontificem magnum, qui cœlos penetravit Jesum ipsius Dei

Origen l. 8. *against Celsus.* He is the same, to whom we offer our First Fruits and our Prayers, having Jesus Christ for our High Priest, the Son of God, who ascended the Heavens.— But if we would also gain the

favour of Many, we are taught in Scripture, *Dan. 7.* that Thousands of thousands assisted before him, and Millions of millions administered to him. Which Multitude beholding Men holily aspiring to the imitation of their virtuous Lives, helps them thus labouring for Heaven, and calling upon God; sometimes by appearing to them in their Devotions, not thinking it at all beneath them to be thus serviceable to them; being ready with great Alacrity and Consent to assist those who are praying to God, to whom they also never cease to offer their Prayers.

*Eusebius l. 6. Hist. Eccl. c. 5.* where having related the Conversion of Basilides, for which he was cast into Prison, he says thus. And when some of the Faithful had visited him, and enquired the Cause of his sudden and unexpected Conversion, he is said to have return'd this Answer: That *Potamiana*, on the third day after her Martyrdom, appear'd to him, putting a Crown on his Head, and saying, That she had pray'd to God for him, and had obtain'd her Suit; and that after a few days he should be taken into the number of the Blessed.

*St. Basil in his Sermon on the Forty Martyrs.* You have often endeavour'd to find one that pray'd for you: now here are

*Filium.*—*Quod si multorum etiam favor captandus est, discimus in nostris literis, Dan. 7. quod Millia millium assistebant ei, & Myriades myriadem ministrabant ei. Quæ multitudo cum videt homines suam erga Deum pietatem imitantes, adjuvat eos contendentes ad salutem, & Deum invocantes, interdum apparendo precantibus, non indignam rem censens, si eis ministret, magnaue alacritate ac consensu prompta ad gratificandum celestis Numinis invocatoribus, cui & ipsa preces offerre non desinit.*

*Eusebius l. 6. Hist. Eccles. c. 5.* ubi postquam Basilidis conversionem retulit, ob quam in vincula conjectus est hæc subdit. Cumque quidam in Domino Fratres eum adiissent, & causam subitæ illius atque insperatæ conversionis interrogarent, dixisse fertur, *Potamianam* tertio post martyrium die, noctu sibi assistentem, coronam capiti suo imposuisse, dixisseque se ipsius causa Dominum orasse, atque id quod petierat, impetrasse: nec diu postea ipsum ad superos migraturum.

*S. Basilii Oratione in 40 Martyres.* Sæpe (inquit) operam dedistis, ut unum pro vobis orantem inveniretis: quadraginta

*ginta sunt hi, unam orationis emittentes vocem.—Qui aliqua premitur angustia, ad hos confugit: qui rursus latatur, ad hos recurrit: hic ut a malis liberetur, ille ut duret in rebus latis. Hic mulier orans pro filiis auditur; peregrinanti viro reditum incolumem, agrotanti vero salutem implorat.—O sanctum Chorum! Sacrum Ordinem! O Cuneum inexpugnabilem! O communes generis humani custodes! Optimi curarum socii, precum Adjutores, Legati apud Deum potentissimi, Astra mundi, Flores Ecclesiarum! Vos non terra contextit, sed cælum excepit.*

es! The Earth has not buried you, but Heaven receiv'd you.

S. Gregorius Nazianzenus, Orat. 20. de Laudibus S. Basilii. *Tu vero, inquit, sacrum & divinum Caput, e cælo nos quaeso, inspicere, carnisque stimulum a Deo nobis ad disciplinam datum, aut precibus tuis sistere, aut certe ut forti animo perferamus, persuade; atque omnem nostram vitam ad id quod maxime conducibile est, dirige. Postquam autem ex hac vita migraverimus, illinc etiam nos in tabernacula tua suscipe, ut simul viventes, & Sanctam Trinitatem plenius priusque cernentes, desideris nostris finem statuamus.*

Forty, pouring forth with one consent Prayers to God.—He that is in affliction has recourse to these, and he that is in prosperity betakes himself likewise to them: the one, that he may find Relief; the other, to beg a continuance of his Happiness. Here a Mother praying for her Children is heard. A Wife petitions a safe Return for her Husband abroad, and Health for him being sick.—O Holy Quire! Sacred Order! Invincible Army! Common Preservers of Mankind! Best Companions of our Cares, Helpers of our Prayers, most powerful Embassadors to God, Stars of the World, Flowers of the Churches!

St. Greg. Nazianz. Orat. 20. on the Praises of St. Basil. But thou, O holy and divine Soul, look down from Heaven upon us, and either restrain by thy Prayers the Thorn in the Flesh which God has sent to discipline us, or at least prevail that we may bear it with Courage; and direct our whole Life to that which shall be most for our advantage. And when we shall depart this Life, receive us there into thy Tabernacles; that living together, and enjoying a more full and clear Vision of the Blessed Trinity, all our Desires may be at an end.

*Idem Orat. 18. upon St. Cyprian, where he relates, That Cyprian, yet a Pagan, having attempted by Art Magick to win Justina to his unlawful desires; She humbly beseech'd the Virgin Mary to assist her a Virgin, now in danger of being abus'd. A little after he thus addresses himself to St. Cyprian: But do you full of Compassion look upon us from Heaven, direct our Words and Life, and help me, who have the Charge of this Holy Flock.*

*St. Gregory Nyssen Orat. upon St. Theodore Martyr, thus calls upon him. Intercede and pray for our Country, before the Lord and Sovereign of the Universe. For the Country of a Martyr is the Place of his Martyrdom, &c. — Altho' thou hast left this World, yet thou knowest our Desires, our Wants, and the Necessities of Humane Life. Ask for Peace, that these our Publick Assemblies may not be interrupted. — For we even owe our Safety to thee. We desire likewise to be guarded and secur'd for the future: And if a more powerful Plea and Intercession be needful, summon all thy Brother-Martyrs, and with them join in Prayers. Let the Intercession of many Just Men cancel the Sins of the People. Admonish Peter, stir up Paul, as likewise John the Divine and*

*Idem Oratione 18. in S. Cyprianum, narrat quod Justina Virgo, cum Cyprianus adhuc Paganus pudicitiam ejus magicis artibus per demonem tentaret, Virginem Mariam supplex obsecravit, ut periclitanti Virgini suppetias ferret. Deinde ipse S. Cyprianum in eadem Oratione compellat: At tu nos e caelo benignus aspicias, sermonesque nostros & vitam gubernes, sacrumque hunc gregem Pascentem adjuves.*

*S. Gregorius Nyssenus Oratione de S. Theodoro Martyre, his illum verbis invoccat. Intercede ac deprecare pro patria apud communem Regem ac Dominum. Nam patria Martyris est etiam locus Passionis, &c. Etiam si superasti seculum, at nostri affectus & usus, necessitatesque humanae conditionis. Pete pacem, ut hi publici conventus non desinant. — Nos enim etiam quod incolumes servati sumus, tibi acceptum referimus. Petimus autem etiam futuri temporis praesidium atque securitatem. Quod si majori etiam opus fuerit advocacy ac intercessione, fratrum tuorum Martyrum coge chorum, & cum omnibus una deprecare. Multorum Justorum preces populorum peccata dissolvant. Admone Petrum, excita Paulum, necnon Joannem Theologum ac dilectum*

*dilectum Discipulum, ut pro Ecclesiis, quas constituerunt, solliciti sint.*

S. Joannes Chrysostomus Hom. 45. de S. Meletio. *Oremus, inquit, omnes simul, tam Magistratus, quam privati, tam mulieres, quam viri, tam senes, quam juvenes, tam servi quam liberi, beatum ipsum Meletium harum precum socium accipientes. (Est enim ei nunc major fiducia, & amor in vos ferventior:) ut hæc nobis augeatur charitas: & nos omnes obtineamus, quomodo hic sumus prope arcam istam, ita illic quoque posse esse prope beatum & æternum ejus tabernaculum.*

Idem Hom. de SS. Bernice, Prosdice, & Domnina. *Næque die tantum hujus festivitatis, inquit, sed aliis etiam diebus, iis assideamus, eas obsecremus, obtestemur, ut Patronæ sint nostræ. Multam enim fiduciam obtinent non viventes modo, sed & mortuæ, multoque magis cum sint mortuæ. Jam enim stigmata ferunt Christi. Cum autem stigmata hæc ostenderint, omnia Regi possunt persuadere.*

S. Ambrosius l. de Viduis. *Obsecrandi sunt, inquit, Angeli pro nobis, qui nobis ad præsidium dati sunt: Martyres obsecrandi, quorum videmur nobis quoddam corporis pignore patrocinium vendicare. Possunt pro*

Beloved Disciple, to be solicitous for those Churches which were founded by them.

*St. John Chrysostom in his 45. Homily upon St. Meletius.* Let us all joyn in Prayers, as well Magistrates as Private Persons, Women and Men, Old and Young, Slaves and Free, taking in Blessed Meletius himself to bear a part in these our Prayers: (For now he has a greater Interest, and his Love of you is more fervent.) That this Charity may be increas'd in us, and we may all obtain, that as we are here nigh this Tomb, we may there approach his Blessed and Immortal Tabernacle.

*Idem in his Homily upon St. Bernice, Prosdice, and Domnina.* Let us visit them, *says he*, not only on this Day of their Festival, but also on other Days; let us pray to them, and heartily beseech them to be our Patronesses. For their Interest is great, not only whilst alive, but dead also: nay, much greater; since their death. For now they bear the Scars of Christ; and when they shew these Scars, the King can deny them nothing.

*St. Ambrose l. de Viduis.* We are to desire the Assistance of the Angels, who are appointed for our defence. We are to pray to the Martyrs, whose Patronage we may justly claim, on the account of their Bodies, which

which we possess. They can pray for the Remission of our Sins now, who cancell'd their own, if they were guilty of any, by the effusion of their Blood. For these are the Martyrs of God, our Governors, and the Observers of our Life and Actions. Let us not be ashamed to call them in as Advocates for our Failings, who, when alive, were themselves sensible of the

*peccatis rogare nostris, qui proprio sanguine, etiam si quæ habuerunt peccata, laverunt: isti enim sunt Dei Martyres, nostri Præsules, Speculatores vitæ ætæ ætæ nostrorum. Non erubescamus eos intercessores nostræ infirmitatis adhibere: qui & ipsi infirmitatem corporis, etiam cum viverent, cognoscebant.*

Infirmities of the Body.

*St. Hierom Ep. 27. In the Epitaph of Paula, he thus calls upon her. Farewell, O Paula, and by thy Prayers succour his old Age, who honours thee. Thy Faith and Good Works unite thee with Christ: Whatever thou shalt ask now, being in his Presence, thou wilt the easilier obtain.*

*S. Hieronymus Ep. 27. in Epitaphio Paulæ, his illam verbis appellat. Vale, O Paula, & cultoris tui ultimam senectutem, orationibus iuva. Fides & opera tua Christo te socians. Præsens, quod postulas, facilius impetrabis.*

*Idem l. contr. Vigilantium.* You say in your Libel, That while we are alive, we can pray for one another; but that being dead, no ones Prayer will be heard for another: especially since the Martyrs praying that their Blood might be reveng'd, could not obtain it. If the Apostles and Martyrs, being yet in the body, can pray for others, when as yet they ought to be solicitous for themselves; how much more can they do it now, after they have receiv'd their Crowns, after their Victories and Triumphs? *Moses*, one sin-

*Idem l. contra Vigilantium. Dicis in libello tuo, inquit, quod, dum vivimus, mutuo pro nobis orare possumus: postquam autem mortui fuerimus, nullius sit pro alio exaudienda oratio: Præsertim cum Martyres ultionem sui sanguinis obsecrantes, impetrare non quiverint. Si Apostoli & Martyres adhuc in corpore constituti possunt orare pro cæteris, quando pro se adhuc debent esse solliciti: quanto magis post coronam, victorias & triumphos? Unus homo Moyses sexcentis millibus armatorum impetrat a Deo veniam: & Stephanus*



phannus imitator Domini sui, & primus Martyr in Christo, pro persecutoribus veniam deprecatur: & postquam cum Christo esse cœperint, minus valebunt? — Denique, Sancti non appellantur mortui, sed viventes.

gle Man, obtain'd of God Pardon for Six hundred thousand Arm'd Men. And Stephen, the Imitator of his Lord, and first Martyr, obtain'd Mercy for his Persecutors; and being in Heaven with Christ, shall they be less able? — Finally, the Saints, are not said to be Dead, but Living.

S. Augustinus l. 7. de Baptismo contra Donatistas, ubi S. Cyprianum Martyrem his verbis invocat. *Adjuvet itaque nos in orationibus suis in istius carnis mortalitate, tanquam in caliginosa nube, laborantes, ut donante Domino, quantum possumus, bona ejus imitemur.*

Theodoretus l. de Curandis Græcorum affectionibus, Serm. 8. qui de Martyribus inscribitur. *Victorum Martyrum templa, inquit, clara & conspicua cernuntur, magnitudinemque præstantia, & omni ornatus genere illustria. Neque ad hæc nos semel, bisve aut quinque quotannis accedimus, sed frequentes conventus celebramus, sæpe etiam diebus singulis horum Domino laudes decantamus; & qui integra sunt valetudine, hanc sibi conservari, qui autem morbo quopiam conflictantur, hunc depelli petunt. Petunt & liberos, qui his carent, & quæ steriles sunt, rogant ut matres fiant: qui donum adepti sunt,*

*St. Augustin l. 7. de Bapt. cont. Donat. thus invokes the Blessed Martyr St. Cyprian. May he therefore help us in his Prayers, while we are toiling in this mortal Flesh, as in a darksome Cloud; that by the Grace of God we may use our best Endeavours to imitate his holy Life.*

*Theodoret. l. de Curand. Græc. affect. Ser. 8. qui de Martyribus inscribitur. The Temples of the Triumphant Martyrs are Famous, Magnificent, and beautified with all sorts of Ornaments. In these we have our Assemblies, not only once, twice, or five times in the Year, but very often: nay several times in the day we meet here, to sing Praises to the God of Martyrs. Those that are in health, pray for a continuance of it; and such as are sick, that they may recover. They that desire Children, here petition for them; and the Barren here ask to be Mothers: And they that have obtain'd their Request, here beg*

L

that

it may be secur'd to them. Those that are to begin a Journey, ask of these to accompany them, and to be their Guides in the Way: and such as are return'd safe, here come to pay their Thanks. Neither do they make their Addresses to them as to Gods, but only beseech them as Holy Men, to intercede to God for them. And that such as ask with Faith, have their requests granted, the Gifts they bring in acknowledgment of their Cures, are a sufficient Argument: For some hang up Eyes, others Feet, others Hands made in Gold or Silver.

*St. Augustin Sermon. 17. de Verb. Ap. c. 1.* 'Tis the Church-Discipline, as the Faithful well know, that when the Names of the Martyrs are rehears'd at the Altar of God, we do not pray for them, but only for other Faithful departed: for 'tis an Injury to pray for a Martyr, to whose Prayers we ought to commend our selves.

*salvum id sibi servari postulant. Qui peregrinationem aliquam auspiciantur, ab his petunt, ut viæ sibi comites sint, ducesque itineris; qui sospites redierunt, gratias referunt. Non illos adeuntes ut Deos, sed tanquam divinos homines eos orantes, intercessoresque sibi ut esse velint, postulantes. Quod vero votorum compotes fiant, qui fideliter petunt, palam testantur illorum donaria, curationum indicantia. Alii enim oculorum, alii pedum, alii manuum simulacra suspendunt, ex argento aurove confecta.*

*S. Augustinus Sermon. 17. de Verbis Apostoli, cap. 1. Ideoque habet Ecclesiastica disciplina, quod Fideles noverunt, cum Martyres recitantur ad altare Dei; ubi non pro ipsis oratur, pro cæteris vero commemoratis defunctis oratur: injuria est enim pro Martyre orare: cujus nos debemus orationibus commendari.*

*Vigilantius held it Superstitious to preserve the Reliques and Bodies of Martyrs, and abominable to shew them Respect.*

*The Fathers recommend the keeping of the Reliques of Saints with Respect and Veneration, and acknowledge that God often works Miracles by them.*

*Eusebius l. 7. Hist. Eccl. c. 19. Valef. Verf. The Faithful of the*

*Eusebius l. 7. Hist. Eccles. c. 19. Valef. Versionis. Sane, inquit,*

inquit, & *Jacobi illius Cathedram, qui primus Hierosolymorum Episcopus ab ipso servatore & ab Apostolis est constitutus, & quem Fratrem Domini cognominatum fuisse divina testantur volumina, ad nostra usque tempora conservatam, Fratres illius Ecclesie, jam inde a Majoribus, magna prosequuntur reverentia: satis perspicue declarantes, qualiter tum antiquiores, tum nostri temporis Christiani viros sanctos ob ipsorum erga Deum amorem, debito semper honore venerati sunt, & hactenus venerantur.*

Cyrillus Hierosolymitanus Catechesi 18. *Vivus enim, inquit, (Eliseus) resurrectionem effecit per animam suam: sed ut non solum animae Justorum honorentur, verum etiam credatur vim quandam Justorum inesse corporibus; qui in Elisæi sepulchrum objectus erat mortuus, ubi mortuum Prophetæ corpus attigit, vivificatus est.*

S. Basiliius Hom. in Psal. 115. explicans illa verba, *Pretiosa in conspectu Domini mors Sanctorum ejus, hæc habet: Præ legis Judaicæ ritu si qui moriebantur, horum morticina habebantur abominationi: contra mors, si cuiuspiam irrogatur pro Christi nomine, pretiosæ censentur Reliquiæ Sancto-*

Church of Jerusalem, following the Example of their Ancestors, shew great Reverence to the Chair of St. James, who was instituted Bishop of Jerusalem by Christ and his Apostles, and, as the Scriptures testify, was call'd the Brother of our Lord; which Chair is yet preserv'd to these our Days. And in this they plainly declare, how great a Veneration and Honour the Primitive Christians had for Holy Men, for their Love of God; and how much they are now respected by the Christians of our days.

Cyrillus Hierosol. Catech. 18. *Eliseus*, being yet alive, rais'd one to Life by the Power of his Soul. And that not only the Souls of the Just may be honour'd, but that there may be believ'd a certain Vertue to remain even in their Bodies, a dead Person, that had been cast into the Sepulcher of *Eliseus*, no sooner touch'd the Body of the deceased Prophet, but he was restor'd to Life.

St. Basil Hom. in Psal. 115. *explicating these words*, Precious in the sight of our Lord is the death of his Saints, *writes thus*: According to the Jewish Law, the Bodies of the Dead were held in abomination: But if now any suffer Death for the Profession of Christ, the Reliques of his Saints are esteem'd precious.

precious. In the ancient Law it was said to the Priests and Nazarites, You shall not be polluted upon any dead Body: And, If any one touches a Corps, he shall be unclean. But now, on the contrary, whoever touches the Bones of a Martyr, is in some manner sanctified by it, by reason of a Blessing attending the Body.

*Idem in Hom. upon St. Julitta Martyr, where he relates, that the Fire in which she was cast, tho' it kill'd her, yet it spar'd her Body; Which being now plac'd, says he, in the most Magnificent Entry of the Temple of this City, sanctifies the Place, and likewise those who come to visit it.*

*St. Gregory Nyssen Orat. upon the Forty Martyrs, and in another upon St. Theodore Martyr.* Let us look into the present Condition of the Saints, and consider how admirable and glorious it is. For the Soul being receiv'd into Heaven, rests in its Center, and being deliver'd from the Body, lives there in the company of others like it self. But the Body, the honourable and untainted Instrument of the Soul, which with its vicious Inclinations did not injure her Purity, being with great Honour and Respect Intomb'd and Adorn'd, is deposited in an august and holy Place, and there preserv'd as a

*rum ejus. In priori lege dicebatur Sacerdotibus & Nazareis illud: Non contaminabitur super ullo mortuo. Et, si quis tetigerit cadaver, immundus erit: nunc e diverso Martyris ossa quisquis attigerit, ob gratiam corpori insidentem, fit quadantenus sanctificationis particeps.*

*Idem in Hom. de S. Julitta Martyre, refert ignem in quem conjecta est, ejus animam a corpore disjunctisse, ipsi vero corpori pepercisse; Quod nunc, inquit, in præstantissimo Templi urbis vestibulo collocatum, locum quidem sanctificat, sed & eos præterea, qui ad eum conveniunt.*

*S. Gregorius Nyssenus Orat. in SS. 40 Martyres, & Orat. in Theodorum Martyrem. Præsentem Sanctorum statum inspicimus, inquit, quam præclarus magnificusque sit. Nam anima quidem ex quo sublimis abiit, in suo loco requiescit, & corpore soluta cum sui similibus una vivit: Corpus vero venerandum & immaculatum illius Instrumentum, quod suis vitiis & affectibus illius corruptionem non læsit, cum multo honore & cultu compositum atque ornatum, in augusto sacroque loco collocatum est: quod rei instar pretiosissimæ, in regenerationis tempus asseveratur. Deinde*

inde Basilicam elegantissimis decoratam Picturis Christum & Martyrem cum suppliciis, quæ perpeffus est, referentibus, describens, subiungit: *Quisquis igitur his arte elaboratis operibus oculos suos oblectavit, cupit deinceps etiam ipsi conditorio appropinquare, illius contactum sanctificationem ac benedictionem esse credens. Quod si quis etiam pulverem, quo sepulchri locus oblitus est, auferre permittat, pro munere accipitur, ac tanquam res magni pretii reposita terra ista servatur. Nam ipsas attingere reliquias, quando aliqua huiusmodi prospera fortuna contigerit, quam optabile sit, & summis votis expetitur munus, norunt quicunque sunt experti, & hoc suo desiderio potiti. Nè enim tanquam vicum ipsum corpus & florens, videntes osculantur, & ad oculos, ad os, ad aures, ad omnes sensus admovent. Deinde Martyri, quasi integer esset ac præsens, supplicant ut pro ipsis intercedat.*

S. Gregorius Nazianzenus Orat. 3. quæ est prima in Julianum, qua his verbis Apotatam Imperatorem alloquitur: *Non victimas pro Christo casus reveritus es, nec magni pugiles extimuisi, Joannem Petrum, Paulum, Jaco-*

thing of great value, till the time of Resurrection. *Then having describ'd the Church curiously set forth with excellent Pictures of Christ and the Martyr, with the Torments he suffer'd, he goes on thus: Whoever beholds these excellent Pieces, is forthwith desirous of approaching to the Sepulcher of the Martyr, not doubting but the touching this, will be a Sanctification and Blessing to him. And if any one be permitted to take of the Dust which lies about the Monument, 'tis look'd on as a great Favour; and this Earth is laid up, and kept as a thing of high esteem. But to touch the Reliques, whenever this Happiness is granted, 'tis so desirable a thing, so much to be wish'd for, that they only can truly explicate it, who have experienc'd it, in the enjoyment of their Desires. For these, as if they saw the Body fresh and living, kiss it, and lay it to their Eyes, their Mouth, their Ears, and to all their Senses. And then they pray to the Martyr, as if he were alive and present, to make Intercession for them.*

*St. Gregory Nazianzen Orat. 3. being the First against Julian, where he speaks thus to the Apostate Emperor: Had you no respect for those Victims slain for Christ, and did you not fear those great Heroes John, Peter, Paul, James, Stephen, Luke, Andrew,*

*drew, Thecla*, and such who after and before them expos'd themselves to Dangers in testimony of the Truth; who fought with Fire and Sword, Wild Beasts and Tyrants, present Torments and others threatned them, with so great alacrity, as if the Bodies in which they suffer'd were none of their own, or as if they were nothing but Spirit? And this, because they would not deny their Faith, even in Word. Who are highly honour'd, and have solemn Days appointed in their Memory; who cast out Devils, and cure Diseases; whose Apparitions and Admonitions, nay whose very Bodies can do the same, as their Souls, to those that touch them or honour them; the very Drops of whose Blood, and small Marks of whose Martyrdom, can do the same with their Bodies. And these do you not honour, but contemn?

*Idem Orat. 18. on St. Cyprian, where he attests, that the Reliques and holy Ashes of this Martyr did expel Devils, cure Diseases, &c.* All which things, says he, the very Dust of Cyprian can perform on those who approach with Faith, as they who have experienc'd can witness, as likewise those who have deliver'd the memory of the thing to us, and will transmit it to Posterity.

*St. John Chrysostom Orat. on St. Ignatius Martyr, where descri-*

*bum, Stephanum, Lucam, Andream, Theclam, eos qui & post illos, & ante illos, pro veritate periculis se objecerunt: qui cum igne & ferro, & belluis & Tyrannis, & praesentibus malis, & denuntiatis, alacri animo, velut in alienis corporibus, immo quasi corporum expertes dimicaverunt? Cur? Ne pietatem vel verbotenus proderent. Quibus praecleari honores & Festa constituta sunt: a quibus daemones propelluntur, & morbi curantur: quorum apparitiones & praedictiones, quorum vel sola corpora idem possunt quod animae sanctae, sive tangantur, sive honorentur: quorum vel solae sanguinis guttae, atque exiguae passionis signa, idem possunt, quod corpora. Haec non colis, sed contemnis?*

*Idem Orat. 18. in S. Cyprianum, testatur hujus Reliquias sacrosque cineres daemones expellere, morbos curare, &c.* Quae omnia, inquit Cypriani vel pulvis ipse potest, si fides adsit, ut experti norunt; & qui rem gestam ad nostrum usque memoriam propagaverunt, & in consequentia tempora transmittent.

*S. Joannes Chrysostomus, Oratione de S. Ignatio Martyre,*



tyre, in qua honorificam Reliquiarum illius Roma Antiochiam translationem prædicans, hæc habet: *Illum Sanctum tunc Roma venientem civitates deinceps vicissim excipientes, & humeris gestantes ad hanc urbem usque deducebant, coronatum laudantes athletam.* Et infra: *Quemadmodum thesaurus perennis, ex quo singulis diebus hauriunt, nec tamen ille unquam deficit, omnes sui participes locupletiores facit: ita beatus ille Ignatius ad se venientes benedictionibus, fiducia, ingentibus animis, & magna fortitudine complens, domum remittit.* Ne nos igitur hodie duntaxat, sed etiam quotidie ad ipsum veniamus, spirituales ex eo fructus capientes. Licet enim profecto, licet huc adeuntem ingentia bona percipere. Neque enim corpora solum, sed etiam conditoria ipsa Sanctorum, spiritali gratia sunt referta. Etenim si Elisæi tempore, quiddam accidit ejusmodi, & sepulchrum mortuus attingens, mortis vincula dirupit, & ad vitam denuo revertit: multo nunc magis cum abundantior est gratia, cum Spiritus operatio copiosior est, licet conditorium ipsum cum fide tangentem magnam inde virtutem attrahere. Propterea Sanctorum nobis Reliquias concessit Deus, volens ad eundem, qui in illis fuit, zelum velut manu deducere, ac por-

ting the solemn Translation of his Reliques from Rome to Antioch, he says thus: All the Cities in their order receiving this Saint in his way from Rome, and carrying him on their Shoulders, brought him to this very City, singing forth the Praises of this Triumphant Martyr. And a little after: As an inexhaustible Treasure, from which daily Sums are taken, enriches all that come to it, without any decay in it self; so the Blessed Ignatius sends all those home that come to him, full of Blessings, Confidence, Courage, and great Fortitude of Spirit. Let us come therefore to him, not only at present, but every day, that we may be bless'd with spiritual Advantages by him. For whoever addresses himself to this Martyr, may without question receive thence signal Benefits. For not only the Bodies, but the Sepulchers also of the Saints are replenish'd with spiritual Grace. For if in the time of Elisæus such a miraculous thing hapned, that a dead Body touching his Sepulcher, was forthwith restor'd to Life; how much more now, when the diffusion of the Divine Grace is more plentiful, and the Operation of the Holy Spirit more powerful, may those who with a lively Faith approach this Monument, be highly advantag'd by it?

God

God has for this reason favour'd us with the Reliques of his Saints, that by this means he may lead us to the imitation of that Vertue and Zeal which so gloriously shin'd in their Lives, that he may afford us a secure Port and safe Remedy against the Evils which on every side infest us.

*Theodoret Serm. 8. contra Græc.*

The Noble Souls of the Martyrs are entertain'd in Heaven, and keep company with the Quires of Angels. Their Bodies are not each in their own Monument, but being divided among the Cities and Villages, are by them esteem'd the Preservers of their Souls and Bodies, and call'd their Physicians, and honour'd as their Protectors and Guards; and making use of their Intercession to God, they by them obtain Divine Benefits. And the divided Bodies retain their Power entire; those poor, little, and almost undiscernable Reliques having the same Vertue as the whole Martyr. For 'tis the Grace annex'd to them; which distributes those Blessings, and shares its Liberality in proportion to their Faith that come thither.

*St. Jerom against Vigilantius.*

He takes it ill, says he, that the Reliques of the Martyrs are cover'd with a rich Veil, and not rather wrapp'd up in a Clout, or thrown out upon the Dung-hil; that so *Vigilantius* alone,

*tum quandam præbere, tutumque remedia contra mala, quæ undequaque nos incurfant.*

*Theodoretus Serm. 8. contra*

*Græcos. Ac generosæ quidem, inquit, Triumphatorum animæ cælum obambulant, & incorporeorum Spirituum choris intersunt: corpora vero ipsa non unum cujusque monumentum continet: sed urbes & pagi illa inter se partientes, servatores illos animarum pariter & corporum, ac Medicos appellant; & tanquam urbium Præsides atque Custodes venerantur: & horum apud universorum Deum utentes interventu, divina per ipsos munera consequuntur. Porro diviso corpore indivisa gratia perseverat: ac tenues illæ tantillæque reliquiæ eandem vim obtinent ac Martyr integer nec in partes dissectus. Quæ enim insidet gratia, dona illa distribuit & pro accedentium fide liberalitatem metitur.*

*S. Hieronymus adversus Vigilantium. Dolet, inquit, Martyrum reliquias pretioso operiri velamine, & non vel panis, vel cilicio colligari, vel projici in sterquilinum; ut solus Vigilantius ebrius & dormiens adoretur.*

adoretur. Ergo sacrilegi sumus, quando Apostolorum Basilicas ingredimur? Sacrilegus fuit Constantinus Imperator, qui Sanctas Reliquias *Andreae, Lucae, & Timothei* transtulit Constantinopolim: apud quas daemones rugiunt, & inhabitatores Vigilantii illorum se sentire praesentiam consitentur? Sacrilegus & nunc dicendus est Augustus Arcadius, qui ossa B. Samuelis longo post tempore de Judaea transtulit in Thraciam? Omnes Episcopi non solum sacrilegi, sed & fatui judicandi, qui rem vilissimam, & cineres dissolutos in serico & vase aureo portaverunt? Stulti omnium Ecclesiarum populi, qui occurrerunt Sanctis reliquiis, & tanta letitia, quasi praesentem viventemque Prophetam cernerent, susceperunt: ut de Palestina usque Chalcedonem jungerentur populorum examina, & Christi laudem una voce resonarent?—Et infra: Male ergo facit Romanus Episcopus, qui super mortuorum hominum Petri & Pauli secundum nos ossa veneranda, secundum te vilem pulvisculum, offert Domino sacrificia, & tumultus eorum Christi arbitratur altaria. Et non solum unius urbis, sed totius orbis errant Episcopi, qui cauponem Vigilantium contemnentes, ingrediuntur Basilicas Martyrum.

drunken and drowsie as he is, may be ador'd, forsooth. Are we therefore sacrilegious, when we enter the Temples of the Apostles? Did the Emperor Constantine rob God of his Honour, when he translated the Holy Reliques of *Andrew, Luke, and Timothy* to Constantinople; before which the Devils howl, and the Inhabiters of *Vigilantius* own a sense of their presence? Is our Emperor *Arcadius* to be charg'd with Profaneness, because he has translated the Bones of *Samuel*, after so many Ages, from *Palestine* into *Thrace*? Are all the Bishops to be condemn'd, not only as Wicked, but as Fools too, for carrying on their Shoulders so mean a thing as those loose Ashes, curiously veild with Silk, and repositied in a Vessel of Gold? Are the Faithful of all the Churches Mad, who went forth to meet those Holy Reliques, and receiv'd them with so much joy, as if the Prophet had been there alive, and in Person; and so that from the *Holy Land* to *Chalcedon* was but one Crowd of People, and one Quire singing forth the Praises of their Redeemer?—And a little after: The Bishop of *Rome* do's therefore very ill, who upon the Bones of Dead Men, *Peter and Paul*, esteem'd by us as Venerable Reliques, tho' by you deem'd as regardless Dust, offers

Sacrifice to God, and looks on their Sepulchers as the Altars of Christ. And not only the Bishop of one City, but those of the whole World are in an Error, who having no respect for great *Vigilantius*, do yet visit the Temples of the Martyrs.

*Idem Ep. 53.* We are so far from worshipping or adoring the Reliques of Martyrs, that we do't do it even to the Sun and Moon, to Angels or Archangels, Cherubins or Seraphins, or any other thing, either in this World, or in that to come; left by so doing we should serve some Creature in stead of our Creator. We honour indeed the Reliques of Martyrs, that so we may adore him whose Martyrs they are. We shew an Honour to the Servants, that the Honour given to them may redound to their Lord, who has *receives me.*

St. Ambrose in his *Epistle to his Sister Marcellina writes thus of the Miracles wrought at the discovery of the Reliques of St. Gervasius and Protasius.* You know, *says he,* nay you have seen many freed from the Devils with which they were possess'd, and great numbers, upon the touching the Garments of these Saints, eas'd of their Infirmities, under which they groan'd. You have seen the Miracles renew'd of the Primitive time, when by the Coming of our Blessed Saviour, the Spirit of God has commu-

*Idem Ep. 53. Nos autem non dico Martyrum reliquias, sed ne Solem quidem & Lunam, non Angelos, non Archangelos, non Cherubim & Seraphim, & omne nomen quod nominatur in presenti seculo, & in futuro, colimus & adoramus; ne servamus creatura potius quam Creatori. Honoramus autem reliquias Martyrum, ut eum cuius sunt Martyres, adoremus. Honoramus Servos, ut honor Servorum redundet ad Dominum, qui ait, Qui vos suscipit, me suscipit.*

said, *He that receives you, re-*

S. Ambrosius Ep. ad Marcellinam sororem de Miraculis in sacrarum inventione reliquiarum SS. Gervasii & Protasii ita scribit. *Cognovistis, inquit, immo vidistis ipsi multos a Dæmoniis purgatos; plurimos etiam ubi vestem Sanctorum manibus contigerunt, iis quibus laborabant debilitatibus absolutos: reparata vetusti temporis miracula, quo se per adventum Domini Jesu gratia terris major infuderat: umbra quadam Sanctorum Corporum plebsque sanatos cernitis. Quanta oratio*

oraria jactitantur? Quanta indumenta super Reliquias Sacratissimas & tactu ipso medicabilia repossuntur? Gaudent omnes extrema lintea contingere; & qui contigerit, saluus erit.—Condamus ergo, inquit, Reliquias sacrosanctas, & dignis adibus inuehamus, totumque diem fida devotione celebremus.

touch their outmost Linen; and he that touches, is cur'd.—Let us honourably deposit these Sacred Reliques, and bring them into worthy Mansions, and spend the whole day in sincere Devotion.

Idem Corpora Sanctorum Nazarii & Celsi divinitus admonitus invenit: de quibus Sermonem 14. hæc habet: *Hæc est sanctorum Martyrum gloria, quorum etsi per universum mundum seminetur in cineribus portio, manet tamen integra in virtutibus plenitudo.* —Honoro ergo in carne Martyris exceptas pro Christi nomine cicatrices. Honoro viventis memoriam peremitate virtutis. Honoro per confessionem Domini sacratos cineres. Honoro in cineribus semina æternitatis. Honoro corpus quod mihi Dominum meum ostendit diligere: quod me propter Dominum mortem docuit non timere. Cur autem non honorent corpus illud Fideles, quod reverentur & Dæmones? Quod & affixerunt in supplicio, sed glorificatur in sepulchro. Honoro

icated it self more plentifully to Men. You have seen many cur'd of their Diseases by the very Shadow of the Saints Bodies. How many Hankerchiefs and other Linen have you beheld thrown upon the Holy Reliques, and immediately demanded back, blest'd with a Vertue of being Antidote against any Distemper? All rejoice to

*The same St. Ambrose by Divine Inspiration found the Bodies of St. Nazarius and Celsus; of which, Sermon. 14. he writes thus: This is the Glory of the Holy Martyrs, that tho' their Ashes are spread throughout the whole World, yet the Vertue is not diminish'd, but every where compleat and entire.* —I honour therefore in the Flesh of the Martyr, the Wounds he receiv'd for the sake of Christ. I honour the Memory of him, yet living in the continuance of his Vertue. I honour his Ashes, made Sacred by his Confession of Christ. I honour in his Ashes the Seeds of Eternity. I honour his Body, which has shew'd me how to love my God, and taught me not to fear to die for my Lord. And why should not the Faithful honour that Body, which

which the very Devils have a respect for? Which they have caus'd to be tormented, but is now honour'd in its Sepulcher.

I honour that Body which Christ has honour'd with Martyrdom, which shall reign with Christ in Heaven.

*itaque corpus quod Christus honoravit in gladio, quod cum Christo regnabit in caelo.*

*St. Augustin Serm. 92. de Divers. writes thus, speaking of the Reliques of St. Stephen: A little Dust has call'd together so great a Multitude. The Ashes lie hid, but the Benefits are manifest. Think a little, Christians, how great things God has reserv'd for us in the State of Bliss, who makes the Dust of those that are dead so highly beneficial to us. And Ep. 103. he says thus, treating of the Honour to be given to the Reliques of Saints: They carry the Reliques of the Blessed and ever Glorious Martyr Stephen, which Your Holiness knows well how reasonable it is you should honour, as we have done. The same Father writes at large of the Invention of St. Stephen's Reliques, and of many Miracles wrought by them, l. 22. de Civitate Dei, c. 8.*

*S. Augustinus Ser. 92. de Diversis. De Sancti Stephani Reliquiis, sic ait; Exiguus pulvis tantum populum congregavit. Cinis latet, beneficia patent. Cogitate Charissimi, quæ vobis Deus servet in regione vivorum, qui tanta præstat de pulvere mortuorum. Et Epist. 103. de honore Sanctorum Reliquiis deferendo ita scribit: Portant sane Reliquias. Beatissimi & Gloriosissimi Martyris Stephani; quas non ignorat Sanctitas vestra, sicut & nos fecimus, quam convenienter honorare debeatis. Idem lib. 22. de Civitate Dei, cap. 8. de Sancti Stephani Protomartyris Reliquiis nuper inventis, & de variis miraculis illarum virtute effectis multa habet.*

### Of Aërius.

**I**N the First Century, about the Year of Christ 50. *Aërius*, went out of the Church, and teaching many erroneous Doctrins, related by *St. Epiphanius, Hæc. 75.* endeavour'd to draw



draw Numbers after him. His principal Tenets were those, wherein he condemn'd Prayers for the Dead, and denied any Superiority in Bishops over Priests, maintaining them to be equal both in Order and Jurisdiction.

*Aërius condemn'd Praying for the Dead.*

*The Fathers practis'd it, and own'd it as advantageous to the Souls departed.*

S. Dionysius lib. de Eccl. Hier. cap. 7. *Accedens, inquit, venerandus Antistes precem sacram super mortuum peragit; precatur divinam clementiam, ut cuncta dimittat per infirmitatem humanam admissa peccata defuncto, eumque in luce statuat & regione vivorum.*

Tertullianus lib. de Corona Militis, cap. 3. *Oblationes pro defunctis, pro natalitiis annua die facimus: ut supra.* Et lib. 10. de Monogamia, cap. 10. de officio Viduæ fidelis erga maritum, ita scribit: *Enimvero & pro anima ejus orat, & refrigerium interim adpostulat ei, & in prima resurrectione confortium, & offert annuis diebus dormitionis ejus.*

S. Cypr. Ep. 66. ad Clerum & Plebem Furnitanam data: *Episcopi, inquit, antecessores nostri religiose considerantes, & salubriter providentes, censuerunt ne quis frater excedens, ad tate-*

*St. Dionysius l. de Eccles. Hierarch. cap. 7.* The Venerable Prelate coming, prays over the Dead Body: he implores the Divine Clemency to pardon all the Sins committed by the deceas'd Party through Humane Frailty, and that he may be receiv'd into the State of Bliss, and Region of the Living.

*Tertullian l. de Cor. Milit. c. 3.* We make Oblations for the Dead, and keep the Anniversary of their Birth: as above more at large. *Et l. 10. de Monog. c. 10.* speaking of the Obligation of a Christian Widow towards her deceas'd Husband, he writes thus: She prays likewise for his Soul, and begs in the mean time Refreshment for him, and that he may have part in the First Resurrection, and makes Oblations on the Anniversary days of his departure.

*S. Cyprian Ep. 66. ad Clerum & Plebem Furnit.* The Bishops our Predecessors, upon mature and pious Considerations, decreed, That no Christian departing this Life should by Will leave any

any Priest for Guardian: and that in case any did presume to do this, no Prayers should be made for him, nor Sacrifice offer'd for the Rest of his Soul. For he do's not deserve to be commemorated by the Priest at the Altar; who would by this Concern withdraw the Priests and Assistants from the Altar. And for this Reason *Victor*, who contrary to this Order of the Priests in Council, dar'd to constitute *Geminus Faustinus* a Clergy-man Tutor, has no Title that any Oblation be made for his Repose, or his Name be mention'd in the Prayers of the Church.

*Arnobius lib. 4. advers. Gent. p. ult.* What reason was there that our Churches should be so outrageously thrown down, in which Prayers were offer'd to our Sovereign God, Peace and Mercy was implor'd for all, for Magistrates, Armies, Kings, Friends, and Enemies, whether alive or dead?

*St. Cyril of Jerusalem Cateches. Mystag. 5.* Then, says he, we pray for the Holy Fathers and Bishops decess'd; and last of all, for such as have died amongst us: firmly believing, that those Souls find great Relief, for whom the Prayer is offer'd of that holy and awful Sacrifice which lies upon the Altar.— After the same manner we also

*lam, vel curam Clericum nominaret: ac si quis hoc fecisset, non offerretur pro eo, nec sacrificium pro dormitione ejus celebraretur. Neque enim apud altare Dei meretur nominari in Sacerdotum prece, qui ab altari Sacerdotes & ministros voluit avocare. Et ideo Victor, cum contra formam nuper in Concilio a Sacerdotibus datam, Geminium Faustinum Presbyterum ausus sit tutorem constituere, non est quod pro dormitione ejus apud nos fiat oblatio, aut deprecatio aliqua nomine ejus in Ecclesia frequentetur.*

*Arnobius lib. 4. adversus Gentes, pag. ult.* Cur immaniter conventicula dirui, (meruerunt) in quibus Summus oratur Deus, pax cunctis, & venia postulatur, Magistratibus, Exercitibus, Regibus, Familiaribus, Inimicis, adhuc vitam degentibus, & resolutis corporum vinctione?

*S. Cyrillus Hierosolymitanus Cat. Myst. 5.* Deinde, inquit, pro defunctis sanctis Patribus & Episcopis. Denique pro omnibus oramus, qui inter nos vita sancti sunt, maximum esse credentes animarum juvamen, pro quibus offertur precatio sancti illius & tremendi, quod in altari positum est, Sacrificii.— Ad eundem modum & nos pro defunctis

*defunctis preces adhibentes quamvis sint peccatores, non quidem coronam plectimus, sed Christum pro nostris peccatis mactatum offerimus, ut & nobis, & illis, eum qui est benignissimus, propitium reddamus.*

offer up Prayers for the Dead, tho' under the guilt of Sin; not by presenting a Crown (as was done to the Roman Emperors for the repealing a Sentence against a Malefactor) but by making an Oblation of Christ, who died for our Sins, that so we may obtain Mercy both for our selves and them, of him who is most merciful.

*S. Gregorius Nyssenus in Orat. de Mortuis, quos ait; Vel in presenti vita sapientiae studio & precibus purgatos; vel post obitum per expurgantis ignis fornacem expiatis, ad sempiternam felicitatem pervenire.*

*St. Gregory Nyssen in Orat. de Mortuis, where he says, That such as die, having been cleans'd from their Sins, either in this Life, by Prayers and the practice of Virtue; or after their Death, having made Satisfaction in the Furnace of the Purging Fire, are receiv'd into Bliss.*

*S. Basilii in cap. 9. Isaia. Si per Confessionem, inquit, detexerimus peccatum, jam succrescens gramen arefecimus, dignum plane quod depascatur a devoret purgatorius ignis.*

*St. Basil in cap. 9. Isaia. If we have disclos'd, says he, our Sin in Confession, the growing Weed is by this means so wither'd and dry, that 'twill be easily consum'd by the Purging Fire.*

*S. Gregorius Nazianzenus Orat. 39. in Sancta Lumina; ubi tres Purgationes numerat: primam per baptismum, alteram per poenitentiam, tertiam per ignem: duæ priores sunt in hac vita, tertia post obitum. In altero ævo, inquit, igni fortasse baptizabuntur, qui postremus est baptismus, non solum acerbior, sed & diuturnior, qui crassam materiam instar feni depascitur, vitique omnis levitatem absumit.*

*St. Greg. Nazianz. Orat. 39. in Sancta Lumina: Where he reckons three Expiations, or Means of being purified; the first by Baptism, the second by Penance, the third by Fire: the two first in this Life, the last in the next. In the next Life, says he, they may come to be baptiz'd by Fire, which is the last Baptism; not only more painful, but also more lasting, which devours the gross Matter like Hay, and consumes the Frailty of all Sin.*

*Eusebius*

Eusebius l. 4. de Vita Constantini, c. 71. *Where speaking of the Funeral of Constantine, he says thus.* The Holy Prince being expos'd upon a stately Throne, was highly commended. And great numbers of People, together with the Priests, offer'd up Prayers to God, not without Groans and Tears, for the Soul of the Emperor; thus performing a most acceptable Office to their Prince of Pious Memory.

St. Epiphanius Hæresi 75. viz. of the Aërians. The Prayers, says he, which are offer'd up for the Dead, are advantageous to them, tho' they are not able to cancel all Sins.—For we mention both the Just and Sinners; the latter, that we may obtain Mercy for them of God, &c. *Et in Expositione Catholicæ Fidei, num. 23.* Now forasmuch as concerns such as are Dead, their Names are expressly mention'd, Prayers and Sacrifices are offer'd, and the holy Mysteries frequent.

St. John Chrysostom Hom. 41. in Ep. 1. ad Corinth. If a Sinner has departed this Life, we ought to rejoyce, because his vicious Course is interrupted, and there can be now no longer any addition to his Sins. And we must relieve him, as much as possible, not by our Tears, but by praying for him, by giving Alms, and making Oblations

Eusebius lib. 4. de Vita Constantini Imp. c. 71. ubi de ipsius funere agit: *Ac beatus quidem Princeps, inquit, alto in suggestu jacens, laudibus tum celebrabatur. Innumerabilis autem populus una cum Sacerdotibus Dei, non sine gemitu ac lacrymis, pro Imperatoris anima preces offerebant Deo; gratissimum pio Principi officium exhibentes.*

S. Epiphanius Hæresi 75. quæ est Aërianorum. *Cæterum, inquit, quæ pro mortuis concipiuntur preces, iis utiles sunt, tametsi non omnes culpas extinguant.—Nam & justorum & peccatorum mentionem facimus: peccatorum quidem, ut iis a Domino misericordiam imploremus, &c. Et in Expositione Catholicæ Fidei, num. 23. Jam vero quod ad mortuos spectat, nominatim de illis mentio fit, & preces ac sacrificia, Mysteriaque frequentantur.*

S. Joannes Chrysostomus Hom. 41. in Ep. 1. ad Corinth. *Si peccator excessit, inquit, propterea etiam latari oportet, quod interseissa sint peccata, & vitio non adjecit; & quod fieri potest, ei succurrere, non lacrymis sed precibus, & supplicationibus, & elemosynis, & oblationibus. Non sunt enim hæc temere excogitata, neque frustra*

*frustra eorum, qui exceſſerunt, in divinis Myſteriis meminimus, & pro iſtis accedimus, rogantes Agnum propoſitum, qui mundi peccatum tulit: ſed ut eis inde aliqua ſit conſolatio. Neque abſe is qui adſtat altari, dum veneranda peraguntur Myſteria, clamat; Pro omnibus qui in Chriſto dormierunt, & iis qui pro iſtis celebrant memorias. Nam ſi pro iſtis non fierent commemorationes, ne hæc quidem dicta eſſent. Non ſunt enim res noſtræ ludi ſcenici, abſit. Hæc enim ſunt ordinatione Spiritus. Eis ergo opem feramus, & commemorationem eorum peragamus. Si enim Jobi filios expiabat Patris ſacrificium, quid dubitas an nobis pro eis qui exceſſerunt, offerentibus, eis exiſtat aliqua conſolatio?— Ne nos pigeat opem ferre iis, qui exceſſerunt, & pro eis offerre preces: eſt enim propoſitum orbis terræ commune piaculum. Propterea fidenter pro orbe tunc rogamus, & cum Martyribus eos vocamus, cum Confeſſoribus, cum Sacerdotibus. Etenim unum Corpus omnes ſumus, etiamſi ſint membra membris ſplendidiora: & fieri poteſt ut veniam eis omni ex parte conciliemus, a precibus, a donis quæ pro eis offeruntur, ab eis qui cum iſtis vocantur. Cur ergo doles? Cur luges, & lamentaris, quando deſuncto poteſt tanta conciliari venia?*

for his Soul. For theſe things are not inſtituted in vain, and 'tis not an idle Commemoration we make in the Sacred Myſteries, of ſuch as are Dead; coming in their behalf, and offering our Petitions to the Lamb there before us, who has taken away the Sins of the World; but that they may thereby receive ſome Conſolation. Neither is it in vain that he that Officiates at the Altar, in the time of Divine Sacrifice, cries out thus: For all thoſe that ſleep in Chriſt, and for them that make Commemoration for them. For if there were no Commemoration made for the Dead, there would be no ſuch thing ſaid. For what we do here is no Stage-play; no, God forbid: but what has been inſtituted by the Holy Ghoſt. Let us therefore help them, and make Commemoration of them. For if the Sons of Job were expiated by the Sacrifice made by their Father, who can doubt but the Oblations we make for ſuch as are deceaſ'd, bring Relief to them?—Let us therefore with all chearfulneſs aſſiſt thoſe that are Dead, and pray for them: for having before us that Common Sacrifice which was offer'd for the Sins of the World, we may with confidence pray for the whole World, and make Com-

memoration of them with the Martyrs, with the Confessors, and Priests: for we are all but One Body, aitho' some Members are more Noble than others: and 'tis possible we may obtain Mércy for them, in order to a full Discharge, by our Prayers, by our Oblations made for them, and by their means who are mention'd with them. Why therefore do you grieve, why do you lament, since to the Party deceas'd you may bring so great Relief?

*And in his third Homily on the Epistle to the Philippians:* 'Twas not in vain instituted by the Apostles, that Commemoration should be made for the Dead in the venerable and dreadful Mysteries. They knew, that by this they receiv'd great benefit and advantage. For at that time when all the People stand with their Hands held up, when the Priests are there assisting, and that Divine Sacrifice, striking dread into all present, is offer'd, no doubt but praying for them, we may render God propitious to them, I mean to such who have departed in the

Et Homil. 3. in Epist. ad Philippenfes. *Non frustra, inquit, hæc ab Apostolis sunt legibus constituta; ut in venerandis atque horrificis Mysteriis memoria eorum fiat qui decesserunt. Noverant hinc multum ad illos lucri accedere, multum utilitatis. Eo enim tempore quo universus populus stat manibus passis, ac cætus Sacerdotalis, & illud horrorem venerationis plenum incutiens Sacrificium; quomodo Deum non placabimus, pro istis orantes? Atque id quidem de iis qui in fide decesserunt.*

Unity of Faith.

*And in his 21. Homily upon the Acts:* 'Tis not in vain that Oblations are made for the Dead, we don't in vain pray and give Alms for them. All this is the Ordinance of the Holy Ghost, who designs we should help one another. For see, he'l be benefited by you, and you by him, being perswaded for his sake to some charitable Work. Where you are the occasion to him of

Et Homil. 21. in Acta: *Non frustra, inquit, oblationes pro defunctis fiunt; non frustra preces, non frustra eleemosynæ. Hæc omnia Spiritus disposuit, volens ut nos mutuum juvemus. Vide enim: utilitatem accipiet ille per te, & tu per illum, contemptis opibus inductus ad faciendum aliquid generosum: & tu illi salutis, ipse vero tibi eleemosynæ factus est auctor, ne dubita,*



dubita, quia erit aliquis fructus suavis. Non simpliciter Minister clamat pro his qui defuncti sunt in Christo, & pro his qui illorum memoriam faciunt. Non Diaconus est qui talem vocem illam emittit, sed Spiritus Sanctus. In manibus est Hostia, & omnia proposita sunt bene ordinata, adsunt Angeli, adsunt Archangeli, adest Filius Dei, cum tanto horrore adsint omnes, adsint illi clamantes omnibus silentibus; & putas simpliciter hæc fieri? — Sicut enim quando Regum trophæa statuuntur, tunc celebrantur quidem & quicunque victoriæ socii fuerant: dimittuntur autem qui sunt in vinculis per illud tempus: ubi autem transierit hoc tempus, qui nihil assecutus est, nihil obtinet: ita sane & hic. Trophæorum & celebrandæ victoriæ tempus est. Quoties enim manducatis panem hunc, inquit, mortem Domini annuntiate. Itaque ne simpliciter accedamus, neque fortuito hæc fieri putemus. — Hæc scientes, consideremus, quantas consolationes possemus mortuis, pro lacrymis, pro monumentis præstare; nempe elemosynas, preces, orationes, ut et illi & nos assequamur promissâ bonâ.

his Salvation, and he to you of your Charity; and you need not doubt but 'twill be of good effect. 'Tis no idle Summons of the Deacon, wherein he desires the People to pray for the Faithful departed, and for all such as make Commemoration of them. For that is not so much the Voice of the Deacon, as of the Holy Ghost. The Host is in the Hands of the Priest, all things are in a decent Order, there are Angels and Archangels assisting, there is present the Son of God, all are there with an awful dread upon them, the Holy Quires are singing, while all the rest are silent; and do you think all this to be to no purpose? — For as in the Triumph of Kings, those who had a share in the Victory, have also part of the Glory; and such as were in Prison, are then set at Liberty: but when the Triumph is over, 'tis then too late to expect Favours: So likewise 'tis in our Case. We have a time of Triumph, and celebrating a Victory. For 'tis said, As often as you eat this Bread, you declare the Death of our Lord. Let us not therefore barely come, nor imagine that these things are done by chance.

— Knowing these things, let us consider how great Comforts we may bring to those that are departed, in stead of vainly bewailing them, or erecting Monuments in their memory; to wit, by giving Alms, by making Supplications and Pray-

ers, that both they and we may attain the Promises of eternal Bliss.

*And in his 32. Homily upon St. Matthew, he exhorts Parents to give Alms for the Souls of their deceas'd Children. For if many Barbarous Nations, says he, are wont with the Bodies of the Dead to commit their Goods to the Flames; with how much more reason may you give yours to your deceas'd Son, not to reduce them to Ashes, but that they may make him more glorious? If you think he died not exempt from the Guilt of Sin, give him what belong'd to him, so to discharge him of that Guilt. If you think he died wholly in the Divine Grace, yet afford him something of yours, for the encrease of his Glory and Reward.*

*Theodoret l. 5. Hist. Eccles. c. 36. Where he attests, That Theodosius the Younger being prostrate before the Holy Reliques of St. John Chrysostom, pray'd for the Souls of his deceas'd Parents Arcadius and Eudoxia. Laying his Eyes and Forehead, says he, to the Chest where the Dead Body was, he pray'd for his Father and Mother, begging that they might obtain pardon for all their Sins of Frailty. For his Parents had been dead now some time.*

*St. Ambrose in his Oration on the Death of Theodosius. Give perfect*

*Et Hom. 32. in Matt. Parentes hortatur ut pro filiis defunctis eleemosynas erogent. Nam si multiæ Barbaræ Gentes, inquit, una cum defunctis res eorum cremare solent: quanto æquius defuncto filio tuo tua tradere potes, non ut in cinerem redigantur, sed ut gloriosum magis illum reddant? Putas illum maculis inquinatum abiisse? Da ipsi sua, ut illis se a maculis detergat: putas injustitia ipsum decessisse? Præbe ipsi tua ad mercedis & retributionis adjectionem.*

*Theodoretus lib. 5. Hist. Eccl. cap. 36. Ubi testatur Theodosium Juniorem Imp. coram sacris B. Joannis Chrysostomi Reliquiis prostratum, parentibus suis Arcadio & Eudoxiæ defunctis veniam adprecatum fuisse. Hic igitur oculos & frontem loculo admovens, inquit, pro parentibus suis supplicavit, petens ut iis qui per imprudentiam peccassent veniam concederet. Jamdudum enim parentes illius ex hac vita migraverant.*

*S. Ambrosius Orat. de obitu Theodosii. Da requiem per-*

*perfectam servo tuo Theodosio, requiem illum, quam præparasti Sanctis tuis. Illo convertatur anima ejus unde descendit, ubi mortis aculeum sentire non possit, ubi cognoscat mortem hanc non naturæ finem, sed culpæ — Dilexi, & ideo prosequor eum usque ad regionem vivorum, nec deseram, donec fletu & precibus inducam virum quo sua merita vocant, in montem Domini Sanctum, ubi perennis vita.*

Mount of our Lord, where there is Life without end.

Et Epist. lib. 8. Epist. 2. ad Faustinum, qua ipsum de Sororis morte consolatur. Itaque non tam deplorandam, quam prosequendam orationibus reor: Nec mæstificandam lacrymis tuis, sed magis oblationibus animam ejus Domino commendandam arbitror.

S. Hieronymus Epist. 26. ad Pammachium de Paulinæ uxoris obitu. Cæteri Mariti, inquit, super tumultum conjugum spargunt violas, rosas, floresque purpureos, & his officiis dolorem pectoris consolantur. Pammachius noster sanctam favillam ossaque veneranda, elemosynæ balsamis rigat. His pigmentis atque odoribus fovet cineres quiescentes, sciens scriptum, quod sicut aqua extinguit ignem, ita elemosyna extinguit peccatum.

Rest to thy Servant *Theodosius*, that Rest which thou hast prepar'd for thy Saints. Let his Soul ascend thither from whence it had its Origin, where it may be out of the power of Death, where it may know this Death is not an end of Nature, but of Sin. — I lov'd him, and therefore I pursue him to the Region of the Living; nor will I leave him, till by my Tears and Prayers I bring him whither his Merits call him, into the Holy

*And in his Eighth Book of Epistles, Ep. 2. ad Faustinum, where he comforts him upon the death of his Sister. You ought not so much to bewail her, as to pray for her. Neither do I think it fit, you should sadden her Soul with your Tears, but rather recommend it to God in your Oblations:*

*St. Hierom Ep. 26. ad Pammach. on the death of his Wife Paulina. Other Husbands, says he, dress their Wives Tomb with Violets, Roses, and Purple Flowers, and by these Services ease their disturbed Mind. But our Friend Pammachius pays no other Duty to the holy Ashes, and venerable Bones, but by giving Alms, cherishing them by this sweet Odour; because he knows 'tis written; As Water extinguishes the Fire, so do Alms blot out Sin.*

*St. Augustin*

*St. Augustin l. 9. Confess. c. 12. Where he treats of the Funeral of his Mother Monica. When the Body was carried to Burial, I went and returned without Tears. Neither in those Prayers we pour'd forth unto thee, did I shed any Tears, when the Sacrifice of our Ransom was offer'd for her, the Body being set down by the Graves side, before the Interment thereof, as is the Custom there.*

*And c. 13. he thus prays for his Mother deceas'd. I therefore, O God of my Heart, setting aside a little while her Good Deeds, for which with much rejoicing I render thee Thanks, now become a Petitioner to thee for the Sins of that my Mother. Hear thou me, I beseech thee, by that Cure of our Wounds that hung upon the Cross, and now sitting at thy Right Hand, intercedes to thee for us. I know that she dealt mercifully, and from her Heart forgave to her Debtors their Trespases; Do thou likewise remit her Debts to her, if she hath also contracted some in those many Years she liv'd after Baptism; forgive them, O Lord, forgive them, I beseech thee.—Nor was she solicitous for a Sepulcher in her own Country; none of these things recommended she to us; but only desir'd us to make remembrance of her at thy Altar, at*

*S. Augustinus l. 9. Confessionum c. 12. Ubi de S. Monica Matris suae funere. Cum ecce, corpus elatum est, imus & redimus sine lacrymis. Nam neque in eis precibus quas tibi sudimus, cum offerretur pro ea Sacrificium pretii nostri, jam juxta sepulcrum posito cadavere, priusquam deponeretur, sicut illic fieri solet, nec in eis precibus ego flevi.*

*Et c. 13. Ita apprecatur Matri jam defunctæ. Ego itaque, Deus cordis mei, sepositis paulisper bonis ejus actibus, pro quibus tibi gaudens gratias ago, nunc pro peccatis Matris meæ, deprecor te; exaudi me per medicinam vulnerum nostrorum quæ pendit in ligno, & sedens ad dextram tuam te interpellat pro nobis. Scio misericorditer operatam, & ex corde dimisisse debita debitoribus suis: dimitte illi & tu debita sua. Si quæ etiam contraxit per tot annos post aquam salutis, dimitte Domine, dimitte obsecro.—Neque illa curavit sepulcrum patrum: non ista mandavit nobis, sed tantummodo memoriam sui ad altare tuum fieri desideravit, cui nullius diei prætermissione servierat, unde sciret dispensari victimam sanctam quæ deletum est chirographum, quod erat contrarium nobis.*

which,

which, without any one days intermission, she constantly attended; from whence she knew was dispens'd the Holy Victim, by which was cancell'd the Hand-writing, which was contrary unto us.

Et infra, c. 12. *Inspira Domine meus, Deus meus, inspira servis tuis, Fratribus meis, ut quotquot hæc legerint meminerint ad altare tuum Monica famulæ tuæ, cum Patricio quondam ejus conjugæ, per quorum carnem introduxisti me in hanc vitam. Meminerint cum affectu pio Parentum meorum in hac luce transitoria & Fratrum meorum sub te Patre in Matre Catholica. — Ut quod illa a me poposcit extremum, uberius ei præstetur in multorum orationibus.*

perform'd to her by the Prayers of many.

Et lib. de Cura pro mortuis gerenda, c. 1. *In Machabeorum libris, inquit, legimus oblatum pro mortuis Sacrificium. Sed etsi nusquam in Scripturis veteribus omnino legeretur, non parva tamen est universæ Ecclesiæ, quæ in hac consuetudine claret, auctoritas: ubi in precibus Sacerdotis, quæ Domino Deo ad ejus altare funduntur, locum suum habet etiam commendatio mortuorum.*

Et cap. 4. *Non sunt præmittendæ supplicationes pro*

*And a little after: Do thou inspire, my Lord, my God, do thou inspire thy Servants, my Brethren, that as many of them as shall read these things, may remember at thine Altar Monica thine Handmaid, and Patricius her Husband, by whom thou broughtest me into this Life: Let them remember with a charitable Affection these my Parents in this transitory Life, and my Brethren under thee our Father in our Catholick Mother. — That so what my Mother made her last Request to me, may be more plentifully*

*And lib. de Cura pro mortuis gerenda, c. 1. In the Books of the Machabees, says he, we read, that Sacrifice was offer'd for the Dead. But altho' there were no such thing in the Old Scripture, yet the Authority of the whole Church, which is evident in this Practice, is of no small weight: where amongst the Prayers said by the Priest at the Altar of God, the Recommendation of the Dead is recited in its Place.*

*And chap. 4. Prayers for the Dead are not to be omitted, which*

which the Church has undertaken shall be offer'd for all that are departed in the Christian and Catholick Unity, and this in a general Commemoration, without making mention of any in particular. That by this means, all such as have no Parents, or Sons, or Relations, or Friends to pray for them, may have this Charity perform'd to them by their Common Mother. And if these Prayers were omitted, which are thus offer'd with a sincere Faith and Devotion for the Dead, I am of opinion, 'twere no benefit at all to their Souls, Places.

*And in his thirty second Sermon de Verbis Apostoli:* Wherefore, says he, a pompous Funeral, a numerous Attendance, a stately Tomb, or rich Monuments, are some kind of Comfort to the Living, but no Advantage at all to the Dead. But as for the Prayers of the Holy Church, the Propitiatory Sacrifice, and Alms, which are offer'd for their Souls, no doubt but they are thereby aided, to be treated with greater Mercy than their Sins deserv'd. For this which has been deliver'd by the Fathers, the whole Church observes, when at the Sacrifice it self the Dead are in their place commemorated, to pray for all

*spiritibus mortuorum; quas faciendas pro omnibus in Christiana & Catholica societate defunctis, etiam tacitis nominibus, quorumque sub generali commemoratione suscepit Ecclesia: ut quibus ad ista desunt parentes, aut filii, aut quicunque cognati, vel amici, ab una eis exhibeantur pia Matre communi. Si autem desissent istæ supplicationes, quæ sunt recta fide ac pietate pro mortuis, puto quod nihil prodesset spiritibus eorum, quamlibet in locis sanctis exanimæ corpora ponerentur.*

to have Bodies buried in holy

Et Sermone 32. de Verbis Apostoli. Proinde pompæ funeris, agmina exequiarum, sumptuosa diligentia sepulturæ, monumentorum opulenta constructio, vivorum sunt qualiacunque solatia, non adjumenta mortuorum. Orationibus vero Sanctæ Ecclesiæ, & sacrificio salutari, & eleemosynis quæ pro eorum spiritibus erogantur, non est dubitandum Mortuos adjuvari; ut cum iis misericordius agatur a Domino, quam eorum peccata meruerunt. Hoc enim a Patribus traditum universa observat Ecclesia, ut pro eis qui in Corporis & Sanguinis Christi communionem defuncti sunt, cum ad ipsum Sacrificium loco suo commemorantur,



*memorantur, oretur; ac pro illis quoque id offerri commemoratur. Cum vero eorum commendandorum causa opera misericordiae celebrantur, quis eis dubitet suffragari, pro quibus orationes Deo non inaniter allegantur? Non omnino ambigendum est ista prodesse defunctis, sed talibus qui ita vixerint ante mortem, ut possint eis hæc utilia esse post mortem. Nam qui sine Fide quæ per dilectionem operatur, ejusque Sacramentis, de corporibus exierunt, frustra illis a suis hujusmodi pietatis impenduntur officia.*

who departed this Life void of Faith working by Charity, and without its Sacraments, they have all these good Offices perform'd to them by their Friends in vain.

*S. Isidorus Hispal. l. 1. de Offic. Eccles. c. 18. Sacrificium, inquit, pro defunctorum Fidelium requie offerri, vel pro eis orari, quia per totum hoc orbem custoditur, credimus quod ab ipsis Apostolis traditum sit. Hoc enim ubique Catholica tenet Ecclesia; quæ nisi crederet Fidelibus defunctis dimitti peccata, non pro eorum spiritibus vel eleemolynam faceret, vel Deo sacrificium offerret. Nam & cum Dominus dicit, (Mat. 12.) Qui peccaverit in Spiritum Sanctum, non remittetur ei neque in hoc sæculo, neque in futuro: demonstrat quibus-*

such as are departed in the Communion of the Body and Blood of Christ, and that it be remember'd, that the Sacrifice is likewise offer'd for them. And when any Charitable Works are perform'd, with an intention of assisting these Souls, who doubts but they are advantag'd by them, for whom even Prayers are not poured forth in vain? 'Tis beyond all question, that these things are beneficial to the Dead; but only to such who so behav'd themselves in their Lifetime, as to be capable of receiving help from these things after their Death. For, as to such

*St. Isidore Hispal. l. 1. de Offic. Eccles. c. 18. The Practice of offering Sacrifice for the Rest of the Faithful departed, and of Praying for them, we believe has been deliver'd by the Apostles, in as much as it is observ'd over the whole World. For this the Catholick Church professes every where; which would not give Alms, or offer Sacrifice to God for their Souls, did it not believe that the Faithful departed are capable of obtaining Remission for their Sins. And when our Lord says, (Mat. 12.) He that sins against the Holy Ghost, shall neither be forgiven*

in this World, nor the World to come, he demonstrates, that some will have their Sins forgiven there, and that they shall be expiated in a Purging Fire.

*In the Canon of the Ancient Liturgies of St. James, St. Basil, St. Chrysostom, is read a Commemoration and Recommendation of the Dead.*

*'Tis likewise the Practice of the Greek Church; whose third Prayer at Pentecost, recited by the Priest at the Altar, while all the Faithful are kneeling, is in these words. Hear us prostrate and miserable Sinners, beseeching thee to give Rest to the Souls of thy Servants decess'd before us, in a glorious Place, a Place of Pleasure and Refreshment, where Grief, Mourning, and Sighs can have no access. Place their Souls in the Tabernacles of the Just, of Peace and Concord. And in another Prayer, Grant, O Christ, Remission of Sins to those that are dead, and cleanse them by thy Grace. And in Typico, c. 30. 'Tis the Tradition of the Holy Fathers, that Commemoration be made of such as die, every day at Mass, from the day of their departure, till the fortieth day be past.*

*dam illic dimittenda peccata, & quodam Purgatorio igne purganda.*

In Antiquis omnibus Liturgiis, SS. Jacobi, Basilii, Chrysostomi, &c. commemoratio & commendatio defunctorum legitur in Canone ascripta.

Consentit & Ecclesia Græca in hoc dogmate, cujus Precatio tertia in Pentecoste, quam recitat Sacerdos ad altare, reliquis omnibus in genua procumbentibus, sic habet.—*Exaudi nos abjectos & miseros te deprecantes, & animabus servorum tuorum vita ante nos functorum, in loco lucido, in loco virenti, in loco refrigerii, unde dolor omnis, gemitus & suspiria exulant, requiem præbe. In tabernaculis justorum, pacis & quietis, spiritus eorum colloca. Et in precibus particularibus: Delictorum remissionem defunctis, Christe, præsta, & tua gratia expurgatos eos ostende. Et in Typico, c. 30. SS. Patrum traditio est, ut commemoratio fiat eorum, qui ex nobis ad Dominum migrarunt, ab ipso postremo die, singulis diebus, inter Missarum sollemnia, usque ad quadragesimum diem.*

## Of Berengarius.

**B**erengarius was born about the Year 1000. at *Tours* in *France*: He was bred up under *Fulbertus*, a Learned and Holy Bishop; who perceiving him to be of a Nature very prone to Novelties, us'd to admonish him, to hold fast the Doctrin of the Church and Holy Fathers, and by no means to decline into By-paths, or think of Innovations; as is attested by *Adelmanus*, School-fellow to *Berengarius*. After the Death of his Master, the Care of *St. Martin's* School in *Tours* was committed to his Charge, where, by a formal way, and great reservedness, he gain'd the esteem of many; till *Lanfrancus* coming thither, discover'd his Weakness, and was the occasion of his being deserted by his Scholars: upon which leaving the Studies of Logick and other Liberal Sciences, which till then had been his Employ, he set up for Master of Scriptures. He was afterwards promoted to be Chamberlain and Treasurer of the Church of *St. Martin*, and having enjoy'd this some time, he left *Tours*, and went to *Anjou*, where he was kindly receiv'd by *Eusebius* Bishop of that City, and soon after chosen by him to be Archdeacon and Treasurer of his Church. These Particulars are some of them related by *Guilmundus*, Contemporary with *Berengarius*, and others extant in the Manuscripts of these Churches. And here at *Anjou* it was, that he was first taken notice of to deviate from the common Doctrin of the Church, and that chiefly in Five Points. First, in declaming against Infant-Baptism. Secondly, in defaming lawful Marriages, as unnecessary and idle Ceremonies, and so opening a way to all Licentiousness. Thirdly, in denying that Christ enter'd in amongst his Disciples, the Doors being shut. Fourthly, in affirming, That the Eucharist was not truly and substantially the Body and Blood of Christ, but only a Figure and Shadow of them. Lastly, in maintaining, That the Bread and Wine upon the Altar are not substantially converted into the real Flesh and Blood of Christ, by the Mystery of holy Prayer, and the Words of our Redeemer. But after a short time finding himself unable (as *Guilmundus* relates, l. 1.) to make good the three former Points, by Authority of Scripture or Fathers, and

that they were not receiv'd even by the worst of Men; he wholly apply'd himself to the maintaining and spreading of the two last; which having the Testimony of the Senses to speak for them, he knew would be with less difficulty embrac'd; and especially having the Grounds already laid by *Joannes Scotus Erigena*, in a Book written by him in the Year 878. wherein he asserted the Eucharist to be only a Figure of Christ's Body, and from whence he learn'd this Doctrin. This therefore now being his whole Concern, he began most vigorously to publish his Opinion in these Points, in the Year of Christ 1047. endeavouring likewise, for the better Success, to draw Learned Men to his assistance, as *Lanfrancus*, and others, tho' in this he met with some disappointment.

But these Doctrins were no sooner divulg'd, and the Author of them known, but immediately appear'd Learned Men opposing themselves against them, condemning the Abettors of them as Disturbers of the Christian Faith, as Deferters of the Fathers, and Apostates from the Doctrin of the Apostles and of the Catholick Church, and branding their Opinions with the Mark of *Novelty* and *Heresie*. Among these were Four more eminent than the rest, who writing against *Berengarius* whilst yet alive, endeavour'd to make him sensible of his Errors, and to convince him, that the Points oppos'd by him were the receiv'd Doctrin of the Church, every where and always believ'd, preach'd by Christ and his Apostles, and taught by the Faithful of all Ages. These were

*Adelmannus School-fellow of Berengarius under Fulbertus, afterwards Bishop of Brixia; who hearing that Berengarius was busie in spreading the foresaid Opinions, writ a Letter to him, to recall him from that Design. I beseech God, says he, to withdraw thee from such ways, and to turn thy Feet to his Testimonies, and to prove those Men Liars who are industrious in charging thee with a most infamous Scandal; spread-*

*Adelmannus Berengarii sub Fulberto condiscipulus, postea Episcopus Brixienfis, qui Epistolam ad Berengarium scripsit, ut eum ab hæresi revocaret, quam ipsum publicasse audiverat. Avertat Dominus a te, inquit, semitas tales, & convertat pedes tuos in testimonia sua: Et mendaces ostendat qui famam tuam tam fæda labe maculare nituntur, spargentes usquequaque, ut non solum*

*solum Latinas, verum etiam Teutonicas aures, inter quas diu peregrinor, repleverint; quasi te ab unitate Sanctæ Matriſ Eccleſiæ divulſeris, & de Corpore & Sanguine Domini, quod quotidie & univerſa terra ſuper ſanctum Altare immolatur, aliter quam Fides Catholica teneat ſenſire videaris. Hoc eſt, ut illorum de te dictis utar, non eſſe verum Corpus Chriſti, neque verum Sanguinem, ſed Figuram quandam & ſimilitudinem. Ipſum obſecrat, per miſericordiam Dei, per ſuaviſſimam memoriam Fulberti, ut pacem Catholicam diligat, neque conturbet Rempub. Chriſtianæ Civitatis bene compoſitam a majoribus noſtris: pro qua tot millia Martyrum contra Idololatriam & regnum Diaboli fortiter certantes triumphaverunt. Impugnat hæreſim Berengarii, ducto argumento a verbis Chriſti & omnipotentia divina. Quis, inquit, hoc ita eſſe non credit, niſi qui aut Chriſto non credidit, aut ipſum hoc dixiſſe non credit? Sed de incredulis nihil ad nos: dixiſſe autem Chriſtum, teſtes non duo tantum aut tres, in quibus ſtat omnè verbum; ſed quatuor probatiſſimi certiſſimique exiſtunt, duo ſcilicet ex Circumciſione, & duo ex Præputio, ut uterque populus ſuis ac legitimis authoritatibus, ſive ad ſalutem, ſive ad iudicium uteretur.*

ing it about every where, not only in Italy, but here likewise in Germany, where now I have been a long time, as if thou hadſt ſeparated and cut thy ſelf off from the Unity of our Holy Mother the Church, and didſt diſſent from what the Catholick Faith teaches concerning the Body and Blood of our Lord, which is daily offer'd all the World over upon the holy Altar. That is, as the Report goes of thee, that it is not the true Body of Chriſt, and true Blood, but only a kind of Figure and Likeneſs. *Then he begs of him,* by the Mercy of God, and the ſweet Memory of Fulbertus, that he would have a Zeal for the Common Peace, that he would not diſturb Chriſtendom, ſo well order'd by our Anceſtors, and for which ſo many thouſand Martyrs had laid down their Lives, in defiance to Idolatry, and the Power of the Devil. *Then he impugns the Doctrin of Berengarius, taking his Argument from the Words of Chriſt, and the Omnipotence of God.* Who is it that do's not believe this to be ſo, unleſs thoſe who either do not believe Chriſt, or elſe do not believe that he ſaid it? But as to Unbelievers, we are not concern'd for them at preſent. And that Chriſt ſaid it, we have not only two or three Witneſſes, on which ſtands firm every word; but ſeuer

four unquestionable ones, and beyond all exception, two of the Jews, and two of the Gentiles; that so both People might be furnish'd with good Authority of their own, for their Salvation, or Condemnation. He therefore said it, whose Saying was the Making of Things. For he who in the beginning said, *Let the Light be made, and the Light was made* of nothing: could not he, by saying of the Bread, *This is my Body*, make it to be so?

And could not he, who by a silent Power chang'd Water into Wine, more efficaciously (if I may say so of God) convert Wine into his Blood, by the same Power express'd by him in Words?

Hugo Lingon. Episcopus, *who writ a Treatise against Berengarius, of the Body and Blood of Christ, exhorting him to captivate his Understanding in obedience to Faith, and to desist from reasoning against the Omnipotence of God. Wherefore, says he, O in all other respects most Reverend Man, arm your self with Faith, because the Just lives by Faith.*—Do not (I beseech you) set your Reason against God's Omnipotence any longer. For, as you cannot comprehend how the Word was made Flesh; so neither are you able to understand, how this Bread is chang'd into Flesh, and the Wine converted into Blood, unless your Faith in the Omnipotence of God teach you it.

*Dixit utique, dixit ille, qui dixit & facta sunt. Qui enim dixit in principio, Fiat lux, & facta est lux de nihilo; non potuit dicendo de pane, Hoc est Corpus meum, ita fieri efficere? Et qui tacita virtute aquam vertit in vinum, non efficacius poterat (si quid tamen efficacius de Deo dici debet) sonante vocaliter eadem virtute, vinum ipsum in sanguinem suum transferre?*

Hugo Lingonensis Episcopus, qui contra Berengarium Tractatum de Corpore & Sanguine Christi scripsit, in quo cum hortatus est, ut captivet Intellectum in obsequium Fidei, & contra Divinam Omnipotentiam argumentari desinet. Proinde, inquit, *O in cunctis aliis Reverendissime Vir, assume tibi fidem, quia justus ex fide vivit.*—*Ne ultra, quaeso, argumenteris de Omnipotentia Divina. Sicut enim non capis quomodo Verbum caro factum sit, sic non potes capere quomodo panis iste mutetur in carnem, & vinum in sanguinem transformetur; nisi te docuerit omnipotentiae fides. Alioquin luctaris cum*



*cum Deo, sed non brachiis Patriarchæ; sed neque cum auro-  
ra: imo etiam tota tua hæc lu-  
statio nocturna est, magisque vi-  
detur esse insidiose luctantis, quam  
amicabiliter amplexantis. De-  
fiste ergo fore erroris miles, de-  
fiste impugnare cæleste Myste-  
rium; perpende quod Dei volun-  
tas & verbum omni naturæ su-  
pereminet: & qui in facturis  
potens est, potentem transforma-  
re credas, sicut scriptum est,  
Mutabis ea, & mutabuntur.  
Dei enim velle pro facto est.*

ther; as it is written, *Thou shalt change them, and they shall be changed.* For in God the Will and the Deed is all one.

Without this, you contend with God; but not with the Arms of the Patriarch, nor in the Morn- ing; but all this your wrestling is in Darknes, and liker the treacherous Grasps of an Ene- my, than the Embraces of a Friend. Fight therefore no long- er for Error, and strike no more at this Heavenly Mystery: Con- sider that the Will and the Word of God is far above the reach of Nature. He that is powerful in Creating, you may believe is no less powerful in Changing one thing into ano-

• Durandus Troarnensis Ab-  
bas, qui librum de Corpore  
& Sanguine Domini contra  
Berengarium edidit, novem  
constantem partibus. Cæterum  
*si in cibo Dominico, inquit, p. 1.  
figura & non substantiva veri-  
tas est: Christus, qui dixit, Ac-  
cipite & comedite, Hoc est  
Corpus meum, verax non est:  
Si verax non est, nec Deus est:  
Si Deus non est, inanis est San-  
ctorum per orbem terræ prædi-  
catio, inanis etiam Ecclesiæ Ca-  
tholicæ Fides ac Professio, in  
qua pretiosus Martyrum nequic-  
quam fusus est cruor.—Ac  
per hoc nihil est quod agimus,  
nihil omnino quod speramus.—  
Sed valde incompetens videtur  
& absurdum, ut abjecti spurci-*

Durandus Troarn. Abbas,  
*who publish'd a Book of the Body  
and Blood of our Lord, against Be-  
rengarius, consisting of Nine Parts.*  
But if in our Lord's Supper, *says  
he, p. 1.* there is only a Figure,  
and not substantial Truth; then  
Christ, who said, *Take and eat,  
This is my Body,* did not speak  
truth: If he did not speak truth,  
he is not God: If he be not God,  
then all the preaching of the  
Saints throughout the World is  
idle, and the Faith and Professi-  
on of the Catholick Church is  
vain; in which the precious  
Blood of the Martyrs hath been  
thrown away to no purpose.—  
And so all that we do is to no  
end, and all our Hopes fall to  
the ground.—But it seems to  
me

me very unreasonable and absurd, that base and filthy Men, who have not at all advantag'd the Catholick Church, either by their Life or Learning, should so far prevail, as to rob so many Fathers and Doctors of the Fruit of their Labours; by whose eminent Vertue and good Instruction the Orthodox Faith is known to have flourish'd hitherto, and by their Preaching and Zeal to have grown up, and through God's Grace daily gain'd Strength.

Lantfrancus Beccenf. cœnob. Prior, afterwards Archbishop of Canterbury, *who writ against Berengarius, explicating such Places of St. Augustin as he had wrested to his purpose, and thus declaring the Faith of the Church concerning the Eucharist.* We believe those terrene Substances, which through the Ministry of the Priest are sanctified upon the Altar by the Divine Power, to be unspeakably, incomprehensibly, and miraculously chang'd into the Effence of the Body of Christ, the Species and some other Qualities of the things remaining, so to prevent all nauseating in the Receivers, which the Blood and Rawness would otherwise occasion; and that now believing, they may have more ample Rewards of their Faith.—This Faith the Church, which being spread over the

*que homines, qui neque bonestate vitæ, neque scientiæ utilitate, aliquod Ecclesiæ Catholice contulerunt emolumentum, eatenus convalescant, ut tot Patribus atque Doctioribus, quorum vita hætenus & institutione florere dignoscitur fides orthodoxa, prædicatione quoque & instantia succrevisse, atque in melius, Deo suffragante, diutim profecisse, fructum sui laboris auferant.*

Lantfrancus Beccensis cœnobii Prior, postmodum Cantuariensis Episcopus, qui librum contra Berengarium promulgavit, explicans S. Augustini testimonia, quibus ille abusus fuerat, & Ecclesiæ de Sacra Eucharistia Fidem sic exponens. *Credimus terrenas substantias, quæ in Mensa Dominica per Sacerdotale Ministerium Divinitus sanctificantur, ineffabiliter, incomprehensibiliter, mirabiliter, operante superna potentia, converti in essentiam Domini Corporis, reservatis ipsarum rerum speciebus, & quibusdam aliis qualitatibus, ne percipientes cruda & cruenta horrerent, & ut credentes Fidei præmia ampliora perciperent.—Hanc Fidem tenuit a præscis temporibus, & nunc tenet Ecclesia, quæ per totum diffusa orbem, Catholica nominatur.*

*nominatur. Tu credis (inquit Berengario) panem vinumque Dominicæ Mensæ inter consecrandum quantum ad substantiam immobilia permanere. Hoc est, Panem & Vinum extitisse ante consecrationem, & panem vinumque existere post consecrationem. Christi carnem ac sanguinem propterea vocari, quod in memoriam crucifixæ carnis, & de latere effusi sanguinis in Ecclesia celebrantur, ut Dominicam Passionem per hoc admoniti semper recolamus, &c.—— Si verum est quod de Corpore Christi tu credis & adstruis: falsum est quod ab Ecclesia ubique gentium de eadem re creditur & adstruitur. Omnes enim, qui Christianos se & esse & dici letantur, veram Christi carnem, verumque ejus sanguinem utraque sumpta de Virgine, in hoc Sacramento se percipere gloriantur.*

ny as own the Name of Christian, and are really such, do profess, that in this Sacrament they receive the true Flesh of Christ, and his true Blood, the same which he took of the Virgin.

Besides these, many other Learned Men soon after publish'd very elaborate Treatises against *Berengarius* and his Doctrin; such were *Guismundus Aversanus Archiepiscopus*, *Rupertus Tuitiensis Abbas*, *Algerus*, &c. And he was not only condemn'd as an Innovator, and Preacher of Heretical Opinions, by the Labors of these single Men, but also by the joynt Votes of several Councils. And first, in the Year 1050. his Doctrin concerning the Eucharist was condemn'd in a Council at *Rome*, under *Leo* the Ninth; and he excommunicated, for maintaining and

World, is call'd Catholick, now holds, and has held from the Primitive Times. But you (*says he to Berengarius*) believe that the Bread and Wine of our Lord's Table remain unchang'd, as to their Substance, upon the Consecration; that is, that 'twas Bread and Wine before Consecration, and that it is still Bread and Wine after Consecration: And that they are therefore call'd the Flesh and Blood of Christ, because they are celebrated in the Church, in Commemoration of the Body that was Crucified, and of the Blood that flow'd from his Side, that so we may be always mindful of our Saviour's Passion, &c.—— If this be true which you believe and maintain concerning the Body of Christ, then that is false which is believ'd and taught of it by the Church over the whole World. For as ma-

teaching, *That the Bread and Wine* (as 'tis related by *Anonymus Chiffletianus*, who writ Anno 1088.) *in the Sacrifice of our Lord, are not truly and essentially, but only figuratively converted into the Body and Blood of Christ; manifestly contrary to the Truth of the Gospel, and the Catholick Faith, as taught by the Holy Fathers.* He was condemn'd again the same Year in another Council, held on the Calends of September, at *Vercellæ*, under the same Pope, in which *Lantfrancus* was present, and whither *Berengarius* himself was call'd; but refusing to appear, he sent thither Two Clergy-men, who styl'd themselves his Legates; but being unable to make good his Cause, *Anathema* was pronounc'd against him, as likewise against the Book of *Joannes Scotus*, whom he respected as his Patron. A third time he was condemn'd in a Council the same Year held at *Paris* in November. A fourth time he was condemn'd at *Tours*, in a Council under *Victor II.* Anno 1055. and in the same Council, pretending to be convinc'd of his Error, he renounc'd it upon Oath, and making a publick Profession of the Catholick Faith, concerning the Reality of the Body and Blood of Christ in the Sacrament, he oblig'd himself in the same Oath, always to live in the Profession of that Faith. But soon after returning to his *Vomit*, he was summon'd to another Council of One hundred and thirty Bishops, assembled at *Rome*, Anno 1059. under *Nicholas II.* where he was again convinc'd of his Innovations by *Lantfrancus*, and he abjuring them as Heretical, in testimony of his more serious Repentance, cast the Book of *Joannes Scotus*, out of which he had suck'd his Heresie, into the Fire, and subscrib'd to the Profession of the Catholick Faith. But he prov'd no more constant than before; for returning into *France*, he was as industrious as ever in propagating his former Opinions, and throwing Scandals upon all the Councils, and Church of *Rome*: Upon which, *Alexander* the Second, Successor of *Nicholas*, writ to him, admonishing him to desist; but without any effect: And therefore in a Council held at *Roan*, under *Maurilius* Archbishop of that See, Anno 1063. at which *William* Duke of *Normandy* was present, the Heresie of *Berengarius* was condemn'd, and all his Abettors and Followers anathematiz'd, and a Profession of Faith, by common Consent, publish'd in these Words.

**C**Orde credimus, & ore profitemur, panem in Mensa Dominica propositum, panem tantum esse ante consecrationem; sed in ipsa consecratione ineffabili potentia divinitatis converti naturam & substantiam panis in naturam & substantiam carnis; carnis vero non ullius alterius, sed illius quæ concepta est de Spiritu Sancto, nata ex Maria Virgine, quæ etiam propter nos & propter nostram salutem flagellis cæsa jacuit in sepulchro, tertia die resurrexit a mortuis, & sedet ad dextram Dei Patris. Similiter vinum, quod aquæ mixtum in Calice sanctificandum proponitur, vere & essentialiter converti in eum Sanguinem, qui per lanceam militis de vulnere Dominici lateris in redemptione mundi feliciter emanavit. Anathematizamus contra hanc Sanctam & Apostolicam Fidem superbe atque hæretice sentientes, & impia temeritate garrientes.

with impious temerity talk against it.

**W**E believe in our Hearts, and with our Tongues confess, That the Bread upon the Altar is nothing but Bread before Consecration; but that in the Consecration, the Nature and Substance of the Bread is by the Infinite Power of God converted into the Nature and Substance of Flesh; and of no other Flesh, but that which was conceiv'd by the Holy Ghost, born of the Virgin Mary, and which for us, and our Salvation, was scourg'd, laid in a Sepulcher, rose again the third day from the Dead, and sits at the Right Hand of the Father. Likewise that the Wine which is put in the Chalice, mix'd with Water, to be sanctified, is truly and essentially converted into that Blood, which happily for our Redemption flow'd from our Lord's Side, open'd by the Lance of the Soldier. We anathematize all those who proudly and heretically believe contrary to this Holy and Apostolick Faith, and

Lib. 1. Berengian.  
Eccl. Roth.  
Edit. sect.  
11.

Anathema was again pronounc'd against *Berengarius*, in a Council held at Poitiers, Anno 1075. under Gregory VII. and the Catholick Faith concerning the Blessed Sacrament confirm'd. Two other Synods were held at Rome under Gregory VII. wherein the Heretic of *Berengarius* was condemn'd, and he again abjur'd it in a Publick Recantation; the former in the Year 1078. the latter in the Year 1079. wherein he made profession of the Catholick Faith in this manner.

Bertold.  
Constant.  
Lanfranc.

**I** Berengarius believe in my Heart, and confess with my Tongue, That the Bread and Wine upon the Altar are, by the Myltery of Holy Prayer, and the Words of our Redeemer, substantially converted into the true and proper and Life-giving Flesh and Blood of our Lord Jesus Christ; and that after Consecration there is the true Body of Christ, which was born of the Virgin, and which being offer'd for the Salvation of the World, hung upon a Cross, and which sits at the Right Hand of the Father: And that there is the true Blood of Christ, which flow'd from his Side, not only by way of Sign and Sacramental Vertue; but in propriety of Nature, and truth of Substance, as is declar'd in this Brief, and as I have read, and you understand. This is my Faith; neither for the future will I teach contrary to this Belief. So help me God, and these his Holy Gospels.

**E** Go Berengarius corde credo, & ore confiteor, panem & vinum, quæ ponuntur in Altari, per Mysterium Sacræ Orationis, & Verba nostri Redemptoris, substantialiter converti in veram & propriam ac vivificatricem carnem & sanguinem Domini nostri Jesu Christi, & post consecrationem esse verum Christi Corpus, quod natum est de Virgine, & quod pro salute mundi oblatum in Cruce pependit, & quod sedet ad dextram Patris; & verum Sanguinem Christi, qui de latere ejus effusus est, non tantum per signum & virtutem Sacramenti, sed in proprietate naturæ & veritate substantiæ, sicut in hoc Brevis continetur, & ego legi, & vos intelligitis. Sic credo, nec contra hanc fidem, ulterius docebo. Sic me Deus adjuvet, & hæc Sancta Dei Evangelia.

Notwithstanding this Protestation and Oath, he was nothing chang'd; and for satisfaction of his Followers, he publish'd a Declaration, wherein he protested, that all the Professions of Faith he had made in the Councils, were not at all voluntary, or of his own choice, but subscrib'd and seemingly own'd by him for fear of Punishment; but that in reality his Judgment was the same as before. And so he continu'd till within a few Years of his Death; when, according to the best Historians and Manuscripts of those Times, with all sincerity renouncing his former Opinions, and spending the residue of his Days in Piety



Piety and Devotion, he died in the Unity of the Catholick Church, full of Sorrow and Repentance, in the Year 1088. on the Sixth of January. This may be seen in *Membris Laureacenſ. in Chronic. Clarii Floriacenſ. Monach. S. Petri Virvi*, writ in the Twelfth Century; in *William of Malmesbury l. 3. de Geſtis Reg. Angl. in Baldrico Burgulienci Abbate*, and in the Manuscript *B. Martini Turonenſis*.

And now after theſe Opinions of *Berengarius* had been thus oppos'd by ſeveral Learned Men, as contrary to the receiv'd Doctrin and Faith of the Catholick Church; after they had been in a few Years declar'd Heretical in Eight ſeveral Councils; and in Profeſſions of Faith publiſh'd by Authority, the Conversion or Change of the Nature and Subſtance of the Bread and Wine in the Bleſſed Sacrament, into the true and real Subſtance of the Body and Blood of Chriſt, was acknowledg'd and declar'd the Belief of the Catholick Church ſpread over all Nations, as receiv'd from the Ancient Fathers: After, I ſay, all this; at length, in the Fourth *Lateran* Council, under Pope *Innocent III.* in the Year 1215. the ſame thing was again declar'd, and expreſs'd by this Word *Transubſtantiation*: by which no Addition was made to Faith; this being no other thing than what had been own'd an hundred and thirty Years before, in the foremention'd Synods, and confeſs'd to be the Common Belief of the whole Church, as it had been deliver'd from the Primitive Fathers.

*Berengarius denied the Real Preſence of Chriſt's Body and Blood in the Sacrament, as likewise the Conversion of the Subſtance of the Bread and Wine into the true Subſtance of Chriſt's Body and Blood.*

*Contrary to the Doctrin and Belief of the Primitive Fathers of the firſt Six hundred Tears.*

*S. Ignatius Martyr in Epiſtola ad Smirnaeos, ubi contra ſui temporis Hæreticos ſcribens, ait; Euchariftias & oblationes non admittunt, eo*

*St. Ignatius Martyr in his Epiſtle to the People of Smyrna, ſpeaking of the Hereticks of his time, writes thus: They do not allow of Eucharifts and Oblations,*  
(ſays

(says he) because they do not believe the Eucharist to be the Flesh of our Saviour Jesus Christ, which suffer'd for our Sins, and which the Father in his Mercy rais'd again from the Dead. *And in his Epistle to the Romans, he says,* I desire the Bread of God, which is the Flesh of Jesus Christ; and the Drink, which is his Blood.

St. Justin Martyr, in his *Apolo-  
gy to the Emperor Antoninus Pi-  
us, made for the Christians.* Now this Food, says he, amongst us is call'd the Eucharist, which it is lawful for none to partake of, but those who believe our Doctrin to be true, who have been wash'd in the Laver of Regeneration for the Remission of Sin, and who regulate their Lives according to the Prescription of Christ. For we do not receive this as common Bread, or common Drink; but as by the Word of God, Jesus Christ our Redeemer being made Man, had both Flesh and Blood for the sake of our Salvation; just so are we taught, that that Food over which Thanks are given by Prayers in his own Words, and whereby our Blood and Flesh are by a Change nourish'd, is the Flesh and Blood of the Incarnate Jesus: For the Apostles in the Commentaries written by them, call'd the Gospels, have recorded, that Jesus so commanded them.

*quod non* consecrantur Eucharistiam carnem esse Servatoris nostri Jesu Christi, quæ pro peccatis nostris passa est, quamque Pater benignitate sua excitavit. Et in Epist. ad Romanos, ait, Panem Dei volo, quod est caro Jesu Christi, & potum volo sanguinem ipsius.

S. Justinus Martyr, in *Apolo-  
gia Antonino Pio Imperatori pro Christianis ob-  
lata.* Porro alimentum hoc apud nos appellatur Eucharistia, quod nulli alii participare licitum est, quam veram esse doctrinam nostram credenti, & lavacro propter remissionem peccatorum & regenerationem abluto, & ita ut Christus tradidit viventi. Non enim ut communem panem, neque communem potum ista sumimus, sed quemadmodum per Verbum Dei caro factus Jesus Christus Servator noster & carnem & sanguinem salutis nostræ causa habuit: ad eundem modum etiam eam, in qua per preces verbi ejus ab ipso profecti, gratiæ sunt actæ, alimoniam, unde sanguis & caro nostra per mutationem aluntur, incarnati illius Jesu carnem & sanguinem esse edoctissimus. Nam Apostoli in Commentariis a se scriptis, quæ Evangelia vocantur, ita tradiderunt præcepisse sibi Je-  
sum.

S. Irenæus

S. Irenæus l. 5. c. 11. Ubi ab Eucharistiæ participatione sumpto argumento, Resurrectionem Corporum contra Hæreticos sui temporis probat. *Quemadmodum & B. Apostolus ait, Quoniam membra sumus corporis ejus de carne ejus, & de ossibus ejus: Non de spiritali aliquo & invisibili homine dicens hæc, sed de ea dispositione quæ est secundum verum hominem, quæ ex carnibus & nervis, & ossibus consistit, quæ de calice qui est sanguis ejus, nutritur; & de pane qui est Corpus ejus, augetur. Et quemadmodum lignum vitis depositum in terra suo fructificat tempore, & granum tritici decidens in terram, & dissolutum, multiplex surgit per Spiritum Dei qui continet omnia; quæ deinde per sapientiam in usum hominibus veniunt, & percipientia verbum Dei, Eucharistia fiunt, quod est Corpus & Sanguis Christi: sic & nostra corpora ex ea nutrita & reposita in terram, & resoluta in ea, resurgent in suo tempore, Verbo Dei resurrectionem eis donante, in gloriam Dei Patris.*

them this Resurrection, to the

S. Cyprianus Epist. 54. ad Cornelium. *Et cum ad hoc fiat Eucharistia, ut possit accipientibus esse tutela, quos tutos esse*

*St. Irenæus l. 5. c. 11. Where taking an Argument from the participation of the Eucharist, he proves the Resurrection of the Flesh, against the Hereticks of his time. As the Blessed Apostle says, Because we are Members of his Body, of his Flesh, and of his Bones; not speaking this of any Spiritual or Invisible Man, but of that Disposition which belongs to a Real Man, which consists of Flesh, and Nerves, and Bones, and is nourish'd by the Chalice, which is his (Christ's) Blood, and receives encrease by that Bread, which is his Body. And as the Vine, being planted in the Earth, brings forth Fruit in season; and a Grain of Wheat falling upon the Ground and rotting, rises up with Encrease by the Vertue of God, who comprehends all things; which afterwards, by a prudent management, become serviceable to Men, and receiving the Word of God, are made the Eucharist, which is the Body and Blood of Christ: so also our Bodies being nourish'd by it, and laid in the Earth, and there dissolv'd, will arise at their time; the Word of God working in the Glory of God the Father.*

*St. Cyprian Ep. 54. ad Cornelium. And since the Eucharist is consecrated, says he, that it may be a Defence to those that receive*

ceive it; let us arm those with the Strength of our Lord's Table, whom we desire should be secure amidst the Attempts of the Adversary. For what Instruction or Encouragement do we give any to hazard their Blood in Confession of Christ, if, when they go forth to Battel, we deny them the Blood of Christ?

*And in Tractatu de Lapsis. Where speaking of those that were slain, he says thus.* Returning from the Altars of the Devil, with their foul and yet stinking Hands, they approach to the Holy of our Lord. Belching forth the Meat sacrific'd to Idols, the Wickedness being yet warm in their Mouths, and the profane Contagion not yet out of their Breath, they invade the Body of our Lord. The Apostle attests and says, You cannot drink the Cup of our Lord, and the Cup of Devils. — Whoever eats the Bread, or drinks the Cup of our Lord unworthily, shall be guilty of the Body and Blood of our Lord. And yet notwithstanding all this, they offer violence to his Body and Blood, and now more grievously offend their Lord, with their Hands and Mouth, than when they denied him.

*The Council of Nice, Can. 18. calls the Eucharist the Body of Christ. And Gelasius Cyzicenus*

*contra Adversarium volumus, munimento Dominicæ saturitatis armemus. Nam quo modo docemus, aut provocamus eos in confessione nominis sanguinem suum fundere, si eis militaturis Christi sanguinem denegamus?*

*Et in Tractatu de Lapsis, hæc habet. A Diaboli aris revertentes, ad sanctum Domini sordidis & infectis nidore manibus accedunt. Mortiferos Idolorum cibos adhuc pene ructantes, exhalantibus etiam nunc scelus suum faucibus, & contagia funesta redolentibus, Domini Corpus invadunt. Apostolus testatur & dicit, Non potestis Calicem Domini bibere, & Calicem Dæmoniorum. — Quicumque ederit panem, aut biberit Calicem Domini indigne, reus erit Corporis & Sanguinis Domini. Spretis his omnibus atque contemptis, vis infertur Corpori ejus & Sanguini: & plus modo in Dominum manibus atque ore delinquant, quam cum Dominum negaverunt.*

*Nicæna Synodus, Canone 18. Eucharistiam Corpus Christi appellat. Et Gelasius Cyzicenus*

Cyzicenus in libro de Actis Concilii Nicæni, cujus Photius in Bibliotheca, Cod. 88. hanc diatyposin de Eucharistia ex eodem Concilio refert, lib. II. c. 30. *In divina Mensa ne humiliter intenti sumus ad propositam panem & poculum, sed attolentes mentem fide, intelligamus situm in sacra illa Mensa Agnum illum Dei tollentem peccata mundi, incruentè a Sacerdotibus immolatam; & pretiosum ipsius Corpus & Sanguinem vere sumentes, credere hæc esse nostræ Resurrectionis symbola.*

Eusebius Cæsariensis l. 1. Demonstrationis Evangelicæ, c. 10. *Merito sane, illius memoriam, Corporisque ejusdem & Sanguinis recordationem quotidie celebrantes; & ad longe præstantius Sacrificium & munus assumpti, quam illud antiquius fuerit, non amplius fas esse putamus in prima illa & infirma elementa recidere, signa quædam & imagines, non ipsam veritatem continentia.*

Alter Eusebii locus refertur a S. Joanne Damasceno, lib. 3. Parallelorum cap. 45. *Multi peccatores, inquit, cum Presbyteri sint, sacra operantur, nec Deus eos avertatur: verum per Spiritum Sanctum proposita*

*in his Book of the Acts of the Council of Nice, of which Photius in Bibliotheca, Cod. 88. makes mention, gives this Description of the Eucharist out of the said Council, l. II. c. 30. At the Table of our Lord, let us not meanly fix our Thoughts upon the Bread and Wine there before us; but raising our Mind by Faith, let us understand, that upon that Holy Table is plac'd the Lamb of God, which takes away the Sins of the World, unbloodily there sacrific'd by the Priests: and truly receiving his precious Body and Blood, let us believe these to be the Symbols of our Resurrection.*

*Eusebius Cæsariensis l. 1. Demonstrat. Evang. c. 10. Making a daily Commemoration of him, (Christ) and daily celebrating the Memory of his Body and Blood, and being now prefer'd to a more excellent Sacrifice and Office than that was of the Old Law, we think it unreasonable any more to fall back to those first and weak Elements, which contain'd certain Signs and Figures, but not the Truth it self.*

*Another Place of Eusebius is quoted by St. John Damascen, l. 3. Parallel. c. 45. Many Sinners, says he, being Priests, do offer Sacrifice; neither do's God deny his Assistance, but by the Holy Ghost consecrates the pro-*  

Q

pos'd

pos'd Gifts. And the Bread indeed is made the precious Body of our Lord, and the Cup his precious Blood.

*St. Hillary lib. 8. de Trinitate.* We must not speak, *says he*, of the things of God like Men, or in the sense of the World. Let us read what is written, and understand what we read, and then we shall believe with a perfect Faith. For what we say of the natural existence of Christ within us; if we do not learn from him, we say foolishly and profanely. For he himself says, *My Flesh is Meat indeed, and my Blood is Drink indeed.* There's no place left for doubting of the Reality of his Flesh and Blood; for now, both by the Profession of Christ himself, and by our Faith, 'tis truly Flesh, and truly Blood. Is not this truth? It may indeed not be true to them who deny Christ to be true God.

*St. Cyril of Jerusalem Cateches.* Since therefore Christ himself do's thus affirm and say of the Bread, *This is my Body*; who from henceforward dare be so bold as to doubt of it? And since the same do's assure us and say, *This is my Blood*; who, I say, can doubt of it, and say it is not his Blood? In *Cana of Galilee* he once with his sole Will turn'd Water into Wine, which much resembles Blood; and do's he

*dona consecrat. Ac panis quidem pretiosum Domini Corpus efficitur, poculum autem pretiosus Domini Sanguis.*

*S. Hilarius l. 8. de Trinitate.* Non est humano aut sæculi sensu in Dei rebus loquendum. Quæ scripta sunt, legamus, & quæ legerimus, intelligamus, & tunc perfectæ Fidei officio fungemur. De naturali enim in nobis Christi veritate quæ dicimus, nisi ab eo discimus, stulte atque impie dicimus. Ipse enim ait, Caro mea vere est esca, & sanguis meus vere est potus. De veritate carnis & sanguinis non relictus est ambigendi locus: nunc enim & ipsius Domini professione, & Fide nostra vere Caro est & vere Sanguis est. Anne hoc veritas non est? Contingat plane his verum non esse, qui Christum Jesum verum esse Deum denegat.

*S. Cyrillus Hierosolymitanus in Cateches.* Cum igitur, inquit, Christus ipse sic affirmet, atque dicat de pane, Hoc est Corpus meum; Quis deinceps audeat dubitare? Ac eodem quoque confirmante & dicente, Hic est Sanguis meus; quis, inquam, dubitet, & dicat non esse illius Sanguinem? A quam aliquando mutavit in vinum, quod est sanguine propinquum, in *Cana Galilææ*, sola voluntate,



voluntate, & non erit dignus cui credamus, quod vinum in sanguinem suum transmutasset? Si enim ad nuptias corporeas invitatus, stupendum miraculum operatus est, an non multo magis Corpus & Sanguinem suum Filiis Sponsi dedisse illum confitebimur? Quare omni cum certitudine Corpus & Sanguinem Christi sumamus. Nam sub typo panis datur tibi Corpus, & sub typo vini datur Sanguis, ut sumpto Corpore & Sanguine Christi, efficiaris ei comparticeps Corporis & Sanguinis. Sic Christophori erimus, hoc est, Christum ferentes, cum ejus Corpus & Sanguinem in membra nostra receperimus.—Ne ergo consideres tanquam panem nudum & vinum nudum, Corpus enim est & Sanguis Christi, secundum ipsius Domini verba. Quamvis enim sensus hoc tibi suggerit, tamen fides te confirmet, ne ex gustu rem judices; quin potius habeas ex fide pro certissimo, ita ut nulla subeat dubitatio, esse tibi donata Corpus & Sanguinem.—Accedens autem ad Communionem non expansis manuum volis accede, neque disjunctis digitis, sed sinistram veluti sedem quandam subjicias dextræ, quæ tantum Regem susceptrura est: & concava manu suscipe Corpus Christi dicens, Amen.

not deserve to be credited, that he chang'd Wine into his Blood? For if when invited to a corporal Marriage, he wrought so stupendous a Miracle; have we not much more reason to confess, that he gave his Body and Blood to the Children of the Bridegroom? Wherefore full of certainty let us receive the Body and Blood of Christ. For under the Form of Bread is given to thee the Body, and the Blood under the Form of Wine, that having receiv'd the Body and Blood of Christ, thou maist be made Partaker with him of his Body and Blood. Thus we shall become *Christophers*, that is, Bearers of Christ, receiving his Body and Blood into us.—Do not therefore look on it as meer Bread only, or bare Wine; for, as God himself has said, 'tis the Body and Blood of Christ. Notwithstanding therefore the Information of Sense, let Faith confirm thee, and do not judge of the thing by the taste, but rather take it for most certain by Faith, without the least doubt, that his Body and Blood are given thee.—When you come to the Communion, do not come holding both the Palms of your Hands open, nor your Fingers spread; but let your Left Hand be as it were a Rest under the Right, into which you are to receive so great a King:

and in the Hollow of your Hand take the Body of Christ, saying, *Amen.*

*St. Basil l. 2. de Bapt. q. 2. expounding the greatness of their Crime, who offer up the Sacrifice of the New Law with a polluted Conscience, says thus.* Our Lord saying, *A greater thing than the Temple is here*, informs us, that he is so much the more wicked and profane, who being under the guilt of Sin, presumes to offer the Body of our Lord in Sacrifice, who gave himself for us an Oblation of sweet Odour to God; by how much the Body of the only Son of God is more excellent than Sheep and Bulls: more excellent, I say, not by way of comparison; for the Excellence is incomparable. *And Quest. 3.* By how much a greater thing is here than the Temple, as our Lord says, so much more grievous and terrible is it for any one in a state of Sin, rashly to touch the Body of Christ, than it is to partake of Rams or Bulls.

*St. Gregory Nyssen in Orat. Catec. c. 37.* When we have eaten any thing that is prejudicial to our Constitution, it is necessary that we take something that is capable of repairing what was damaged; that so when this healing Antidote is within us, it may work out of the Body, by a contrary affection, all the force

*S. Basilii l. 2. de Baptismo, q. 2.* Eorum crimen exponens, qui polluta conscientia Sacrificium Novæ Legis offerunt, hæc habet. *Dominus vero dicens, Templo majus est hic, instruit nos tanto scelestiorem & impium magis esse eum, qui cum impurus sit, Corpus Domini audet in Sacrificium offerre, qui seipsum pro nobis oblationem & hostium Deo in odorem bonæ fragrantiae dedit; quanto Corpus Unigeniti Filii Dei excellentius est arietibus ac tauris. Excellentius dico, non collationis ratione. Est enim excellentia incomparabilis. Et Quæst. 3.* Quanto majus, aliquid hic est templo, secundum Domini vocem, tanto gravius & terribilius, est in animæ impuritate constitutum Corpus Christi temere contingere, quam sit accedere ad arietes vel taurorum.

*S. Gregorius Nyssenus in Orat. Catechetica, c. 37.* Cum id gustaverimus quod nostram dissolvit naturam, rursus necesse est ut opus habeamus eo, quod cogit ac conciliat id quod erat dissolutum: ut cum intra nos fuerit hoc salutare medicamentum, veneni damnum, quod corpori fuerat inditum, per contrariam

trariam repellat affectionem. Quid hoc ergo est? Nihil aliud quam illud corpus, quod & morte ostensum fuit esse potentius, & nostra vita fuit initium. Quomodo enim parum fermenti, ut dicit Apostolus, sibi assimilatur totam consersionem, ita Corpus morte a Deo affectum, cum fuerit intra nostrum, totum ad se transmutatur & transfert. Quomodo enim cum quod est exitiale, mixtum fuerit cum sano, quicquid est contemperatum, simul redditur inutile: ita etiam corpus immortale, cum fuerit intra eum qui sumpsit, universum quoque transmutatur in suam naturam. Sed fieri non potest ut sit aliquid aliter intra corpus, nisi per esum & potionem misceatur visceribus. Necessesse est ergo eo modo quo natura potest, spiritus vivificam suscipere virtutem. Cum autem solum illud corpus quod Deum suscepit, hanc gratiam acceperit, ostensum sit autem aliter fieri non posse, ut nostrum corpus sit in immortalitate, nisi per communionem cum immortalis factum sit particeps incorruptionis: oportet considerare quomodo fieri potuerit, ut unum illud corpus quod tam multis fidelium millibus in universo terrarum orbe semper distribuitur, totum per partem sit in unoquoque, & ipsum in se totum maneat.

of the Poyson. And what is this Antidote? 'Tis nothing but that Body which overcame Death, and was the Origin of our Life. For, as the Apostle tells us, as a little Leaven makes the whole Lump like it self; so that Body, which by God's appointment suffer'd Death, being receiv'd within our Body, changes and reduces the whole to its own likeness. And as when Poyson is mix'd up with any thing that is Medicinable, the whole Compound is render'd useles; so likewise that Immortal Body being within him that receives it, converts the whole into its own Nature. But there being no other way of receiving any thing within our Body, unless it be first convey'd into our Stomach by eating or drinking; it is necessary, that by this ordinary way of Nature the Life-giving Vertue of the Spirit be communicated to us. But now since that Body alone which was united to the Divinity has receiv'd this Grace, and it is manifest, that our Body can no otherwise become Immortal, but made Partaker of Incorruption by Communion with that Body which is Immortal; we are to consider how 'tis possible, that one Body, which is always distributed to so many thousand Christians over the whole World, should be the whole

whole by a part in every one, and still remain whole in it self.

*And a little after.* I do therefore now rightly believe, that the Bread sanctified by the Word of God, is chang'd into the Body of God the Word.—And here likewise the Bread, as the Apostle says, is sanctified by the Word of God and Prayer; not so that by being eaten it becomes the Body of the Word, but because it is suddenly chang'd by the Word into his Body, by this word, *This is my Body*.—And this is effected by the vertue of Benediction, by which the nature of those things which appear, is transelemented into it.

*Et in Orat. in diem luminum.* And the Bread, in the beginning is only common Bread; but when it is sanctified by the Mystery, it is call'd and made the Body of Christ.

*St. Ephrem Syrus in l. de nat. Dei curiose non scrutanda.* Whosoever has this Eye of Faith, do's manifestly and clearly behold our Lord, and with an unquestionable and entire Faith eats the most Holy Body of the Immaculate Lamb, of the only Son of God, and drinks his Blood, without any curious prying into the Divine and Holy Faith.—Be therefore full of Faith and Innocence. Partake of the

*Et infra. Recte ergo nunc quoque Dei verbo sanctificatum panem in Dei verbi Corpus credo transmutari.—Et hic similiter panis, sicut dicit Apostolus, sanctificatur per verbum Dei & orationem, non eo quidem quod cibo mediante in Verbi Corpus evadat; sed quod statim a Verbo in Corpus transmutetur per verbum, Hoc est Corpus meum.—Hæc autem dat virtute benedictionis, in illud transelementatâ eorum quæ apparent naturâ.*

*Et in Orat. in diem lum.* Panis item, Panis est initio communis: sed ubi cum Mysterium sacrificaverit, Corpus Christi fit & dicitur.

*S. Ephrem Syrus in l. de Natura Dei curiose non scrutanda. Hunc fidei oculum qui possidet, manifeste ac lucide intuetur Dominum; & certa plenissimaque fide Sanctissimum Agni immaculati unigeniti Filii cælestis Patris Corpus manducat ac Sanguinem bibit, baudquaquam curiose divinam sanctamque Fidem perscrutans.—Esto itaque fidelis atque innocens. Participa immaculatum Corpus* &

& Sanguinem Domini tui fide plenissima, certus quod Agnum ipsum integre comedas.

Optatus Milevitanus l. 6. contra Parmen. *Quid est Altare, nisi sedes Corporis & Sanguinis Christi? — Quid vos offenderat Christus, cujus illic per certa momenta Corpus & Sanguis habitabat? — Hoc tamen immane facinus geminatum est, dum fregistis etiam calices Christi Sanguinis portitores.*

S. Gaudentius Brixienfis Episcopus, Tract. 2. in Exod. *Ipse igitur naturarum Creator & Dominus, qui producit de terra panem, de pane rursus, quia potest & promisit, efficit proprium corpus; & qui de aqua vinum fecit, & de vino sanguinem suum.*

Et infra. Cum panem consecratum & vinum Discipulis suis porrigeret, sic ait, Hoc est Corpus meum; Hic est Sanguis meus. Credamus, quæso, cui credidimus: nescit mendacium veritas. — Pariter universa quemadmodum tradita sunt credamus, non infringentes os ipsius illud solidissimum, Hoc est Corpus meum, Hic est Sanguis meus. Si quid autem superfuerit etiam nunc in uniuscujusque sensu. quod expositione

Immaculate Body and Blood of thy God with a compleat Faith, being certain that thou eatest the Lamb himself entirely.

Optatus Milevitanus l. 6. contra Parmen. What is the Altar, but the Seat of the Body and Blood of Christ? — What injury had Christ done you, whose Body and Blood dwelt there for certain Moments? — And yet this your abominable Wickedness was doubly improv'd, when you broke in pieces even the Chalices, the Bearers of Christ's Blood.

St. Gaudentius Brixienfis Episcopus, Tractatu 2. in Exod. The Maker and Lord of Natures, who produceth Bread out of the Earth, doth again of Bread make his own Body, because he is able, and has promis'd to do it: and he who made Wine of Water, makes of Wine his own Blood.

And again. When Christ gave the Consecrated Bread and Wine to his Disciples, he said thus, *This is my Body; This is my Blood.* Let us believe him, I beseech you, whom we have believ'd: Truth cannot lie. — In like manner let us believe all things as they are deliver'd to us, without breaking that his most solid Bone, *This is my Body. This is my Blood.* And if there be any thing yet remaining in any ones sense, which is not

not consistent with these words, let it be consum'd by the ardour of Faith; because our God is a consuming Fire.

*St. Chrysostom Hom. 51. in Matthæum.* We have not only Christ's Garment now before us, but we have his Body, not only to touch it, but to eat and be fill'd with it. Let every one therefore that is weak come to him with confidence: for if they who touch'd the Hem of his Garment receiv'd so great benefit, how much greater shall they who receive his whole self? But to approach with Faith, is not only to take that which is propos'd, but to receive it with a clean Heart, and so to be dispos'd, as coming to Christ himself. For what if you do not hear his Voice? Yet you see him lying before you: Nay, you hear his Voice too, speaking by the Mouth of the Evangelists. Believe therefore that this is that Supper to which Christ sat down: For there is no difference between this and that. For 'tis not Man that is operative in this, and Christ in that: for 'tis Christ that effects This too. When therefore you behold the Priest administering it to you, do not think it to be the Priest that do's it, but that it is the Hand of Christ stretch'd forth.

*ista non ceperit, ardore Fidei concremetur: quoniam Deus noster ignis consumens est.*

*S. Chrysostomus Hom. 51. in Matthæum.* Non tantum ejus vestimentum, sed & corpus ipsius nunc nobis propositum est, nec ut tangamus modo, verum quoque ut edamus & repleamus. Accedamus igitur cum fide unusquisque infirmitate affectus: Nam si qui fimbriam vestimenti ejus tetigerunt, tantam traxerunt virtutem, quanto magis totum illum possidentes? Cum Fidem accedere, non solum est id quod propositum est accipere, sed & mundo corde tangere, & sic affici ut ad Christum ipsum accedentes. Quid enim si vocem ipsius non audis? Sed ipsum vides jacentem: imo vocem ejus audis per Evangelistas loquentis. Credite igitur quia nunc et cæna illa est in qua Christus recumbebat. Nihil quippe interest inter hanc & illam. Neque enim hanc operatur homo, illam vero ipse: siquidem hanc & ipse efficit. Quando igitur Sacerdotem tibi dantem videris; noli arbitrari Sacerdotem id facere, sed esse ipsius Christi manum extensam.

*Again, in his Eighty third Homily upon St. Matthew.* Let us in

Et infra, Homil. 83. in S. Matthæum. Credamus ubique



que Deo, nec contradicamus ei, etiam si quod dicit, contrarium videatur cogitationi & oculis nostris; sed ipsius sermo superet & cogitationem nostram & oculos. Sic & in Mysteriis faciamus, non ad proposita solum aspicientes, sed verba ejus teneamus. Sermo quippe ejus decipere nequit, sensus autem noster deceptu facilis est: ille nunquam aberrat; hic vero sæpius fallitur. Quandoquidem igitur sermo ait, Hoc est Corpus meum, persuasi simus, & credamus, & oculis intellectualibus id aspiciamus.

Et infra. Quot modo dicunt, vellem ejus videre formam, & speciem, & vestimenta, & calceamenta! Ecce ipsum vides, ipsum tangis, ipsum comedis. Et tu quidem vestimenta videre cupis: ipse autem tibi dat. non tantum videre, sed & tangere, & edere, & intus sumere.—Cogita quanta indignatione adversus Proditorem & crucifigentes percitus sis. Vide ergo ne & tu quoque Corporis & Sanguinis Christi reus efficiaris. Illi sacrosanctum Corpus trucidarunt, tu post tanta beneficia sordida suscipis. anima.—Non sufficit ipsi, hominem fieri, nec flagellis cædi & jugulari, sed & se ipsum nobis permiscet, & non fide solum, sed re ipsa corpus suum nos efficit. Qua igitur re mun-

all things believe God, and not contradict him, altho' what he says seems contrary to our Apprehension and our Eyes. Let his Word surpass our Understanding and our Eyes. And thus let us behave our selves in the Mysteries, not only looking upon what is before us, but holding fast his Words. For his Words cannot deceive, but our Sense may be easily mistaken. Those never err, but our Sense is very often deceiv'd. Since therefore he has said, *This is my Body*, let us be convinc'd and believe, and behold it with the Eyes of our Understanding.

And a little after. How many say now I would willingly see his Shape, his Form, his Clothes and Shoes! Behold, thou seest him himself, thou toucest and eatest himself: And thou art still desiring to see his Garments; and he not only grants thee to see him, but likewise to touch him, to eat him, and take him within thee.—Consider how much you are incens'd against *Judas* that betray'd him, and those that crucified him; see therefore that you your self be not guilty of the Body and Blood of Christ. They crucified his Sacred Body; and you, after so many Benefits, receive him with an unclean Soul.—Christ did not think it enough to become Man, to be scourg'd

and murder'd; but he incorporates himself with us, and not only by Faith, but in reality, makes us his Body. How ought he therefore to excel all things in Purity, who is to partake of this Sacrifice? And ought not that Hand which breaks this Flesh, that Mouth which is fill'd with this Spiritual Fire, that Tongue that is purpl'd with this awful Blood, be as pure as the Rays of the Sun?—We have that for our Food which the Angels tremble to see, nor can behold without fear for its dazling Brightness; and yet we are reduc'd into one Mass with him, we are made one Body of Christ, and one Flesh.

*Then he adds:* Who will declare the Power of our Lord, and who will publish all his Praises? What Shepherd ever yet has fed his Flock with his own Limbs? And why do I say Shepherd? Many Mothers there are, who feed their Infants at a Strangers Breast: But Christ not so; he nourishes us with his own Blood, and closely knits himself to us in all things.

*And then:* The things we propose are not done by Humane Power. He that wrought those things at the Last Supper, is the Author of what is done here: we hold but the place of Ministers; but he that sanctifies and changes them is Christ himself.

*diorem non oportet esse eum qui huic Sacrificio participaturus est? Quales radios solares non deberet puritate excedere manus illa, quæ discindit hanc carnem, os quod impletur igni spirituali, lingua purpurata tremendo hoc sanguine?—Ea re nos alimur quam Angeli videntes tremunt, nec absque pavore propter emicantem inde fulgorem aspicere possunt: & nos in unam cum illo massam reducimur, facti sumus unum Christi corpus & una caro.*

*Addit S. Chrysoſt. Quis loquetur potentias Domini, audientis faciet omnes laudes ejus? Quis Pastor unquam propriis membris oves nutrit? Et quid dico Pastores? Multæ matres sæpe aliis nutricibus infantes dederunt: ipse vero non ita; sed ipse nos sanguine proprio nutrit, & per omnia se nobis conglutinat.*

*Et infra: Non sunt humanæ virtutis opera proposita. Qui tunc illa fecit in illa cæna, ipse & nunc hæc operatur. Ministrorum vicæ sumus: qui vero hæc sanctificat & transmutat, ipse est.*

Et Homil. 24. in Epist. 1. ad Corinth. ubi explicans hæc verba: Calix benedictionis, cui benedicimus, nonne communicatio Sanguinis Christi est? ait, *Valde fideliter dixit & terribiliter. Hoc est autem quod dicit: id quod est in Calice, est id quod fluxit e latere, & illius sumus particeps; eum ipsum effudit ne maneremus in errore, & non solum effudit, sed etiam eum ipsum nobis impertiit. Quamobrem si sanguinem, inquit, cupis, non aram idolorum brutorum cæde, sed meum altare meo cruenta sanguine.*

if you desire Blood, do not colour the Altars of Idols with the Blood of Beasts, but upon my Altar offer up my Blood.

Et in eadem Homil. de Christi corpore in Eucharistia loquens, hæc habet. *Cum timore & summa puritate ad ipsum accedamus; & quando ipsum videris propositum, dic; Propter hoc Corpus non sum ego amplius terra & cinis; non sum amplius captivus, sed liber; propter hoc spero me accepturum celos & omnia bona quæ in eis sunt, vitam immortalem, Angelorum conditionem cum Christo consuetudinem. Hoc corpus clavus confixum, &c. Hoc corpus nobis dedit & tenendum & comedendum.*

Et infra. *Hoc corpus etiam jacens in præsepi reweriti sunt*

*And in his twenty fourth Homily on the first Epistle to the Corinthians, explicating these words, The Cup of Benediction, which we bless, is it not the Communication of Christ's Blood? he says thus; He has spoke a thing that is very true, and yet full of horror. And this it is that he says; That which is in the Chalice, is the same that flow'd from his Side, and we are Partakers of it. He pour'd out this very Blood, that we might not remain in Error; and he not only pour'd it out, but he has likewise given the very same to us. Wherefore, says he to us,*

*And in the same Homily, speaking of Christ's Body in the Sacrament, he says thus. Let us come to it with fear, and with a pure Conscience; and when you behold it before you, say, For this Body I am no longer Dust and Ashes; I am no longer a Slave, but free: by the help of this I trust I shall be receiv'd into Heaven, and enjoy all that is good in it, Life everlasting, the State of the Angels, and the Company of Christ. This Body was pierc'd with Nails, &c. This Body he has given us, both to possess and eat.*

*And then: This Body, even lying in a Manger, the Wise-*

men ador'd, and leaving their Country and House, Barbarians undertook a long Journey, and coming to it, worshipp'd with great fear and trembling. Let us therefore, who are Citizens of Heaven, imitate even Barbarians; for they approach'd him with an awful Reverence, tho' when they saw him in a Manger and a Cottage, they saw no such thing as you now see. For 'tis not in a Manger you see him, but upon the Altar; not a Woman holding him, but a Priest standing by, and the Holy Spirit, with an innumerable Host, hovering over what is there propos'd. You not only see that very Body which they did, but know both its Vertue and Dispensation, and are ignorant of nothing that has been wrought by it.

*Magi: & viri barbari, patria & domo relicta longam viam confecerunt, & cum venissent, cum multo metu & tremore adorarunt. Imitemur ergo vel barbaros nos calorum cives. Nam illi quidem cum in præsepi vidissent, & in tugurio, neque tale quidpiam vidissent quale tu nunc, cum magna accesserunt reverentia. Tu autem non in præsepi vides, sed in altari, non famulam eum tenentem, sed Sacerdotem adstantem, & spiritum cum magna copia ea quæ sunt proposita supervolantem. Non solummodo hoc ipsum corpus vides, sicut illi; sed nosti ejus & virtutem & dispensationem, & nihil ignoras ex eis quæ per ipsum effecta sunt.*

*And in his Homily upon St. Philogonius: This Table, says he, is in place of the Manger; for on this too lies the Body of our Lord; not in Swathing-bands, as then, but on every side encompass'd with the Holy Spirit. Such as are instructed in the Mysteries, understand what is said. The Wise-men indeed did nothing but adore him; but thou shalt be privileg'd, if thou comest with a pure Conscience, to take him to thy self, and go home.*

*Then he goes on: This Mystery*

*Et in Homil. in S. Philogonium. Hæc mensa, inquit, explet vicem præsepi: nam & hic jacet corpus Dominicum, non fasciis involutum, sicut tunc; sed Spiritu undique Sancto involutum. Qui mysteriis initiati sunt, intelligunt quæ dicuntur. Magi quidem nihil aliud quam adorarunt, tibi vero si cum pura conscientia accesseris, nos concedemus ipsum sumere, & domum abire.*

*Subdit ibidem: Hic terram tibi.*

*tibi cælum facit hoc Myſterium. — Nam quod illic eſt omnium pretioſiſſimum & maxime honorandum, hoc oſtendam tibi ſitum in terra. Sicut enim in Regia id quod eſt omnium magnificentiſſimum, non ſunt parietes, non tectum aureum, ſed corpus Regis ſedens in folio; ſic in cælis Regis corpus. Sed hoc tibi nunc licet videre in terra. Non enim Angelos, nec Archangelos, neque cælos, nec cælos cælorum, ſed ipſum eorum oſtendo Dominum. Vidisti quemadmodum quod eſt omnium præſtantiſſimum & maxime honorandum vides in terra; neque ſolum vides, ſed etiam tangis, ſed etiam comedis, & eo accepto, domum reverteris. Abſterge & munda animam, & præpara mentem ad horum myſteriorum ſuſceptionem. Nam ſi tibi Regis filius cum mundo & purpura & diademate tibi traditus eſſet ferendus, quæcunque ſunt in terra abjeciſſes. Nunc autem non hominis Regis filium accipiens, ſed ipſum Unigenitum Dei Filium, dic rogo non extimeſcis, & eorum quæ ad hanc vitam pertinent, non omnem expellis amorem?*

only begotten Son of God, pray tell me, are not you ſeiſ'd with Dread? Do not you diſcharge your Heart of the Love of all things belonging to this Life?

makes the Earth a Heaven to thee here. — For what is there of higheſt eſteem, and moſt worthy of Honour, I will ſhew you here on Earth. For as at Court the moſt magnificent thing is not the Walls and gilded Roofs, but the Body of the King ſeated in his Throne; ſo likewiſe in Heaven 'tis the Body of the King; and this you may ſee now upon the Earth: For 'tis not the Angels, nor the Archangels, nor the Heavens, nor Heavens of Heavens I ſhew you; but the very Lord himſelf of all theſe. You underſtand now, how that which is moſt excellent, and of greateſt worth, you ſee upon the Earth; and not only ſee it, but alſo touch it, and eat it, and having receiv'd it, return again to your home. Cleanſe therefore and purifie your Soul, and prepare your Mind for receiving theſe Myſteries. For if the King's Son, with his Globe, his Purple, and Diadem, were deliver'd you to be carried, you would ſoon throw by whatever elſe that's earthly. And now that 'tis not the Son of a mortal King you receive, but the

Et in Homil. in Crucem Chriſti ſic ſequitur. *Anneſci-*

*In his Homily on the Croſs of Chriſt he ſpeaks thus. Do you not know,*

know, how the Angels stood waiting at the Sepulcher, after the Body was risen, at the empty Sepulcher? But because the Body of our Lord had been laid there, they express'd a great Reverence even to the place itself. The Angels, I say, who far excel our Nature, assist at the Sepulcher with so great Reverence and Respect. And we, who are not to stand at the empty Sepulcher, but to approach that Table where the Lamb himself lies, do yet come tumultuously and in great disorder. What hopes of Mercy

*tis, quomodo Angeli adfiterint sepulchro corpus non habenti, sepulchro vacuo? Attamen cum omnino corpus Domini recepisset, multum honoris & ipsi loco exhibent. Angeli, inquam, naturam nostram excedentes cum tanta reverentia & observantia sepulchro adfistunt. Nos autem non ad sepulchrum inane adfisturi; sed ad ipsam Mensam Agnum habentem accessuri, cum tumultu & turbatione venimus. Itaque quæ nobis venia speranda est?*

therefore can we have?

*In his third Book de Sacerdotio.* O Miracle! *says he,* O the Bounty of God! He that sits above with his Father, at the very same instant of time is here in the Hands of all, he gives himself to those that are willing to receive and embrace him.

*And in his sixth Book de Sacerdotio.* When the Priest has invoc'd the Holy Spirit, and perfected the dreadful Sacrifice, and forthwith taken into his Hands the Common Lord of all things, in what Rank shall we place him? How great Holiness are we to expect from him?—At that time the Angels stand by the Priest, and every Quire of the Celestial Powers cries out.—The Place about the Altar is crowded, in honour of

Et lib. 3. de Sacerdotio. O Miraculum! O Dei benignitatem! Qui cum Patre sursum sedet, in illo ipso temporis articulo omnium manibus pertractatur, ac se tradit volentibus ipsum excipere & complecti.

Et lib. 6. hæc habet. Quando Sacerdos Spiritum Sanctum invocaverit, & tremendum Sacrificium perfecerit, & communem omnium Dominum continuo pertractarit, Quonam in ordine eum collocabimus? Quantam ab eo puritatem requiremus?—Tunc & Angeli adstant Sacerdoti, & omnis ordo Virtutum cælestium clamat.—Locus circa Altare impletur in honorem ejus qui jacet.—Ego vero quendam audevi commemorantem,



*tem, quod ipse senex quis vir admirandus & visiones videre solitus dixerit, se ejusmodi visione quandoque dignum factum fuisse, ac per illud tempus de repente Angelorum multitudinem vidisse, ut ei possibile erat, stolis fulgentibus indutorum, & altare circumdantium, & deorsum se inclinantium, ut si quis milites Rege praesente stantes videret: & ego credo.*

in presence of their King: And I believe it.

him that lies upon it.—I heard a Person relating, how that a Venerable Old Man, that had many Visions, told him he had been sometimes favour'd with this kind of Vision, and that at that time he saw on a sudden appear a great multitude of Angels, as far as 'twas possible for him, all shining with bright Stoles, and encompassing the Altar, and bowing themselves down, like so many Soldiers

Et 46. Homil. in Evang. S. Johannis. Non solum per dilectionem, sed reipsa in illam carnem convertamur; per cibum id efficitur, quem nobis largitus est. Cum enim suum in nos amorem indicare vellet, per Corpus suum se nobis commiscuit, & in unum nobiscum redegit, ut Corpus cum capite uniretur. Hoc enim amantium maxime est. Hoc Job significabat de servis, a quibus maxime amabatur, qui suum amorem prae se ferentes, dicebant; Quis daret nobis, ut ejus carnibus implemur? Quod Christus fecit, ut majori nos charitate adstringeret, & ut suum in nos ostenderet desiderium, non se tantum videri permittens desiderantibus, sed & tangi, & manducari, & dentes carni suae infigi, & desiderio sui omnes impleri. Ab illa igitur Mensa tanquam leones ignem

*In his Forty sixth Homily upon the Gospel of St. John.* Not only by affection, but really, let us be converted into that Flesh: That is done by the Food he gives us. For having a mind to shew his Love towards us, by his Body he incorporated and made himself one with us, that the Body might be united with the Head. For this commonly is the Practice of Lovers. And this was intimated by Job, speaking of his Servants, by whom he was lov'd entirely, who, in testimony of their Affection to him, said, *Who will grant us, that we may be fill'd with his Flesh?* Which very thing Christ has done, to tie us to him with a firmer Bond of Love, and to evidence his Affection towards us: not only permitting those that desire it to see him, but also to touch and eat him, and fasten their

their Teeth into his Flesh; and to be fill'd all with the Love of him. Let us therefore rise from this Table like so many Lions, breathing forth Fire, frightful to the Devil; let us be sensible who is our Head, and of the Love he has express'd for us. Parents often send their Children to be nurs'd by others; but I feed you with my own Flesh, and give my self to you.—I would be your Brother, and for your sakes I took upon me Flesh and Blood: and those very things by which I was united to you, I now give you again.

*Again:* The Devils, when they behold the Blood of Christ within us, are put to flight, and the Angels come in. This Blood being pour'd out, purg'd the whole World, of which St. Paul to the *Hebrews* speaks at large. This Blood purified the Secret Places, and the Holy of Holies. And if the Figure of it had so great Virtue in the Temple of the *Jews*, and when 'twas sprinkled on the Doors among the *Egyptians*, how much greater has it now, being (not a Figure, but) the Truth?

St. Ambrose lib. de his qui Mysteriis initiantur, c. 9. Consider, says he, whether is more excellent, the Bread of Angels, or the Flesh of Christ, which is the Body of Life. That was Manna which fell from Heaven; This is above the Heavens.

*spirantes surgimus, diabolo formidolosi, & Caput nostrum intelligamus, & quam in nos prae se tulit charitatem. Parentes saepenumero liberos suos aliis alendos dederunt, ego autem mea carne alo, me vobis exhibeo.—Vester ego frater esse volui, & communicavi propter vos carnem & sanguinem: & per quae vobis conjunctus sum, ea rursus vobis exhibeo.*

Deinde subdit: *Dæmones, cum Dominicum Sanguinem in nobis vident, in fugam vertuntur, Angeli autem concurrunt. Hic Sanguis effusus universum abluit orbem terrarum, de quo multa Paulus ad Hebræos persecutus est. Hic Sanguis abdita & Sancta Sanctorum purgabat. Quod si ejus figura tantam habuit vim in Templo Hebræorum, in media Aegypto liminibus aspersus, longe magis veritas.*

S. Ambrosius lib. de his qui Mysteriis initiantur, c. 9. Considera nunc, inquit, utrum præstantior sit panis Angelorum, an caro Christi, quæ utique Corpus est vitæ. Manna illud e cælo, hoc supra cælum. Illud cæli, hoc Domini cælorum. Illud corruptioni

corruptioni obnoxium, si in diem alteram servaretur, hoc alienum ab omni corruptione: quod quicumque religiose gustaverit, corruptionem sentire non poterit. Illis aqua de petra fluxit, tibi sanguis e Christo. Illos ad horam satiavit aqua, te sanguis diluit in æternum.—Hæc autem in figura facta sunt nostri. Cognovisti præstantiora. Potior est enim lux quam umbra, veritas quam figura, corpus auctoris, quam manna de cælo.

Light is more noble than the figure, the Body of the Giver,

That was of Heaven; This, of the Lord of Heaven. That, subject to Corruption, if preserv'd till the next day; This incapable of all Corruption, and exempting such from Corruption who worthily eat of it. They receiv'd Water out of a Rock, and Thou Blood from Christ. The Water satisfied them for a short time, this Blood purifies thee for an Eternity.—But these things were done as a Figure of us. Thou know'st things more excellent. For the

Shadow, the Truth than the Figure, the Body of the Giver, than Manna from Heaven.

Et infra. Forte dicas, Aliud video, quomodo tu mihi asseris quod Christi corpus accipiam? Et hoc nobis adhuc superest, ut probemus.—Quantis igitur utimur exemplis; ut probemus non hoc esse quod natura formavit; sed quod benedictio consecravit, majoremque vim esse benedictionis, quam naturæ; quia benedictione etiam ipsa natura mutatur? Virgam tenebat Moyses, projecit eam, & facta est serpens. Rursus apprehendit caudam serpentis, & in virgæ naturam revertit. Vides igitur Prophetica gratia his mutatam esse naturam, & serpentis, & virgæ? Currebant Egypti flumina puro aquarum meatu, subito de fontium venis sanguis cepit crumpere. Non erat potus

And a little after. Perchance you'll say, Why do you tell me that I receive the Body of Christ, whenas I see quite another thing? We have this therefore yet to prove.—How many Examples therefore do we produce to shew, that this is not what Nature fram'd, but what the Benediction has consecrated; and that the Benediction is of greater efficacy than Nature, because by Benediction, Nature it self is chang'd? Moses held a Rod in his Hand, he cast it from him, and it became a Serpent. He caught hold of the Serpent's Tail, and it forthwith recover'd the Nature of a Rod again. Do you see here, how by the Grace conferr'd on a Prophet, Nature was twice chang'd, both of a

S

Serpent

Serpent and of a Rod? The Rivers of Egypt ran with pure Water; of a sudden their Streams were nothing but Blood. The Rivers afforded no Drink; again, at the Prayers of the Prophet, they ran no longer Blood, but the Nature of Waters return'd. The Children of Israel were encompass'd on every side; here the Egyptian Host press'd upon them, there the Sea was ready to devour them: Moses lifted up his Rod, the Waters withdrew on either side, and growing into the steadiness of two Walls, open'd to them a Foot-path in the middle of those watry Banks. Jordan was turn'd backward, and with an unnatural Course hasten'd to its Spring. Is it not evident, that the nature of the Sea and River were here both chang'd? The Israelites were consum'd with Thirst; Moses touch'd the Rock, and it became a Spring of Waters. And did not here Grace outdo Nature; the Rock affording plenty of Water, of which it had none at all by Nature? Marath was a most bitter Fountain, so that the People could not drink of it: Moses cast Wood into the middle of it, and by the power of Grace it suddenly became so temper'd, that it lost its natural Bitterness. One of the Sons of the Prophets lost the Head of his Ax in the Water;

*in fluvio: rursus ad Prophetæ preces cruor cessavit fluminum, aquarum natura remeavit. Circumclusus undique erat populus Hebræorum, hinc Egypti val-latus, inde mari clausus: vir-gam levavit Moyses, separavit se aqua, & in murorum speciem congelavit, atque inter undas via pedestris apparuit. Jordani retrorsum conversus, contra naturam, in sui fontis revertitur exordium. Nonne claret naturam vel maritimorum fluctum, vel fluvialis cursus esse mutatam? Sitiēbat populus Patrum, tetigit Moyses petram, & aqua de petra fluxit. Nāquid non præter naturam operata est gratia, ut aquam vomeret petra, quam non habebat natura? Marath fons amarissimus erat, ut sitiens populus bibere non posset. Misit Moyses lignum in aquam, & amaritudinem suam aquarum natura deposuit, quam infusa subito gratia temperavit. Sub Eliseo Prophetā uni ex filiis Prophetarum excussum est ferrum de securi, & statimmersum est. Rogavit Eliseum qui amiserat ferrum, misit etiam Eliseus lignum in aquam, & ferrum natavit. Utique & hoc præter naturam factum esse cognoscimus. Gravior est enim ferri species, quam aquarum liquor. Advertimus igitur majoris esse virtutis gratiam, quam naturam, & adhuc tamen Pro-pheticæ*

phetica benedictionis numeramus gratiam. Quod si tantum valuit humana benedictio ut naturam converteret, quid dicimus de ipsa consecratione divina, ubi verba ipsa Domini Salvatoris operantur? Nam Sacramentum istud quod accipis, Christi sermone conficitur. Quod si tantum valuit sermo Eliae, ut ignem de caelo deponeret: non valebit Christi sermo, ut species mutet elementorum? De totius mundi operibus legisti: quia ipse dixit, & facta sunt; ipse mandavit & creata sunt. Sermo ergo Christi, qui potius ex nihilo facere quod non erat, non potest ea quae sunt in id mutare quod non erant? Non enim minus est novas res dare, quam mutare naturas. Sed quid argumentis utimur? Suis utamur exemplis, Incarnationisque exemplo adstruamus Mysteriorum veritatem? Nunc quid naturae usus praecessit; cum Jesus Dominus ex Maria nasceretur? Si ordinem quaerimus, viro mixta semina generari consuevit. Liqueat igitur quod praeter naturae ordinem Virgo generavit: & hoc quod conficimus corpus, ex Virgine est. Quid hic quaeris naturae ordinem in Christi corpore, cum praeter naturam sit ipse Dominus Jesus partus ex Virgine? vera utique caro Christi, quae crucifixa est, quae sepulta est.

he desired the help of *Eliseus* who threw Wood into the Water, and forthwith the Iron began to float. And this too we know was contrary to Nature; for Iron is more weighty than Water: We see therefore the Power of Grace is far beyond that of Nature; and yet we have only mention'd hitherto the Effect of Grace in the Blessing of Prophets. Now if Blessings given by Men were of so great efficacy, as to change the Nature of things; what shall we say of the Divine Consecration, where the very Words of Christ our Saviour are operative? For this Sacrament which thou receivest, is made by the Words of Christ. And if the Words of *Elias* were so powerful, as to draw Fire from Heaven; shall not the Words of Christ be powerful enough to change the Nature of things? Thou hast read of the Creation of the World; that God spoke, and the things were made; he commanded, and they receiv'd a Being. If therefore Christ by his Word was able to make something of nothing, shall he not be thought able to change one thing into another? For 'tis no less a Work to give a Nature and Being to things, than to change them from one Nature to another. But what need of Arguments? Let us propose his own Examples, and assert

the truth of this Mystery by that of his Incarnation. When our Lord Jesus was born of *Mary*, was it by a natural Generation? The Order of Nature requires the Concurrence of both Sexes: 'tis therefore evident, that 'twas above the Order of Nature for a Virgin to conceive: And this Body which we make in the Sacrament, is that which was born of the Virgin. Why do you require here the Order of Nature in the Body of Christ, whenas above all Nature, Christ was born of a Virgin? The true Flesh of Christ, which was crucified, which was buried.

*And in his sixth Book of the Sacraments, c. 1. he says thus:* As our Lord Jesus Christ is the true Son of God, not as Men are, by Grace, but as a Son is of the Substance of his Father; so it is true Flesh as he said which we receive, and true Drink.

*And again, super Psal. 38.* We have seen the High-Priest coming to us; we have seen and heard him offering his own Blood for us. Let us Priests follow him as we may, to offer Sacrifice for the People: tho' we are but weak as to our own Merit, yet we are made honourable by the Sacrifice we offer: for altho' Christ is not now seen to offer, yet he is offer'd up upon Earth, when the Body of Christ is offer'd.

*And in his Sermon made to the Virgins, upon the Dedication of the Church built by Juliana, turning his Prayer to God, he says thus.* Let every Sacrifice which is offer'd in this Temple with a sincere Faith, and true Devotion, be accepted by thee as a sweet

Et lib. 6. de Sacramentis, c. 1. sic habet. *Sicut verus est Dei Filius Dominus noster Jesus Christus, non quemadmodum homines per gratiam, sed quasi Filius ex substantia Patris; ita vera caro, sicut dicit, quam accipimus, & verus est potus.*

Et infra super Psalm. 38: *Vidimus Principem Sacerdotum ad nos venientem, vidimus & audivimus offerentem pro nobis Sanguinem suum: sequamur, ut possumus, Sacerdotes, ut offeramus pro populo sacrificium; etsi infirmi merito, tamen honorabiles Sacrificio: quia etsi nunc Christus non videtur offerre, tamen ipse offertur in terris, quando Christi Corpus offertur.*

Et in Sermone habito ad Virgines, in Dedicatione Ecclesiæ quam Juliana Vidua religiosissima ædificarat: ubi ad Deum orationem convertens, ait: *Fiat tibi in odorem suavitatis omne Sacrificium, quod in hoc templo fide integra, pia*



*pia sedulitate defertur. Et cum ad illam respicias Hostiam salutarem, per quam peccatum mundi hujus aboletur, respicias etiam ad has piæ hostias castitatis, & diuturno eas tuearis auxilio.*

Et in Epist. ad Marcellinam, de corporum SS. Martyrum Gervasii & Protasii Inventione. *Succedant, inquit, victimæ triumphales in locum ubi Christus hostia est; sed ille super Altare, qui pro omnibus passus est: isti sub Altare, qui illius redempti sunt Passione.*

S. Hieronymus in Ep. ad Heliodorum, *Abstine ut de his quidquam sinistram loquar, qui Apostolico gradui succedentes, Christi Corpus sacro ore consuecunt.*

Et in Ep. ad Rusticum de S. Exuperio Tolosano Episcopo, sic loquitur. *Nihil illo ditius, qui Corpus Domini canistro Vimineo, Sanguinem portat in vitro.* In Ep. ad Evagrium. *Quis patiat mensarum & Viduarum Minister, ut supra eos tumidus se efferat, ad quorum preces Christi Corpus, Sanguisque conficitur.* Et in Ep. ad Eustochium, de custodia Virginitatis. *Si quæ ancillulæ sunt comites propositi tui, ne erigaris adversus eas, ne*

*Savour. And when thou look'st upon that Life-giving Host which takes away the Sins of the World, cast thy Eye likewise upon these Oblations of virtuous Chastity, and afford them thy continual Assistance.*

*And in his Epistle to Marcellina, upon the finding the Bodies of Gervasius and Protasius Martyrs, he writes thus.* Let these triumphing Victims have admittance into that Place, where Christ is the Sacrifice; but he is upon the Altar, who suffer'd for the Redemption of all; these are under the Altar, who were redeem'd by his Passion.

St. Hierom in his Epistle to Heliodorus. God forbid that I should speak detractingly of these Men, who succeeding the Apostles in their Function, do make the Body of Christ with their sacred Mouth.

*In his Epistle to Rusticus, speaking of St. Exuperius Bishop of Toulouse, he says thus.* None is more rich than he, who carries the Body of our Lord in a Wicker Basket, and his Blood in a Glass. *In his Epistle to Evagrius.* What Overseer of the Tables, or of the Widows, will permit, that he presume to contemn those, upon whose Prayers the Body and Blood of Christ is made? *In his Epistle to Eustochium, of Preserving Virginity.* If you have any young Virgins, that joya with

with you in your Design, do not deal harshly with them, neither keep them under, as if you were their Mistress. You have all but one Spouse, you sing in one Quire, you receive the Body of Christ together; why should you have any more than one Table? *In his Apology to Pamphilius.* Which is greater, to pray, or to receive the Body of Christ? Certainly to receive the Body of Christ.—I know 'tis the Custom at Rome for the Faithful to receive the Body of Christ every day; which I neither reprehend, nor approve: let every one abound in his own sense.

*In his Epistle to Hedibia, quæst. 2.* But let us, *says he*, understand, that the Bread which Christ broke and gave to his Disciples, is the Body of our Lord and Saviour; he himself saying to them, *Take and eat; This is my Body*: And that the Cup is that of which he again spoke, *Drink ye all of this; For this is my Blood of the New Testament which shall be shed for many.*—The Bread therefore that came down from Heaven, is the Body of our Lord; and the Wine he gave to his Disciples, is his Blood of the New Testament, which was shed for many, for the Remission of Sins.—Neither did *Moses* give us the true Bread, but *Jesus Christ*, who is both the Guest and Banquet, being the

*inſeris ut Domina. Unum Sponſum habere cœpiſtis, ſimul pſallitis, Chriſti ſimul Corpus accipitis, cur menſa diverſa ſit?* In Apologia ad Pamphili-um. *Quid eſt majus, inquit, orare, an Corpus Chriſti accipere? Utrique accipere Corpus Chriſti.*—Scio Romæ hanc eſſe conſuetudinem, ut Fideles ſemper Chriſti Corpus accipiant: quod nec reprehendo, nec probo; unusquiſque enim in ſuo ſenſu abundet.

Et in Epist. ad Hedibiam, quæst. 2. Nos autem, inquit, audiamus panem, quem fregit Dominus, deditque Discipulis suis, esse Corpus Domini Salvatoris, ipso dicente ad eos, Accipite & comedite; Hoc est Corpus meum: Et Calicem illum esse, de quo iterum locutus est: Bibite ex hoc omnes; Hic est enim Sanguis meus Novi Testamenti, qui pro multis effundetur.—Panis ergo qui de cælo descendit, Corpus est Domini, & vinum quod Discipulis dedit, Sanguis illius est Novi Testamenti, qui pro multis effusus est in remissionem peccatorum.—Nec *Moyſes* dedit nobis panem verum, sed Dominus *Jeſus*, ipſe conviva & convivium, ipſe comedens, & qui comeditur:

*editur: illius bibimus Sanguinem, & sine ipso potare non possumus.*

S. Augustinus l. 9. Conf. c. 13. ubi loquens de Monica Matre sua sanctissima, ait; *Memoriam sui ad altare tuum fieri desideravit, cui nullius diei prætermissione servierat, unde sciret dispensari Victimam Sanctam, qua deletum est Chirographum, quod erat contrarium nobis; qua triumphatus est hostis computans delicta nostra.*

Et lib. 12. de Civitate Dei, cap. 20. legimus, ubi hæc verba Ecclesiastis exponit, *Non est bonum homini nisi quod manducabit & bibet, ad participationem mensæ hujus pertinere docet; Quam Sacerdos ipse Mediator Testamenti Novi exhibet secundum ordinem Melchisedech de Corpore & Sanguine suo. Id enim Sacrificium, inquit, successit omnibus illis sacrificiis Veteris Testamenti, quæ immolabantur in umbra futuri; propter quod etiam vocem illam in Psalmo trigesimo nono ejusdem Mediatoris per Prophetiam loquentis agnoscimus; Sacrificium & Oblationem noluit mihi: quia pro illis omnibus Sacrificiis & Oblationibus, Corpus ejus offertur, & participantibus ministratur.*

same who eats, and who is eaten; 'tis his Blood we drink, and without him we cannot drink it.

St. Augustin l. 9. of his Confessions, c. 13. where speaking of his devout Mother Monica, he says; She desir'd us to remember her at thy Altar, at which, without any one days intermission, she constantly attended; whence she knew was dispens'd the Holy Victim, by which was cancell'd the Hand-writing which was contrary to us, and the Enemy was vanquish'd, who keeps account of our Faults.

And in his twelfth Book of the City of God, c. 20. Where he expounds these words of Ecclesiastes, 'Tis not good for Man, but that he eat and drink, to be understood of the receiving this Heavenly Food; Which our Priest, the Mediator of the New Testament, according to the Order of Melchisedech, gives of his own Body and Blood. For that Sacrifice, says he, succeeded all those Sacrifices of the Old Testament, which were offer'd up as a Type of what was to come. For which Reason, we understand those Words of the thirty ninth Psalm to be spoken Prophetically in the Person of our Mediator; *Thou wouldst not have a Sacrifice and Oblation, but thou hast perfected my Body to me: Because in stead of all those Sacrifices* and

and Oblations, his Body is offer'd up, and given to such as partake of it.

*In his Explication upon the 98. Psalm; where expounding those Words, Adore his Footstool, he says thus: I enquire what this his Footstool is, and the Scripture makes me answer, The Earth is my Footstool. Here now wavering in uncertain thoughts, I turn my self to Christ, because 'tis he I seek here; and I am forthwith satisfied, how the Earth may be ador'd without a Sacrilege, how his Footstool may be ador'd without the guilt of Idolatry. For he took Earth of Earth, Flesh being of Earth, and he took Flesh of the Flesh of Mary. And because he convers'd here with us in that Flesh, and gave us that very Flesh to eat for the Salvation of our Souls; and there is no Body that eats that Flesh, without adoring it first; 'tis plain, how that Footstool of our Lord may be ador'd; and that we are so far from sinning in adoring it, that we sin if we do not adore it.*

*In his twelfth Book against Faustus, c. 10. he says thus: For the Blood of Christ upon Earth has a loud Voice, when all People receiving it, answer, Amen. This is the plain Voice of Blood, which the very Blood it self speaks in the Mouths of the Faithful, who are redeem'd by*

*In Enarratione in Psal. 98. ubi illa verba explicans, Adorate scabellum pedum ejus, ait; Quæro quid sit scabellum pedum ejus, & dicit mihi Scriptura, Terra scabellum pedum meorum. Fluctuans converto me ad Christum, quia ipsum quæro hic, & invenio quomodo sine impietate adoretur terra, sine impietate adoretur scabellum pedum ejus. Suscepit enim de terra terram, quia caro de terra est, & de carne Mariæ carnem accepit. Et quia in ipsa carne hic ambulavit, & ipsam carnem nobis manducandam ad salutem dedit: Nemo autem illam carnem manducat, nisi prius adoraverit, inventum est quemadmodum adoretur tale scabellum pedum Domini, & non solum non peccemus adorando, sed peccemus non adorando.*

*Et lib. 12. contra Faustum, c. 10. dicit: Habet enim magnam vocem Christi Sanguis in terra; cum eo accepto ab omnibus gentibus respondetur, Amen. Hæc est clara vox Sanguinis, quam Sanguis ipse exprimit ex ore Fidelium, eodem Sanguine redemptorum.*

*rum. Et cap. 20. Eucharistiam vocat Sacramentum spei, quo in hoc tempore consociatur Ecclesia, quam diu bibitur, quod de Christi latere manavit.*

Et in Epist. ad Cæsulanum, ubi contra Urbicum quemdam scriptorem, hæc habet. *Dicit cecidisse pani pecus, tanquam nesciens & tunc in Domini mensa panes propositionis poni solere, & nunc se de Agni Immaculati corpore partem sumere. Dicit cecidisse poculo sanguinem, non cogitans etiam nunc se accipere in poculo sanguinem.*

even at this time he receives

the same Blood. *And in chap. 20. he calls the Eucharist the Sacrament of Hope, by which the Church is at this time united, as long as that is drunk, which flow'd from the Side of Christ.*

*In his Epistle to Cæsulanus, writing against a certain Author call'd Urbicus, he has these words.* He says, that the Cattel gave place to the Bread, as if he were ignorant, that the Bread of Proposition was at that time us'd to be plac'd upon the Table of God, and that now he takes part of the Body of the Immaculate Lamb. He says, that the Blood gave place to the Cup, as if he did not remember, that Blood in the Cup.

Et ex Sermone 113. in Festo S. Cypriani Martyris: *In eo loco, inquit, ubi posuit carnis exuvias, sæva tunc multitudo convenerat, quæ propter odium Christi, sanguinem funderet Cypriani: ibi hodie venerans multitudo concurrat, quæ propter Natalem Cypriani bibit Sanguinem Christi. Et tanto dulcius in illo loco propter Natalem Cypriani sanguis bibitur Christi, quanto devotius ibi propter nomen Christi sanguis fusus est Cypriani. Denique sicut nostis, quicumque Carthaginem nostis, in eodem loco Mensa Deo constructa est, tamen Mensa dicitur Cypriani, non quia ibi est un-*

*In his 113 Sermon on the Feast of St. Cyprian Martyr: In that place, says he, where he laid down his Life, the barbarous Rabble met together, out of an aversion to Christ, to shed the Blood of Cyprian: but now the devout People assemble in the same place, and for the solemnizing the Martyrdom of Cyprian, there drink the Blood of Christ. And with so much more Devotion do they there drink the Blood of Christ, for the Festival of Cyprian, because Cyprian did in that place with so great Zeal lose his Blood for the Confession of Christ. Finally, as you all know, as many as*  
T know

know *Carthage*, in the same place is rais'd a Table to God, which bears the Title of *Cyprian*; not that *Cyprian* did ever eat there, but because he was Martyr'd there, and by the effusion of his Blood purchas'd it for us, not to be either Guest or Banquet, but that Sacrifice may be offer'd upon it to God, to whom he offer'd himself.

*quam Cyprianus epulatus, sed quia ibi est immolatus; & quia ipsa immolatione sua paravit hanc Mensam, non in qua pascit, sive pascatur; sed in qua Sacrificium Deo, cui & ipse oblatum est offeratur.*

*And in his Second Book contra Adversarium Legis & Prophetarum, c. 9. he says thus.* As with a faithful Heart and Mouth we receive the Mediator of God and Men, Christ Jesus, who gives us his Flesh to be eaten, and his Blood to be drunk; altho' it seems to be a thing more full of horror to eat Mans Flesh, than to kill it; and to drink Mans Blood, than to shed it.

*And lib. de Verb. Apost. Ser. 2.* We have heard, *says he*, our Master, who always speaks truth, our Divine Redeemer, the Saviour of Men, recommending to us our Ransom, his Blood. For he spoke of his Body, and Blood; which Body he call'd Meat, and which Blood he call'd Drink. The Faithful understand the Sacrament of the Faithful.—But there are some, *says he*, who do not believe, they said, This is a hard saying, who can hear him? 'Tis a hard saying, but to those who are obstinate, that is, 'tis incredible, but to the incredulous.

Et in lib. 2. contra Adversarium Legis & Prophetarum, c. 9. sic ait. Sicut Mediatorem Dei & hominum hominem Christum Jesum, carnem suam nobis manducandam, bibendumque sanguinem dantem, fideli corde atque ore suscipimus, quamvis horribilius videatur humanam carnem manducare, quam perimere.

Et lib. de Verb. Apost. Ser. 2. Audivimus, inquit, Veracem Magistrum, Divinum Redemptorem, Humanum Salvatorem Commendantem nobis pretium nostrum Sanguinem suum. Locutus est enim nobis de Corpore & Sanguine suo, quod Corpus dixit Escam, Sanguinem Potum, Sacramentum Fidelium agnoscunt Fideles.—Sed sunt, inquit, quidam qui non credunt, ipsi dicebant, Durus est hic sermo, quis potest eum audire? Durus est, sed Durus, id est, incredibilis, sed Incredulis.



Et ex Commentario in Psal. 33. ubi de Christo sic loquitur. *Et ferebatur in manibus suis. Hoc verò, fratres! Quomodo posse fieri in homine quis intelligat? Quis enim portatur in manibus suis? Manibus aliorum potest portari homo, manibus suis nemo portatur. Quomodo intelligatur in ipso David secundum literam non invenimus, in Christo autem invenimus. Ferebatur enim Christus in manibus suis, quando commendans ipsum Corpus suum, ait, Hoc est Corpus meum. Ferebat enim illud Corpus in manibus suis. Ipsa est humilitas Domini Jesu Christi, ipsa multum commendatur hominibus.*

Et in lib. de Consecratione, dist. 2. c. 72. *Intra Sanctam Ecclesiam Catholicam in Mysterio Corporis Christi, nihil a bono majus, nihil a malo minus perficitur Sacerdote, quia non in merito consecrantis, sed in verbo efficitur Creatoris, & in virtute Spiritus Sancti: Si enim in merito esset Sacerdotis, nequaquam ad Christum pertineret: nunc autem sicut ipse est qui baptizat, ita ipse est qui per Spiritum Sanctum hanc (Panis) substantiam efficit carnem, & transit vinum in sanguinem.*

and changes the Wine into his Blood.

*In his Commentary upon the thirty third Psalm, he speaks thus of Christ. And he was carried in his own Hands. And can this, Brethren, be possible in Man! Was ever any Man carried in his own Hands? He may be carried by the Hands of others; but in his own, no Man was ever yet carried. How this can be literally understood of David, we cannot discover. But in Christ we find it verified. For Christ was carried in his own Hands, when giving his own very Body, he said, This is my Body. For that Body he carried in his own Hands. Such is the Humility of our Lord Jesus Christ, which is much recommended to Men.*

*And in his Book de Consecratione, dist. 2. c. 72. In the Mystery of the Body of Christ, perform'd within the Holy Church, there is nothing more done by a good Priest, and nothing less by a wicked one; because what is wrought there, is not by the Merit of him that Consecrates, but by the Word of our Creator, and the Power of the Holy Ghost: for if it were by the Merit of the Priest, 'twould not at all belong to Christ: but now, as it is he that baptises, so it is he who by the Holy Spirit makes this Substance to be Flesh,*

St. Isidorus Pelusiota, in his 109. *Epistle, lib. 1. to Marathoni-  
us, against the Macedonians, who  
denied the Holy Ghost to be God,  
writes thus.* Since our God and  
Saviour being made Man, do's  
assert, that the Holy Ghost do's  
compleat the Blessed Trinity;  
and in the Invocation us'd in  
Baptism, he is joyn'd with the  
Father and Son, as concurring  
to the blotting out of Sin; and  
on the Mystical Table he makes  
Common Bread become the  
proper Body of him that was  
Incarnate; why do you, Pro-  
fane Man! teach, that the Holy  
Ghost is nothing but a Creature,  
of an inferior Nature, and not  
Consubstantial with the Lord  
and Creator of all things?

St. Cyril of Alexandria, in  
the *Epistle sent by him and the  
Council of Alexandria, over which  
he presided, to Nestorius, de Ex-  
commun. writes thus.* I cannot  
omit mentioning in this place,  
that while we commemorate  
the Death of the only Son of  
God, Jesus Christ, and his Re-  
surrection from the Dead, and  
confess his Ascension into Hea-  
ven; we celebrate an unbloody  
Sacrifice in the Church, we ap-  
proach to Mystical Benedicti-  
ons, and by that means are san-  
ctified; as being made Parta-  
kers of the sacred Flesh and pre-  
cious Blood of Christ the Re-  
deemer of Mankind. Neither

S. Isidorus Pelusiota, Ep.  
109. l. 1. contra Macedonia-  
nos, qui negabant Divinita-  
tem Spiritus Sancti ad Mara-  
thonium scribens. Cum, in-  
quit, Deus & Salvator noster  
homo factus Spiritum Sanctum  
Divinam Trinitatem complere  
tradiderit, atque in sancti Bap-  
tismi invocatione una cum Patre  
& Filio tanquam a peccatis li-  
berans, numeretur, & in My-  
stica Mensa, communem panem  
proprium Incarnationis ipsius  
Corpus reddat, quid tu, O Ve-  
sane! quiddam factitium, aut  
creatum, & servilis naturæ, &  
non dominantis & creatricis es-  
sentia, & consubstantialem Spi-  
ritum Sanctum esse doces?

S. Cyril. Alexand. in il-  
lius & Synodi Alexandrinæ,  
cujus Caput erat, Epistola ad  
Nestorium, de Excommu-  
nicatione, in qua ita scribit.  
*Quin illud quoque non possum  
hoc loco non adicere, nempe, dum  
Unigeniti Filii Dei, hoc est,  
Jesu Christi mortem, & ex  
mortuis resurrectionem annunti-  
amus, ejusdemque in calum as-  
sumptionem confitemur: incru-  
entum in Ecclesiæ Sacrificium  
nos celebrare, atque ad Mysticas  
benedictiones accedere, eaque ra-  
tione sanctificari; utpote sacræ  
Carnis & pretiosi Sanguinis  
Christi omnium nostrum Salva-  
toris participes facti. Neque  
enim*

enim illam ut carnem communem sumimus : absit hoc ; neque rursum tanquam viri cujuspiam sanctificati, aut dignitatis tantum æqualitate Verbo consociati, aut divinam inhabitationem sortiti : sed tanquam vere vivificam, ipsiusque Verbi propriam. — Quamvis ergo ad nos dicat, Nisi manducaveritis carnem Filii hominis, & biberitis ejus sanguinem, &c. Neutiquam tamen illam communem, hoc est, hominis cujuspiam nostræ conditionis carnem esse existimare debemus : ( Quomodo enim hominis caro secundum suam ipsius naturam vivifica esse queat ? ) Sed vere illius propriam, qui nostri causa Filius hominis & factus & appellatus est.

In Anathematismo 11. qui traditur a S. Cyrillo & a Synodo contra Nestorium, idem hæc habet. Sanctum ac vivificum, incruentumque in Ecclesiis Sacrificium peragimus : Corpus quod proponitur, similiter & pretiosum Sanguinem, non communis, nobisque similis hominis cujuspiam esse credentes ; sed potius tanquam proprium corpus effectum, & Sanguinem etiam Verbi, quod omnia vivificat accipientes : siquidem communis caro vivificare non potest.

Et in Apologet. pro 12 Capitulis adversus Orientales contra Nestorium scri-

do we receive it as common Flesh ; no, God forbid : nor as the Flesh of some Holy Man, or of one only equal in Dignity to the Word, of one privileg'd with the Spirit of God ; but as the truly Life-giving and proper Flesh of Christ himself. — Notwithstanding therefore he says to us, *Unless ye eat the Flesh of the Son of man, and drink his Blood, &c.* Yet we ought by no means think, that it is common Flesh, or of a Man like one of us : (For how can the Flesh of Man in its own nature be capable of giving Life ?) But that it is really his proper Flesh, who for our sakes both became the Son of Man, and was call'd so.

In the eleventh Anathematismus against Nestorius, pronounc'd by the Synod of Alexandria, the same Holy Father has these words. We celebrate in our Churches a Holy, and Life-giving, and Unbloody Sacrifice ; believing that the Body there propos'd, and the precious Blood, is not the Flesh and Blood of any ordinary Man, like us ; but receiving it rather as that which is made the proper Body and Blood too of the Word, which gives Life to all things ; for common Flesh is not capable of giving Life.

And in his Apologeticum pro 12 Capitulis advers. Orientales. Where speaking against Nestorius, be.

*he says thus.* Do's not he ridicule our Mystery, when he represents it as the Eating of Man's Flesh, and wickedly fills the Minds of the Faithful with absurd Imaginations, labouring to measure those things with Human Reasons, which we can only reach to with a solid Faith? For altho' the Divine Nature is not eaten, yet cannot it be said, that the Holy Body of Christ is like the Body of other Men. For it is worthy of note, that, as we said above, 'tis the proper Body of that Word, which gives Life to all things. And because 'tis the Body of Life, it is therefore capable of giving Life. And for this reason it enlivens our mortal Bodies, and

*bens hæc habet. Non is nostrum Mysterium Anthropophagiam efficit, cum ad exoletas cogitationes mentem Fidelium nefarie perducatur, eaque humanis subicere rationibus conetur, quæ sola & indubia fide capiuntur? Neque enim quia minime editur, divinitatis natura, propterea commune dixerit quispiam sanctum Christi Corpus. Scire autem operæ pretium est, quod, sicut supra diximus, proprium est corpus ejus Verbi, quod omnia vivificat. Quoniam autem est corpus vitæ, vivificum est: propterea namque mortalibus nostris corporibus largitur vitam, & mortis imperium evacuat.*

overthrows the Power of

*And in his fourth Book against Nestorius, c. 5.* As that Body of the Word, which by a real Union, far surpassing our Understanding or Expression, he appropriated to himself, is enlivening; so we also partaking of that holy Flesh and Blood, are wholly enliven'd; because the Word remains within us, not only after a Divine manner, by the Holy Ghost; but also in an Human manner, by that holy Flesh, and his precious Blood.—And that this is a Divine Mystery, that the Participation of it do's confer Life,

*Et lib. 4. contra Nestorium, cap. 5. Quæmadmodum vero vivificum est illud ipsius Verbi Corpus, quod sibi proprium fecit per veram unionem, quæ & intelligentiam & sermonem superat, sic nos quoque qui illius sanctæ Carnis & Sanguinis ejus participatione fruimur, omnino vivificamur, cum in nobis maneat Verbum, non solum divino modo, per Sanctum Spiritum, verum etiam humano, per Sanctam illam Carnem pretiosumque ejus Sanguinem.—Ac divinum quidem hoc esse Mysterium, participationemque ejus*

ejus vivificam, & incruentæ hujus hostiæ vim longe illo legali cultu præstantiorem, per facile est videre vel ab eo ipso, quod illa præscis temporibus sancita per Moysen, umbra dicantur, Christus vero ejusque omnia, veritas appellantur. — Quanto igitur res nostræ sunt excellentiores, quibus ipsa veritas, id est, Christus illuxit, sanctamque suam carnem ad participandam apposuit? — Umbra namque erat lex; ideo prudenter admodum Dominus noster Jesus Christus typum extenuat, ut ad veritatem transferat. Neque enim, inquit, ille fuit panis vitæ, sed ego potius qui de cælo sum, & omnia vivifico, & me in manducantes etiam per unitam mihi carnem insero. — Vide igitur quemadmodum in nobis manet, & corruptionis victores efficit, dum se in nostra demittit corpora, ut dixi, etiam per suam carnem, quæ verus est cibus, cum illa Legalis umbra, & cultus per ipsam institutus non habeat veritatem. Et Mysteriorum quidem ratio simplex est ac vera. — Credimus namque illud ex Deo Patre Verbum, cum se corpori per Sanctam Virginem edito, habentique rationalem animam, unisset, quæ Unio ineffabilis prorsus ac Mystica fuit, ipsum corpus reddidisse vivificum, quippe cum Verbum, utpote Deus, vita sit secundum naturam, ut nos

and that the Vertue of this Unbloody Sacrifice is far above all that was in the Old Law, is clear even from this one Argument, That all those things commanded by Moses to our Forefathers, are said to be only a Shadow, and that Christ and all his Institutions are call'd Truth. — How much more excellent therefore are our Mysteries, which Truth it self, that is Christ, hath enlightned, and set before us his Holy Flesh, to partake of it? — For the Law was a Shadow; and therefore our Lord Jesus Christ very prudently extenuates the Figure, to shew the Truth through it. For that Bread, says he, was not the Bread of Life; but I who came down from Heaven, and give Life to all things, and by my Flesh which I took, do enter into those who eat it. — See therefore how he abides within us, and renders us victorious over Corruption, whilst he enters into our Bodies, as I said, even by his own Flesh, which is true Meat; whereas that Shadow of the Old Law, and the Worship then instituted, has no Truth. And the manner of this Mystery is plain and true. — For we believe that the Word of God the Father, when he united himself to that Body which was born of the Blessed Virgin, and inform'd with a Rational

Rational Soul, by a Union to us Incomprehensible and Mystical, he render'd that Body capable of giving Life; because the Word being God, is essentially Life; that, making us Partakers of himself, not only after a spiritual manner, but also in a corporeal way, he might raise us above Corruption, and having by himself evacuated the might cancel Sin in the Flesh.

*And in the same Book he thus presses Nestorius.* The Benefit therefore of the Unbloody Sacrifice is but inconsiderable, because, forsooth, we cannot eat the Nature of the Divinity together with the Flesh, nor obtain Impossibilities, to be fed with the bare Incorporeal Nature it self. But you seem to have forgot, that what is propos'd upon the Holy Tables in the Churches, is not the Nature of the Divinity, but the proper Body of the Word begotten of the Father, which Word is essentially and truly God.

*And in his tenth Book upon St. John, p. 862.* For let any one, says he, explicate to us the Cause and Force of the Holy Eucharist. For why do we eat it? Is it not, that so Christ may abide within us even corporeally, by the Participation and Communion of his Holy Flesh? 'Tis beyond question; for Paul asserts, that the Gentiles were

*non spiritali tantum, verum etiam corporali modo sui particeps effectos, corruptione præstantiores redderet, & evacuata per seipsum peccati lege in membris carnis posita, damnaret peccatum in carne.*

us above Corruption, and having by himself evacuated the Law of Sin in our Members,

*Et in eodem libro sic urget Nestorium. Exigua igitur incruentæ Hostiæ utilitas, quia nimirum fas non est ut simul cum carne etiam Divinitatis natura consumatur, neque ea obtinemus quæ sunt impossibilia, ut ipsa incorporea natura nuda vescamur. Sed oblitus mihi videris haud naturam Divinitatis id esse quod in sanctis Ecclesiarum Mensis proponitur, sed proprium corpus Verbi ex Patre geniti, quod Verbum ex natura & vere Deus est.*

*Et lib. 10. in Joannem, p. 862. Dicat enim nobis aliquis, inquit, causam & vim Eulogiæ Mysticæ obiter doceat: nam cur in nobis inseritur? Nonne ut Christum inhabitare faciat in nobis etiam corporaliter, participatione & communione sanctæ suæ carnis? Præclare quidem: scribit enim Paulus, Gentes factas esse concorporales & participes*



*participes & coheredes Christi.*

— Sed Servator ipse, Qui manducat meam Carnem, inquit, & bibit meum Sanguinem, in me manet, & ego in illo. *Hic enim animadvertere est operæ pretium, Christum non dicere sed duntaxat in nobis futurum secundum relationem quandam ætternalem, sed & per participationem naturalem. Ut enim si quis ceram cereæ implicitam igne simul liquefecerit, unum quid ex ambobus efficit; ita per corporis Christi & pretiosi sanguinis participationem ipse quidem in nobis, nos autem rursus in eo simul unimur. Nec enim aliter vivificari potest quod natura sua est corruptibile, quàm si corporaliter unitum sit corpori ejus, qui secundum naturam suam est vita, hoc est, Unigeniti.*

Et lib. II. Est enim in nobis Filius, corporaliter quidem ut homo, nobiscum commixtus & unitus per Eulogiam Mysticam: spiritualiter autem ut Deus, sui Spiritus virtute & gratia, Spiritum, qui in nobis est, instaurans ad novitatem vitæ. Consummati ergo sumus in unitatem cum Deo ac Patre, Christo mediante. Suscipiendo quippe in nobis, & corporaliter, & spiritualiter, eum qui natura & vere Filius est, qui substantialem cum eo habet unionem, supremæ naturæ participes & consortes facti, glorificati sumus.

made one Body, Copartners, and Coheirs with Christ.—

But our Redeemer himself says, *He that eats my Flesh, and drinks my Blood, abides in me, and I in him.* For 'tis worthy observation, that Christ do's not say, he will be within us by a certain relation of Affection only, but even by a natural Participation. For as when two pieces of Wax are melted by the Fire, there is one Lump made of them both; so by the participation of the Body of Christ, and his precious Blood, he is united to us, and we again to him. For that which is of its own Nature corruptible, cannot be otherwise Partaker of Life, than by being corporally united to the Body of him who is essentially Life, that is, the only Son of God.

*And in the eleventh Book.* For the Son, as he is Man, is corporally within us, being incorporated and united to us by the Mystical Eucharist: and as God, he is spiritually within us, by the Power and Grace of his Spirit, reforming the Spirit that is within us to a newness of Life. We are therefore perfected into a Unity, with God and the Father, by the means of Christ. For receiving within us, both corporally and spiritually, him who is essentially and truly the Son, and who is substantially

V

united

united with him, we are made Partakers, and taken into the Confortship of the Divine Nature, and glorified.

*And in his Homily de Cœna Mystica, he says thus.* The substantial Wisdom of the Father distributes his own Body as Bread, and his Blood he gives to be drunk as Wine. O dreadful Mystery! O incomprehensible Goodness! The Artificer gives himself to be enjoy'd by the Work he made; Life it self delivers himself to Mortals, to be eaten and drunk. He encourages us, Come and eat my Body, and drink the Wine which I have prepar'd for you; I have prepar'd my own self for those that desire me.—He that was typically eaten in Egypt, here voluntarily sacrifices himself; and the Figure being eaten, he, as the Fulfiller of the Figure, shews forth the Truth, immediately proposing himself the Food of Life.

*St. Proclus Patriarch of Constantinople, in Traditione Divinæ Liturgiæ, says thus.* By these Prayers they expected the Coming of the Holy Ghost, by his Holy Presence to change the Bread propos'd for Sanctification, and the Wine mingled with Water, into the very Body and Blood of our Saviour Jesus Christ.

*Leo Magnus Serm. 6. de Jejun. septimi mensis.* So you ought to

*Et Homil. de Cœna Mystica sic habet. Dei ac Patris illa subsistens Sapientia corpus suum ut panem distribuit, & vivificum suum sanguinem tanquam vinum propinat. O tremendum Myſterium! O bonitatem non vestigabilem! Opifex operi sese fruendum proponit, ipsamet vita mortalibus se edendam, bibendamque largitur. Venite comedite corpus meum, hortatur, & bibite vinum quod miscui vobis. Ego meipsum in cibum præparavi, Ego meipsum cupientibus miscui. — Is qui typice manducatus est in Ægypto, hic ultro seipsum immolat, comestaque figura seu typicorum consummator veritatem ostendit, ipse seipsum cibum vitæ statim proponens.*

*S. Proclus Patriarcha Constantinopolitanus, in Traditione Divinæ Liturgiæ, dicit. Per has preces expectabant adventum Spiritus Sancti, ut sacra sua præsentia panem ad Hierurgiam propositum, & vinum aqua mixtum efficeret ac redderet ipsum illud Corpus & Sanguinem Salvatoris nostri Jesu Christi.*

*Leo Magnus Serm. 6. de Jejun. septimi mensis. Sic sacra*

*sacræ mensæ communicare debetis, ut nihil prorsus de veritate Corporis & Sanguinis Christi ambigatis. Hoc enim ore sumitur quod fide creditur. Et frustra ab illis, Amen respondetur, a quibus contra id quod accipitur disputatur.*

Auctor Hom. 5. de Pasch. quæ Eusebii Emissemi nomine inscribitur. Vere unica & perfecta hostia, fide æstimanda, non specie nec exteriori censenda visu; sed interiori affectu. Unde merito cælestis confirmat auctoritas quia Caro mea vere est cibus, & Sanguis meus vere est potus. Recedat ergo omne infidelitatis ambiguum. Quandoquidem qui Auctor est muneris, ipse etiam testis est veritatis. Nam invisibilis Sacerdos visibiles creaturas in substantiam Corporis & Sanguinis sui secreta potestate convertit, ita dicens, Accipite & edite, Hoc est Corpus meum: & sanctificatione repetita, Accipite & bibite, Hic est Sanguis meus. Ergo sicut ad nutum præcipientis Domini repente ex nihilo subsisterunt excelsa cælorum, profunda fluctuum, vasta terrarum: pari potentia in spiritualibus Sacramentis verbi præcipit virtus, & rei servit effectus.— Ad cognoscendum & percipiendum Sacrificium veri Corporis ipse se roboret, & potentia consecrantis invitet. Qui tunc la-

receive at the Holy Table, as nothing at all doubting of the Truth of Christ's Body and Blood. For that which we believe by Faith, is receiv'd in the Mouth. And they in vain answer Amen, who question that which they receive.

Auctor Hom. 5. de Pasch. quæ Eusebii Emissemi nomine inscribitur. 'Tis truly one and a perfect Victim, to be estimated by Faith, not valued by the Species or outward appearance, but by the inward Affection. Whence 'tis deservedly asserted by the Authority of Heaven; For my Flesh is Meat indeed, and my Blood is Drink indeed. Away then with all faithless doubting; since he that is the Author of the Gift, is himself also the Witness of the Truth. For the invisible Priest by a hidden Power converts the visible Creatures into the Substance of his own Body and Blood, saying these words, Take and eat, This is my Body: And repeating again the Blessing, Take and drink, This is my Blood. As therefore at the beck of our commanding Lord, the Heavens, the Seas, and the Earth started out of Nothing; so with the like Power in the spiritual Sacraments, the Word commands, and the Effect forthwith obeys.—For the understanding and perceiving the Sacrifice of his true Body, let

himself strengthen thee, and the Power of the Consecrater invite thee. Let him that was heretofore obscurely prefigur'd in the Manna, be now manifested to thee in Grace.—The Body, given by the Priest, is as much in a little, as it is in the whole; which, when the Faithful receive, is certainly as entire in every particular Person, as it is in the whole Congregation.—Nor let any one question the possibility of the Elements being converted by the Command of Power, and the Presence of Majesty, into the Nature of Christ's Body; whenas he sees even Man himself made the Body of Christ; by the disposal of the Heavenly Goodness. And as he that comes to be initiated in the Mysteries of Faith, before the Words of Baptism, is as yet detain'd in the Bonds of Sin; and, upon the pronouncing those Words, is forthwith discharg'd from that Debt: So when the Creatures are plac'd upon the holy Altars, to be bless'd with heavenly Words, their Substance is then Bread and Wine; but after the Words of Christ, 'tis the Body and Blood of Christ. And what wonder is it, that those things, which he created by his Word, he can after Creation change into other things? Nay, it seems to be a less Miracle, for him that has made a thing of nothing, afterwards to convert it into something better.

*tuit præfiguratus in Manna, sit tibi nunc manifestatus in gratia. — Corpus Sacerdote dispensante, tantum est in exiguo, quantum esse constat in toto: Quod cum Ecclesia Fidelium sumit, sicut plenum in universis, ita integrum esse probatur in singulis. — Nec dubitet quisquam primarias creaturas, nutu potentiae, praesentia Majestatis in Dominici Corporis transire posse naturam, cum ipsum hominem videat artificio caelestis misericordiae Christi Corpus effectum. Sicut autem quicumque ad fidem veniens, ante verba Baptismi adhuc in vinculo est veteris debiti, his vero commemoratis mox exuitur omni faece peccati: Ita quando benedicendae verbis caelestibus creaturae sacris altaribus imponuntur, substantia illis est panis & vini; post verba autem Christi Corpus & Sanguis est Christi. Quid mirum autem est, si ea quae verbo creare potuit, possit creata convertere? Imo jam minoris videtur esse miraculi, si id quod ex nihilo legitur condidisse, jam conditum in melius mutare valeat.*

Et Homil. in Ramis Palmarum. Ecce Sacerdos in æternum secundum ordinem Melchizedech, panem & vinum virtute ineffabili in sui Corporis & Sanguinis substantiam convertit. Sicut enim tunc & vivebat & loquebatur, & tamen a Discipulis comedebatur & bibebatur: ita & modo integer & incorruptus manet, & a Fidelibus suis in panis & vini Sacramento quotidie bibitur & manducatur. Nisi enim panis & vinum in ejus Carnem & Sanguinem verterentur, nunquam ipse corporaliter manducaretur & biberetur. Mutantur enim ista in illa; comeduntur & bibuntur illa in istis: quod qualiter fiat, ipse solus novit, qui omnia potest, & omnia novit. Dixit enim tunc per se, dicit & modo per suos Ministros, Hoc est Corpus meum: & tanta est ejus verbi virtus & efficacia, ut statim fiat quod dicitur. Similiter autem dum dicit, Hic est Sanguis meus, mox in ejus Sanguinem vinum convertitur. Hic, inquit, est Sanguis meus qui fundendus est, non alius iste, & alius ille, sed unus idemque & iste & ille. Cras igitur fundetur ex hoc meo latere, quem vos modo bibitis, & videtis in Calice.

out of this my Side, the Blood which you now drink and see in the Cup.

And in his Homily in Ramis Palmarum. Behold, the Priest for ever according to the Order of Melchisedech, by an inexpressible Power converts the Bread and Wine into the Substance of his own Body and Blood. For as then he was alive and spoke, and yet was eaten and drunk by his Disciples; so also now he remains entire and uncorrupt, and is daily eaten and drunk by his Faithful in the Sacrament of Bread and Wine. For if the Bread and Wine were not converted into his Flesh and Blood, he would never be eaten and drunk corporally. For those things are chang'd into these; and these are eaten and drunk in them: but how this is done, he only knows, who is able to do all things, and knows all things. He said heretofore in his own Person, and now he says by his Ministers, *This is my Body*: And so great is the Virtue and Efficacy of that Word, that what is said, is forthwith done. And likewise when he says, *This is my Blood*, immediately the Wine is converted into his Blood. This, says he, is my Blood; which shall be shed: this is not one Blood, and that another; but both that and this is one and the same. To morrow therefore shall be pour'd

St. Isidore Hispalens. l. 1. de Offic. Eccles. c. 15. treating of the Mass, says thus. The Order of the Mass, or Prayers by which the Sacrifices offer'd to God are consecrated, was first instituted by St. Peter, and it is celebrated in the same manner all over the World.—*The second Prayer is said for those that offer, or else for the Faithful departed, that by the same Sacrifice they may obtain Pardon. And chap. 18. The Sacrifice, says he, which Christians offer to God, Christ our Lord and Master did first institute, when he gave his Body and Blood to his Apostles.—And this Sacrament was first offer'd figuratively by Melchizedech King of Salem, as a Type of the Body and Blood of Christ; and he was the first that in a Figure express'd the Mystery of so great a Sacrifice, representing to us the Likeness of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, our Eternal Priest, to whom it is said, Thou art a Priest for all eternity of the Order of Melchizedech. Christians therefore are commanded to celebrate this Sacrifice.*

S. Isidorus Hispalensis l. 1. de Offic. Eccles. c. 15. de Missa agens, ait. Ordo Missæ vel Orationum quibus oblata Deo Sacrificia consecrantur, primum a S. Petro est institutus: cujus celebrationem uno eodemque modo universus peragit orbis.—Secunda oratio funditur pro offerentibus sive pro Defunctis Fidelibus, ut per idem Sacrificium veniam consequantur. Et c. 18. Sacrificium, inquit, quod a Christianis Deo offertur, primum Christus Dominus noster & Magister instituit, quando commendavit Apostolis Corpus & Sanguinem suum.—Quod quidem Sacramentum Melchisedech Rex Salem figuraliter in typum Corporis & Sanguinis Christi primus obtulit, primusque Mysterium tanti sacrificii imaginarie idem expressit; præferens similitudinem Domini & Salvatoris nostri Jesu Christi Sacerdotis æterni, ad quem dicitur, Tu es Sacerdos in æternum secundum ordinem Melchisedech. Hoc ergo Sacrificium Christianis celebrare præceptum est.



## Of the Iconoclasts.

THE Jews, Marcionits, Manichees, and Theopascbits, had always shew'd themselves profess'd Enemies of Holy Images, Sept. Syn. Act. 1. 5. and had been industrious for the suppressing them among Christians. But in the Year 723. the Jews with an unusual Fury declar'd War against them, and by the means of Sarantapechys Joan. Mon. in Sept. Syn. their Captain (a famous Cheat) perswaded Jexidus the Caleph of the Arabians to throw down all the Images of Christ and his Saints in all the Churches of the Christians within his Dominions; and altho' by the sudden Death of Jexidus, and the Succession of his Son Ulidus, all things were again restor'd into their former state, yet the Sacred Images remain'd but a short time unviolated. For Constantinus Bishop of Nacolia in Phrygia having been expell'd his Diocese for his Impiety, being now in the Favour of the Emperour Leo Isaurus, highly incens'd him against the use of Images, and with the concurring Endeavours of the Jews, perswaded him to issue forth an Edict, An. 726. for the abolishing all Images. This met with great opposition, and several upon this account were put to death; among the rest, Twelve Professors of the Imperial College, with their Rector, were burnt alive. He publish'd another Decree of the like tenor, in the Year 730. and violently pull'd Germanus the Patriarch of Constantinople out of his Seat, for refusing to subscribe and approve it. At this time Gregory II. Bishop of Rome, Adrian. Ep. 1. ad Car. M. in a Synod held there, condemn'd Leo Isaurus for a Promoter of this new Heresie of Iconoclasts, and a Persecutor of Catholics, and Violator of the receiv'd Doctrin of the Church. And about the same time St. John Damascen, being then in great repute in the Court of the Caleph of the Saracens, and his Prime Minister of State, and Prefect of Damascus, very vigorously oppos'd this growing Heresie, sending several Epistles into the Provinces of the Empire, with many Learned Orations, in behalf of the Catholick Doctrin, and the Veneration due to Holy Images. Two Years after, another Synod assembled at Rome, of Ninety three Bishops, under Gregory III. and the Clergy being present, as likewise the Senate and People, *Anathema* was pronounc'd against Image-breakers, their Assistants and

and Abettors, and a *Legate* directed to the Emperor; but this had no Effect upon him, he continuing still as outrageous as ever, and so to his Death. After him succeeded his Son *Constantinus Copronymus*, not only in the Empire, but also in his Fathers Impiety; in his first Year publishing an Edict, That no Apostle or Martyr should be call'd *Saint*; that no Respect should be given to their *Reliques*, but that they should be spit on and condemn'd; that none should desire the Prayers of the *Saints*, nor call the Mother of our Redeemer *Virgin*. And that he might have the better colour, and proceed with more Authority in his Endeavours for the utter Overthrow of *Images*, he summon'd a Council of such Bishops, at *Constantinople*, in the Year 754. whom he knew fit for the promoting his Design. But for the Bishop of *Rome*, the Patriarchs of *Alexandria*, *Antioch*, and *Jerusalem*, or any other *Catholick* Prelate, being not for his turn, they were neither invited nor consulted. Having got therefore together Three hundred and thirty eight Bishops, the Procedure against *Images* was confirm'd, and all those declar'd *Accursed*, who shew'd them any Respect; and by Name *Germanus* Patriarch of *Constantinople*, *George* Bishop of *Cyprus*, and *John Damascen*. And upon this Declaration of the Synod, the Emperor made now more violent Assaults upon *Images* and their Favourers, and upon this score put many Noblemen, Soldiers, and Religious Men to most cruel Torments and Death, committed to the Flames the Works of the Fathers, and Reliques of Saints, expos'd Religious Men and Women to the Contempt of the Rabble, plunder'd their Houses, and afterwards deliver'd them to the cruel usage of the barbarous Soldiers. The Orthodox Prelates did not sit still, and see all these Outrages committed in silence; and therefore at this time the Patriarchs of *Alexandria*, *Antioch*, and *Jerusalem*, assembled with other Bishops at a Synod at *Jerusalem*, and condemn'd this Heresie of the *Iconoclasts*, and sent a *Synodical Epistle*, with an Account of what they had done, to the Bishop of *Rome*. The like was done in the Year 769. at *Rome*, in a Council held in the *Lateran*, under Pope *Stephen III.* and the late Synod of the *Iconoclasts* at *Constantinople* declar'd void. In the Year 775. *Constantinus Copronymus* died, and his Son *Leo* succeeding, in the beginning dissembled his Aversion to *Images*; but soon after express'd it sufficiently, in the casting off his Empress *Irene*, and

Ad. S.  
Steph. jun.

Theoph. an.  
Adren.

Ad. I. Ep.  
de In. ad  
Car. M.  
Anast. Bib.  
in Vit.  
Steph. 3.

Theophan.  
Cedren.

and punishing severely some of his Principal Courtiers, for giving Respect to Images. In the Year of Christ 784. being the Fourth of *Constantinus* and *Irene* his Mother, an Epistle was sent by *Tarasius* Patriarch of *Constantinople*, and others by the Emperor and his Mother, to *Adrian* I. Bishop of *Rome*, desiring him that he would either come in Person, or else send his Legates to preside in a Council to be held at *Constantinople*. *Adrian* <sup>In preamb. ad Sept. Syn.</sup> return'd his Answers, and the next Year directed thither two Legates, to preside in his name; the other Patriarchs sent likewise their Legates; and in the Year 786. they met in the Church of the Holy Apostles: But the Iconoclast Soldiers rising in Sedition, occasion'd their Sitting to be put off till the next Year; when being by Order assembled at *Nice* in *Bithynia*, to the number of Three hundred and fifty Bishops, besides many Learned Abbats, and other Religious Men, and several of the Nobility, 'twas decreed, *That the Images of Christ and his Saints were to be plac'd and retain'd in Churches, &c. and that an honorary Adoration (or Respect) was to be given to the said Images, like as is given to Chalice, and to the Books of the Holy Gospels; but not Latria, which, as true Faith teaches, is due only to God.* This, with several other things touching the Discipline and Government of the Church, was resolv'd on and subscrib'd by this Great Synod, commonly call'd the *Second Nicene Council*, or the *Seventh General Synod*. But in the Year 794. another Council of Three hundred Bishops being assembl'd at *Frankford*, and it being represented to them, that the late Council of *Nice* had determin'd, *That the Worship due to God, was to be given to Images,* being mistaken in the Matter of Fact, they condemn'd the said Council of *Nice*, and all such *Image-worship*, as *Idolatrous*. The Occasion of this Mistake was the unskilful or malicious Translation of the Sentence of *Constantinus* Bishop of *Constantia* in *Cyprus*: For whereas the sense of his Words in Greek was this, *Suscipio & honorarie amplector sanctas & venerabiles Imagines. Et adorationem secundum Latriam soli supersubstantiali & vivificæ Trinitati impendo.* I receive and with honour embrace holy and venerable Images. And the Adoration of *Latria* I give only to the *Supersubstantial and Life-giving Trinity*. The Translator had render'd it thus; *Suscipio & amplector honorabiliter sanctas & venerandas Imagines secundum servitium adorationis, quod consubstantiali & vivificatrici Trinitati emitto.* I receive and reverently embrace Holy

*Images, and give them that Worship which is due to the Holy Trinity. And looking upon this mistaken Profession of Constantinus, to have been the Sense and Decree of the whole Synod; and moreover being inform'd, that it had never been approv'd by the Bishop of Rome, to whom the Fathers of Frankford acknowledg'd the last Judgment of Controversies to belong: They gave Sentence of Condemnation against it; not condemning the plain and open Definition of the Council of Nice, but only Quod scriptum habebatur (as the Canon of Frankford has it) For that 'twas found written in the Acts of that Council, that the Worship due unto God, was to be given to Images. Upon this Sentence, the Council of Nice was esteem'd in these Northern Parts no more than a National Synod, and as such reckon'd by their Historians of that time. But however, 'tis certain, the Popes Legates contradicted and rejected this Condemnation of the Frankford Fathers, there presiding in the Council, as is confess'd by the Magdeburgenses; and the Pope himself oppos'd it; and that after the whole Business of these two Councils had been thorowly look'd into, that of Nice was accepted as a true and lawful General Council, and its Doctrine receiv'd as Catholick by all the Provinces of Christendom; and that Canon of Frankford thrown by, as groundless, and built on nothing but a Mistake in matter of Fact. And upon the whole,*

*'Tis evident, the Second Council of Nice did not make any Innovation in Matter of Faith, in point of giving Respect to Holy Images:*

*But that the making of Holy Images, the esteeming them a Help to Christian Piety, and worthy of Respect, was found among Christians long before this Council, even in the Primitive Times.*

Sozomenus l. 5. Hist. Eccles. c. 21. relates, *That in Cæsarea in Palestine there was a famous Image of Christ, which had been set up in honour of him, by the Woman in the Gospel, who had been heal'd of*

Sozomenus l. 5. Hist. Eccles. c. 21. refert, *Cæsareæ in Palestina insignem fuisse Imaginem Christi, erectam olim a muliere hæmorrhœissâ in grati animi argumentum.*

De

De qua certior factus Julianus Apostata in orientem veniens, iussit illam deturbari, suamque in locum ejus reponi; sed confestim divina in vindicando potentia sese manifestante, ignis violentus de cœlo delapsus statuam Juliani circa pectus percussit, caputque in terram dejecit. Ita refertur a D. Hicks in suo *Joviano*, cap. 5. pag. 147.

Sozomenus ibidem addit, Cum illam Christi statuam Gentiles ignominiose tractam confregissent, Christianos frustra ejus collegisse, & in Ecclesia reposuisse, ubi sua adhuc ætate asservari testatur.

Euseb. Cæsariensis, lib. 7. cap. 18. Hujus imaginis meminit, & sua adhuc ætate extitisse asserit; & quod ad ejus pedes in ipsa basi, ignota quædam nascebatur planta, quæ ad firmam usque aeneæ diploidis asurgens, ad depellendos omnis generis morbos præsentissimum remedium erat.

Eusebius l. 1. de Vita Constant. c. 28. refert, Constantino Imp. horis meridianis, Sole in occasum vergente, Crucis trophæum in Cælo ex luce conflatum, Soli superpositum visum fuisse cum hujusmodi inscriptione, HAC VINCE. Hocque ab ipso Constantino acceperat.

her Isse of Blood. Which when Julian the Apostate, coming into the East, had heard of, he commanded it to be taken down, and his own to be set up in stead of it; but it had not been long up, before Fire from Heaven smote off the Head, shatter'd all the Breast, and ting'd all the rest of the Body with sulphurous Smoke. Thus 'tis related by Dr. Hicks in his *Jovian*, cap. 5. pag. 147.

Sozomenus ib. adds, That when the Heathens, by the command of Julian, had profanely abus'd this Image of Christ, and broken it in pieces, the Christians gather'd up the Pieces of it, and repositèd them in their Church; which (he says) were so preserv'd in his time.

Eusebius Cæsariensis, lib. 7. c. 18. makes mention of this Image, and says, 'twas to be seen in his time: And that a certain strange Herb grew at the Feet of it upon the Pedestal, which, when it was high enough to touch the Hem of the Garment, forthwith became an infallible Remedy against all Diseases.

Eusebius l. 1. de Vita Constantini, c. 28. says, That the triumphant Sign of the Cross, fram'd of a bright Light, was seen by the Emperor Constantine in the Heavens, a little after Noon, plac'd over the Sun, with this Inscription, OVERCOME WITH THIS. This he relates, as having heard it

from the mouth of Constantine himself. And that Constantine being full of anxiety by reason of what he had seen, Christ appear'd to him with the like Sign of the Cross, and commanded him to make a Military Ensign fram'd like that which had appear'd to him in the Heavens, and to carry it with him in all his Expeditions, as his secure Defence. The Emperor forthwith obey'd, and order'd a Figure of the Cross to be made of Gold, and richly to be set with Jewels: And then a Standard with the Cross fairly describ'd on it, which was call'd the Labarus. And this Ensign of Protection (says Euseb. ib. c. 31.) the Emperor always us'd as his Defence against all his most powerful Enemies; and commanded other Ensigns, made in the Form of this, to be carried before all his Armies.

And having return'd victorious over the Tyrant Maxentius, he proclaim'd every where this his Ensign of Defence, both by the Voice of Heralds and fair Inscriptions, and erected this Standard in the middle of the Royal City, in defiance to his Enemies, engraving this Saving Ensign, which is the Protection of the Roman Empire, and of the whole World, with Characters never to be effaced. And he commanded his own Statue to be set up in the Chief Place of the City, with a stately Spear

Eidem Imperatori de ostento illo anxie cogitanti Christus apparuit, cum signo illo quod in cœlo ostensus fuerat; præcepitque ut militari signo, ad similitudinem ejus quod in cœlo viderat, fabricato, eo tanquam salutari præsidio in præliis uteretur. Quod statim præstitit, & Crucis effigiem ex auro & gemmis pretiosissimis elaborari jussit. Tum vexillum Crucis instar effectum, cui Labari nomen impositum est. Et hoc salutari signo, inquit Eusebius, tanquam munimento adversus appostas quorumvis hostium copias Imperator semper est usus; aliaque ad ejus similitudinem expressa signa cunctis exercitibus præferri jussit.

Et reportata de Maxentio Tyranno victoria, clara voce ac titulorum inscriptionibus salutare signum cunctis hominibus annuntiavit: hoc trophæo in medio urbis Regiæ adversus hostes erecto, atque hoc salutari signo, quod Imperii Romani, ac totius orbis præsidium est, literarum notis nunquam interituris inciso. Statim ergo sublimem hastam in modum Crucis, sub manum statuæ suæ in celeberrima urbis loco poni jussit, & hujusmodi inscriptionem Latino sermone subjici. Hoc salutari



*lutari signo, quod vera virtutis argumentum est, vestram Urbem Tyrannicæ dominationis iugo liberatam servavimus. Senatui populoque Romano in libertatem asserto pristinum decus nobilitatis, splendoremque restitui. Ib. c. 40.*

Hoc eodem Signo salutari munitus Magnus Constantinus Licinium fudit, vicitque, ut Eusebius testatur l. 2. de Vita Constantini, c. 6, 7, 8, 9. Certe, inquit, ubicunque hoc signum conspectum fuerat, continuo fuga hostium, victoribus terga illorum prementibus, sequebatur. Quo comperto, Imperator sicubi agmen aliquod exercitus sui premi animadverteret, illico salutare trophæum, tanquam efficacissimum quoddam ad parandam victoriam amuletum, inferri jubebat. Quo facto statim victoria sequebatur; divina utique virtute animos viresque pugnantium confirman-  
te.

Et eodem libro, c. 9. Eusebius refert, Quod cum forte inter pugnandum ingens strepitus exercitum conturbasset: is qui hoc signum humeris ferebat metu perculsus alteri gestandum tradidit, ut ipse discrimen evaderet. Vix aliter ille signum gustandum susceperat,

made in the form of a Cross in the Hand of it, with this Inscription in Latin under it. With this Protecting Sign, the Argument of true Valour, I have preserv'd your City from Tyranny, and restor'd the Senate and People of Rome, now made free, to their ancient Glory and Splendor. *Ib. c. 40.*

In virtue of the same Sign of the Cross Constantine vanquish'd Licinius, as the same Eusebius relates, l. 2. de Vita Constantini, c. 6, 7, 8, 9. Wheresoever, says he, this Sign came in sight, immediately the Enemies turn'd their Backs, and were closely pursu'd by the Victors. Which when the Emperor had observ'd, he always commanded this Guardian-Standard to be carried to that part of his Army, where the Enemy press'd hardest, as a most efficacious Means for the obtaining the Victory; for Victory certainly follow'd it, a Divine Power still strengthening the Force and Courage of the Soldiers.

And in the same Book, c. 9. Eusebius relates, how a sudden confusion'd Outcry putting the Army into disorder, while they were fighting, he that bore this sacred Standard, full of fear, deliver'd it to be born by another, that he might the better provide for himself. He had no sooner left his Charge, when he fell at the Foot of it struck dead with

*a Dart.* But the Saving Trophy of the Cross kept him harmless that carried it: For when the Darts were thrown against him, they all struck into the Staff of the Standard, while the Bearer stood safe without a Wound. That was a Sight above all Miracle, to see all the Darts of the Enemies to meet upon the narrow Compass of the Spear, and while they all stood crowded there, that the Standard-bearer should be without harm; so that they who had this Charge were never wounded by the Enemy.

*And c. 16. he says,* That when *Licinius* understood, there was a certain hidden and Divine Power in that Sacred Standard, by the Assistance of which *Constantine's* Army us'd to be victorious, he order'd his Soldiers to decline that part of the Army in which this was carried, and to beware of unadvisedly casting their Eyes upon it: For that that Wood was of incredible Vertue, and particularly adverse to him.—And the Army of *Licinius* trusting in the multitude of their Gods, march'd on with their innumerable Forces, carrying with them Statues of I know not what dead Men for their defence. But *Constantine* guarded with the Shield of Piety, op-

cum ecce is qui se subripiens, custodiam signi deseruerat, telo in ventre percussus occubuit. *At salutare Crucis trophæum, ei qui ipsum sublime gestabat incolumitatem præstitit. In quem cum tela undique jacerentur, signifer quidem ipse saluus evasit: hasta vero salutaris trophæi missilia excepit. Erat id spectaculum omni miraculo majus, cum cuncta hostium tela in brevissimum illum hastæ ambitum caderent. Quæ cum in hastam impacta defigerentur, signifer servabatur incolumis: adeo ut nullo unquam jaculo ferirentur, quicunque hoc ministerium obibant.*

Et cap. 16. refert, *Quod cum didicisset Licinius arcanam quandam ac divinam potentiam in salutari trophæo inesse, cujus ope Constantini exercitus victoriam referre consuevisset; milites suos admonuit, ne ex adverso illi congredierentur, neve in illud temere ac fortuito oculos conjicerent. Quippe illud lignum incredibili vi pollere, ac sibi privatim infestum adversumque esse aiebat.— Et Liciniani quidem Deorum multitudine confisi, cum ingentibus copiis progrediebantur, nescio quæ mortuorum simulachra & statuas inanimes, præsidii causa circumferentes. Constantinus vero pietatis lorica contextus, salutare & vivificum Crucis signum, velut terricula-*  
mentum

*mentum quoddam, & potentissimum ad depellenda mala munimentum, hostium multitudini objecit.*

Eodem sæculo Crucis signum divinitus in cælo formatum & ostensum est Hierosolymis super Golgotham & usque ad montem Olivarum; tota urbe ad spectaculum effusa. Tunc præerat Hierosolymitanæ Ecclesiæ Sacris S. Cyrillus, qui de cælesti illo ostento epistolam scripsit ad Constantium Imperatorem, quæ inter ejus opera etiamnum legitur. *Socrates idem refert, l. 4. c. 5.*

Tertullianus l. de Corona Militis, c. 3. *Ad omnem, inquit, progressum & promotum; ad omnem aditum & exitum; ad vestitum, calceatum, ad lavacra, ad mensas, ad lumina, ad cubilia, ad sedilia: quæcumque nos conversatio exercet, frontem Crucis signaculo terimus.*

Theodoretus l. 3. c. 3. 1. Inveft. refert, quomodo Julianus cum ingrederetur in curiam delubri adyta, perterritus apparentibus terribili specie dæmonibus, horrendo fragore, teterrimisque odoribus, frontem signo Crucis munivit dæmonesque fugavit. *D. Hicks Jovian, c. 6. p. 144.*

pos'd against the multitude of his Enemies the Saving and Life-giving Sign of the Cross, as a certain Terror, and most powerful Bulwark for the repelling all Mischief.

*In the same Century the Sign of the Cross, form'd by a Divine Hand, was seen by the whole City of Jerusalem, in the Sky, over Golgotha and Mount Olivet; St. Cyril being then Bishop of Jerusalem, who writ a Relation of this to Constantius the Emperor, as may be seen in his Works. Socrates likewise recounts this Miracle, l. 4. c. 5.*

*Tertullian l. de Corona Militis, c. 3.* At every Journey and Motion, at our coming in and going out, when we put on our Clothes and Shoes, when we wash, sit down to Table, light Candles, go to Bed, sit down, or whatsoever Employment we are about, we make the Sign of the Cross on our Forehead.

*Theodoret l. 3. c. 3. 1. Inveft. relates, how Julian going into an Idols Temple, and being frighted with the Apparition of the Devil, and the terrible Noises, and filthy Odors he met with there, sign'd himself with the Sign of the Cross, upon which the Devil vanisht.* *Dr. Hicks Jovian, c. 6. p. 144.*

*Ter-*

*Tertullian l. de Pudicitia, c. 10. proves the Use of Images to have been esteem'd lawful among the Primitive Christians, where he declaims against the Catholicks for admitting Adulterers into their Communion again, as soon as they had perform'd their Penance; and for maintaining this their Discipline by the Example of the Good Shepherd, who having recover'd his lost Sheep, carries it on his Shoulders back to the Fold; whose Image was represented on the Chalices.*

*St. Gregory Nyssen in Orat. de Filii & Sp. S. Divin. where speaking of Abraham offering his Son Isaac in Sacrifice, he says; I have often seen this Passage represented in a Picture, neither could I pass by it without weeping; the Painter had drawn the whole Matter so much to the Life.*

*And in his Oration upon St. Theodorus Martyr: And if any one comes to a Place like this, where we now assemble, in which the Sepulcher of the Martyr, and his Holy Reliques are preserv'd; he is first delighted with the Magnificence of the things before him, beholding the Temple and House of God, noble for its Structure, and admirable for the variety of Ornaments with which it is set forth. There the Hand of the Workman has shap'd Wood into Living Crea-*

*Tertul. l. de Pudicitia, c. 10. probat Imaginum usum apud Christianos jam olim ut licitum habitum fuisse: ubi contra Catholicos declamat, quod Mœchis penitentia functis veniam & Communionem relaxarent, & disciplinam illam suam boni Pastoris approbarent exemplo, qui amissam ovem humeris ad ovile reportat, cujus effigiem in Calicibus depingebant.*

*S. Gregorius Nyssenus in Oratione de Filii & Sp. S. Divinitate, ubi Isaaci ab Abrahamo in Sacrificium oblato mentionem faciens ait; Saepenumero miserabilis hujus rei imaginem in pictura vidi, nec absque lachrymis spectaculum praterii: adeo perspicue atque evidenter ars pingendi oculis rem gestam subjecit.*

*Et Orat. in Theodorum Martyrem: Quod si quis venerit ad aliquem locum similem huic, ubi hodie noster conventus habetur, ubi memoria justî, sanctæque reliquiæ sunt; primum quidem earum rerum magnificentia quas videt oblectatur, dum ædem ac templum Dei, & magnitudine structuræ, & adjuncti ornatus decore splendide elaboratum intuetur; ubi & faber in animalium figuram lignum formavit, & lathomus ad argenti levitatem crustas lapideas expolivit;*

poliuit; induxit autem etiam Pictor flores artis in imagine depictos, fortia facta Martyris, repugnantias, cruciatus, effera-  
tas & immanes tyrannorum formas, impetus violentos, flammæ illum fornacem, beatissimam consummationem Athletæ, certaminum præsidis Christi humanæ formæ effigiem, omnia in nobis, tanquam in libro quodam, qui linguarum interpretationes contineat, coloribus artificiose depingens, certamina atque labores Martyris nobis expressit, ac tanquam pratum amænum & floridum, templum exornavit.—  
Solet enim Pictura tacens in pariete loqui, maximeque prodesse.

S. Chrysostomus in Oratione, Quod Christus sit Deus; ubi de Crucis signo sermonem habens, sic ait; Hoc mortis genus Diademate quovis gloriosius est. Nam Reges positis Diadematis Crucem suscipiunt, mortis ejus symbolum. In purpuris Crux, in diadematis Crux, in precibus Crux, in armis Crux, & in mensa sacra Crux, & in toto orbe Crux, & supra Solem fulget Crux.

Et infra. Neque enim sic Regiâ coronâ ornatur caput, ut Cruce, quæ omni cultu dignior, & quam omnes prius abhorre-

tures, and polish'd rough Stones into a Silver Glass; there the Painter has represented to the Life the Prowels of the Martyr, his Conflict and Torments, the angry Shapes of the cruel Persecutors, their furious Attempts, the Fiery Furnace, the glorious Exit of the Martyr, and the Image of Christ in a Human Shape overlooking the Combat; all this has he laid before us in Colours, as plain as if explicated to us in a Book, the Particulars of all the Martyr suffer'd, and made the Temple as pleasant, as a beautiful and flourishing Meadow.—For a silent Picture speaks from the Wall, and is of very great benefit to the Beholders.

St. Chrysostom in Orat. Quod Christus sit Deus; where speaking of the Sign of the Cross, he says; This kind of Death is more honourable than any Crown. For Kings laying down their Diadems, take the Cross, the Symbol of his Death. They have a Cross on their Robes, a Cross on their Crowns, a Cross at their Prayers, a Cross upon their Arms, a Cross upon the Altar; the Cross is in every Part of the World, and is more glorious than the Sun.

And again: A Prince's Head is more honour'd with a Cross, than with a Crown; no Ornament is to be compar'd with the Cross;

Cross; and that which was a Reproach before, is now in its Image desir'd by all. 'Tis therefore every where to be found, with Princes and Subjects, among Women and Men, Virgins and Wives, Slaves and Free: all therefore sign themselves with it, forming it upon the most noble part of their Bodies; for we daily make the Sign of the Cross upon our Foreheads, as it were on a Pillar. A Cross is us'd upon the Holy Table, in the Ordination of Priests, and when the Body of Christ is given in the Mystical Supper. This we may see solemnly us'd in Houses, in the Market, in the Desert, in the Ways, on Mountains and Hills, in Valleys, at Sea, in Ships, in Islands, on Clothes, on Arms, on Beds, on Gold and Silver Vessels, in Pictures, upon the diseas'd Bodies of Cattel, and of those who are possess'd with the Devil, in War and Peace, by Day and Night, amidst the Recreation of Courtiers, in the Cloisters of Monks. All are so industrious to lay hold on Bounty.

*And in the same Book.* Why therefore should the whole World be so earnest to procure that Wood, on which the Holy Body of our Lord was nail'd and crucified, that whoever get

bant, ejus figuram tantopere querunt: atque adeo ubique ea invenitur, apud Principes, apud subditos, apud mulieres, apud viros, apud virgines, apud nuptias, apud servos, apud liberos, subinde omnes ea se signant, insculpendo eam in nobilissima membrorum nostrorum parte: in fronte enim nostra quasi in columna quotidie figuratur. Hæc in sacra Mensa, hæc in Sacerdotum Ordinationibus, hæc iterum cum Corpore Christi in Mystica Cæna fulget. Hanc ubique celebrari videre licet, in domibus, in foro, in solitudine, in viis, in montibus, in collibus, in vallibus, in mari, in navigiis, in insulis, in vestibus, in armis, in thalamis, in vasis argenteis & aureis, in murorum picturis, in corporibus jumentorum male affectorum, aut eorum qui a dæmoniis vexantur, in bellis, in pace, diebus ac noctibus, in delicatiorum choreis, in Monachorum Ordinibus. Adeo certatim donum hoc mirabile rapiunt omnes.

this Pledge of the Divine

Et eodem libro. Ipsum ergo lignum, in quo positum sanctum Corpus Domini & crucifixum, quarenam habere totus orbis ita contendit, ut qui parvum quiddam ex illo habent, hoc auro includunt,



*cludunt, tam viri quam mulieres, & de collo suo suspendunt, hinc valde ornati, licet damnationis signum fuerit lignum istud? Sed qui omnia operatur, & immutat, qui orbem terrarum, a tanta pravitate transtulit, & terram cælum fecit, etiam rem hanc infamiae plenam, & turpissimam omnium mortem, supra cælos evehit.*

the most ignominious of all Heavens.

Et Oratione de Cruce & Latrone. *Cruce*, inquit, prius condemnationis & supplicii nomen erat: at nunc res est Venerabilis & desiderabilis.

Heraclides Nyssenus Episcopus, duas Epistolas contra Messalianos scripsit, in quarum altera, ut ex Photio discimus, (Biblioth. Cod. 51.) sententia inerat de venerandarum Imaginum antiquitate.

S. Asterius Amasæ Episc. in Sermonem de S. Euphemia Virgine & Martyre. *Pictor* vero, inquit, etiam ipse religiose per artem suam totam pro viribus in linteis designatam historiam ibidem juxta thecam sacram appendit, ac proposuit spectaculum.

Et in eadem Oratione, adorationem Crucis lege Christianis indictam docet. *Virgo*, inquit, veneranda in pullis ve-

but a bit of it, immediately set it in Gold; whether Men or Women, and hang it about their Necks, looking upon themselves now fine enough, tho' twas nothing but a Sign of Reproach? But he that operates, and changes all things, he that has purg'd the World of its so great Wickedness, and turn'd the Earth into a Heaven, has likewise rais'd this infamous Wood, and Deaths, to a Glory above the

And in his Oration de Cruce & Latrone. The Cross, says he, was before a Term of Ignominy and Punishment; but now 'tis a thing venerable and desirable.

Heraclides Episc. Nyss. writ two Letters against the Messalian; in one of which, says Photius, (Biblioth. Cod. 51.) he prov'd the ancient use of Holy Images.

St. Asterius Amasæ Episc. in Sermonem de S. Euphemia Virgine and Martyr. And the Painter, says he, with great Devotion and Art, drew out the whole History upon a Cloth, and hung it near the holy Shrine, to be view'd by all Comers.

And in the same Oration he says, that Christians are by Law commanded to venerate the Cross. The Virgin, says he, sits alone in mourn-

mourning Weeds, calling upon God the only Comfort in Affliction, with her Hands stretch'd out to Heaven. And while she prays, that Sign appears over her Head, which in obedience to their Law Christians adore, and make upon their Foreheads.

St. John Chrysostom, in his Oration upon St. Meletius, commends the Christians of Antioch, for engraving his holy Image upon their Rings, on their Cups and Glasses, and every where on the Walls of their Chambers.

St. Jerom in cap. 4. Jonæ. And upon the Bellies of those Vessels, says he, which are commonly call'd *Saucomaries*, the Images of the Apostles are generally painted. Which he there proves was done out of the veneration and love they had for the Apostles.

And in his seventeenth Epistle to Marcella. And shall I ever see that day, when we shall go into that Rock where our Saviour lay, and weep with our Sister, weep with our Mother, and then kiss the Wood of the Cross, &c. And in the Epitaph of Paula, She ador'd, says he, prostrate on the Ground before the Cross, as if she saw before her our Lord hanging on it.

St. Ambrose Ep. 53. Where speaking of the holy Martyrs St. Gerva-

sibus sola sedet, manibus in eolum extensis, Deum in malis adiutorem invocans. Huic porro precanti supra caput apparet signum, quod ex præscripto legis Christiani adorant, & inscribunt sibi.

S. Chrysostomus in Oratione in S. Meletium, Antiochenos Christianos laudat, quod sanctam ejus Imaginem & in annulorum circulis, & in calicibus & phialis, & in thalamorum parietibus ubique exaraverint.

S. Hieronymus in cap. 4. Jonæ. Et revera, inquit, in ipsis cucurbitis vasculorum, quas vulgo *Saucomarias* vocant, solent Apostolorum imagines adumbrari. Quod ex veneratione & amore adversus Apostolos ortum, eorum imagines in pretio apud Christianos fuisse probat.

Et in Ep. 17. ad Marcellam. Ergone, inquit, erit illa dies, quando nobis liceat speluncam Salvatoris intrare, in Sepulchro Domini flere cum sorore, flere cum matre, Crucis deinde lambere lignum, &c. Et in Epitaph. Paulæ. Prostrata, inquit, ante Crucem, quasi pendentem Dominum cerneret, adorabat.

S. Ambrosius Ep. 53. Ubi de SS. Gervasio & Protasio, sibi

fibi per visum oblati loquitur; *Cum quadam mihi, inquit, tertia apparuerunt persona quæ similis esse Beato Paulo Apostolo videbatur; cujus me vulgum pictura docuerat.*

S. Augustinus l. 1. de Consensu Evangelistarum, c. 10. Ubi de quibusdam Ethnicis loquens, qui libros nescio quos a Christo scriptos ad Apostolos Petrum & Paulum comminiscabantur, ait; *Cum enim vellent tale aliquid fingere, Christum scripsisse ad Discipulos suos, cogitaverunt ad quos potissimum scribere potuisse, facile crederetur, tanquam ad illos qui ei familiarius adhaesissent, quibus illud quasi secretum digne committeretur: & occurrat eis Petrus & Paulus. Credo quod pluribus locis simul eos cum illo pictos viderunt: quia merita Petri & Pauli etiam propter eundem passionis diem, celebrius, & solemniter Roma commendat.*

Et lib. 22. contra Faustum, c. 73. Abrahami filium suum in Sacrificium offerentis picturam multis in locis conspici ait. Tandem Sermone 94. de diversis, meminit imaginis pictæ; in qua Martyrium S. Stephani expressum erat. *Dulcissima, inquit, pictura est hæc, ubi videtis S. Stephanum lapidari, videtis Saulum lapidantium vestimenta servantem.*

*fius and Protasius appearing to him, he says; A third Person was in their Company, who seem'd to be the Holy Apostle St. Paul, by his likeness to the Picture I had seen of him.*

*St. Augustin l. 1. de Consensu Evang. c. 10. Where he discourses of certain Heathens, who had publish'd Books in the Name of Christ, as written by him to the Apostles Peter and Paul. For having a mind, says he, to feign such a thing, as Christ's writing to his Disciples, they consider'd which of them were most familiar with him, and most likely to be entrusted with such a Secret: and presently they think of Peter and Paul; because, I believe, they had in many places seen Christ painted with those two Apostles by him. For at Rome the Festival of Peter and Paul is celebrated with very great Solemnity, because they suffer'd Martyrdom both on one day.*

*In his twenty second Book against Faustus, c. 73. he says, the Picture of Abraham sacrificing his Son was to be seen in many Places. And in his ninety fourth Sermon de diversis, he makes mention of the Martyrdom of St. Stephen, represented to the Life; That is an admirable Piece, says he, where you see the Jews stoning S. Stephen, and Saul holding their Garments.*

In his second Sermon upon the thirty sixth Psalm. The Croſs, ſays he, is now no longer a Punishment for Men.—'Tis made honourable now, and an end put to its Reproach, but not to its Glory. From Places of Execution, 'tis now translated to the Foreheads of Emperors. What has he reserv'd for his Servants, who has thus honour'd his Punishment? *And Tract. 3. in Joan.* If we are Christians, as by our very Name belonging to Christ, we wear his Sign on our Foreheads; whereof we are not ashamed, if we wear it on our Hearts too. His Sign is his Humility. The Wise-men found Christ by a Star, and this was a noble Sign, shew'd from Heaven for his Manifestation. And yet Christ would not have the Star to be his Sign in the Foreheads of the Faithful, but made choice of his Croſs: That so he might be now honour'd in the same, by which he was humbled. *And Tract. 118.* What is the Sign of Christ known to all, but the Croſs of Christ? Which if it be not apply'd to the Foreheads of Believers, to the Water with which they are Baptis'd, to the Chrism with which they are anointed, to the Sacrifice with which they are fed, none of these Myſteries are duly perform'd.

*St. Paulinus Ep. 12. ad Severum Sulp. ſays, That the Blessed Trinity was describ'd in Moſaick Work,*

Et in 2. Sermone in Psalmum 36. Crux, inquit, jam de pena generis humani sublata est.—*Honorata est & finita: Finita est in pena, manet in gloria. A locis suppliciorum fecit transitum ad frontes Imperatorum. Qui tantum honorem dedit penis suis, quid servat Fidelibus suis? Et Tract. 3. in Joannem. Si Christiani, inquit, utique ipso nomine ad Christum pertinentes, hujus signum in fronte gestamus, de quo non erubescimus, si & in corde gestamus. Signum ejus est humilitas ejus. Per stellam cum Magi cognoverunt: & erat hoc signum de Domino datum, caeleste atque praeclarum. Noluit stellam esse in fronte Fidelium signum suum, sed Crucem suam: unde humiliatus, inde glorificatus. Et Tract. 118. Quid est quod omnes noverunt signum Christi, nisi Crux Christi? Quod signum nisi adhibeatur sive frontibus Credentium, sive ipsi aquae ex qua regenerantur, sive oleo quo Chrismate unguuntur, sive Sacrificio quo aluntur, nihil eorum rite perficitur.*

*S. Paulinus Ep. 12. ad Severum Sulp. qua Sanctissimæ Trinitatis effigiem Musivo opere*

opere descriptam, in Basilica, quam in honorem S. Felicis Nolæ extruxerat, his versibus commendat.

*Pleno coruscat Trinitas Mysterior:*

*Stat Christus agno; vox Patris celo tonat.*

*Et per columbam Spiritus Sanctus fluit.*

*Crucem corona lucido cingit globo;*

*Cui coronæ sunt corona Apostoli,*

*Quorum figura est in columbarum choro.*

Et in Natali nono S. Felicis, refert se in Basilicæ porticibus Testamenti veteris historias pingendas curasse, ut ingens rusticorum & imperitorum turba, quæ ad celebrandum S. Felicis diem festum confluebat, pictis istis figuris, instar librorum erudiretur.

*Dum fallit pictura famem, sanctasque legenti*

*Historias, castorum operum subrepat honestas,*

*Exemplis inducta piis.*

Effigiem S. Martini curavit depingi in Ecclesia Severus Sulpitius, quæ a Paulino Veneranda dicitur.

*in that Church which he built in honour of St. Felix Bishop of Nola; which he commends in these Verses.*

The Mystic Trinity thus did they frame

To represent in Painting: Christ a Lamb;

The Heavenly Father loudly from above

Confesses him his Son: The Holy Ghost a Dove.

With circumscribing Rays the Cross is crown'd,

And all the Chosen Twelve a Dove-like Chaire around.

*And in Natali nono S. Felicis he says, he order'd all the History of the Old Testament to be painted in the Portico of the Church, that the Crowds of ignorant People coming thither to celebrate the Festival of St. Felix, might be instructed by those Pictures, as by so many Books.*

Whilst Crowds read o're the Acts with greedy Eyes,

A secret Vertue do's their Souls surprise,

By painted mighty Deeds inspir'd.

*The Picture of St. Martin was likewise set up in the Church, by order of Severus Sulpitius, which is call'd by Paulinus Holy or Venerable.*

The

The Venerable Image *Martin*  
represents.

*The same St. Paulinus sending a Particle of the Holy Cross to Severus, writes thus:* Accept a great Present in this little Gift, and be confident, that in this almost invisible Particle of Wood, you possess a Protection of your present Welfare, and a Pledge of your eternal. Let your Faith be extended beyond that little you see with your carnal Eyes, and with your Understanding contemplate the whole Vertue of the Cross in this Particle: Rejoyce with trembling, whilst you think you see that very Wood on which our Salvation hung, on which the Lord of Majesty was nail'd, when the whole World was fill'd with Confusion. *Then having made a Narration of the Invention of the Cross by Helena, he says;* 'Twas presently receiv'd with becoming Pomp, a stately Church being erected upon Mount Calvary, beautified with a gilded Roof, and enrich'd with Altars of Gold, where the Cross was kept in a close Repository. And every Year 'tis brought forth by the Bishop of that City at *Easter*, to be venerated by the People, the Bishop himself first shewing the way. Neither is this Fountain of Christian Mysteries, this Em-

*Martinum veneranda viri tē-*  
*statur Imago.*

S. Paulinus particulam de ligno Divinæ Crucis ad Severum mittens, ita scribit: *Accipite magnum in modico munus, & in segmento pene atomo hastulæ brevīs, sumite munimentum præsentis, & pignus æternæ salutis. Non angustetur fides vestra carnalibus oculis parva cernentibus: Sed interna acie totam in hoc minimo vim Crucis videat, dum videre vos cogitatis lignum illud, quo salus nostra, quo Dominus Majestatis affixus, tremante mundo, pependerit, exultetis cum tremore. Tum narrata hystoria Crucis ab Helena reportæ, exponit quanto in honore lignum illud habitum fuerit. Digno, inquit, mox ambitu consecratur, condita in passionis loco Basilica, quæ auratis cornusca laquearibus, & aureis dives altaribus, arcano positam Sacrario Crucem servat. Quam Episcopus Urbis ejus quotannis, cum Pascha Domini agitur, adorandam populo princeps ipse venerantium promittit. Neque præter hanc diem, qua Crucis ipsius Mystrium celebratur, ipsa, quæ Sacramento-rum causa est, quasi quoddam sacræ solemnitatīs insigne profertur: Nisi interdum religiosissimi postulent; qui hac tantum causa*



causa illò peregrinati adven-  
rint, ut sibi ejus revelatio in  
præmium longinquæ peregrinati-  
onis deferatur. Quod solum  
Episcopi beneficio obtineri ferunt:  
cujus & tantum munere de ea-  
dem Cruce hæc minuta sacri  
ligni ad magnam fidei & devo-  
tionis gratiam haberi datur.  
Quæ quidem Crux in materia  
insensata vim vivam tenens, ita  
ex illo tempore innumeris pene  
quotidie hominum votis lignum  
suum commodat, ut detrimenta  
non sentiat, & quasi intacta  
permaneant; Quotidie dividuam  
sumentibus, & semper totam ve-  
nerantibus. Sed istam imputri-  
bilem virtutem, & indetri-  
blem soliditatem de illius profecto  
Carnis Sanguine bibit, quæ passâ  
mortem, non vidit corruptionem.

nish'd, but remains as entire as if never touch'd. The Faithful  
every day receiving a Part of it, but always venerating the  
Whole. But this lasting Virtue, and unwasting Solidity, it  
has certainly imbib'd from the Blood of that Flesh which, suf-  
fering Death, was exempt from all Corruption.

Prudentius l. Peri-Steph.  
hym. 9. se Cassiani Marty-  
ris imaginem Romæ in ipsius  
Basilica conspexisse asserit.

Prudentius l. Peri-Steph. hym. 9.  
says, that he saw the Image of St.  
Cassianus Martyr, in the Church at  
Rome, dedicated to his Memory.

Stratus humi, tamulo advolve-  
bar, quem sacer ornat  
Martyr dicato Cassianus cor-  
pore:

Dum lacrymans mecum reputo  
mea vulnera, & omnes

Prostrate I lay before the sacred  
Tomb,  
Awful and Bless'd by Cassian's  
Martyrdom.

Revolving my unhappy Days  
with Tears,

Z

My

My Instant Troubles, and my  
Future Fears.

I rais'd my Eyes, and saw the  
Martyr stand

In all his Torments, by the  
Painter's Hand,

With all the Wounds the cruel  
Engines tore ;

Dreadful and Venerable in his  
Gore.

*And Hymn 11. he affirms the  
same of St. Hippolytus Martyr.*

*St. Cyril of Alexandria, l. 6.  
against Julian the Apostate.* But as  
for what *Julian* says, That those  
are miserable, who are so ear-  
nest for the signing themselves,  
and their Houses, with the Sign  
of the Cross; I will easily make  
it appear, that he spoke this  
wickedly and profanely, and  
out of extreme ignorance. For  
our Redeemer and Lord, tho'  
he could have shew'd himself in  
the Throne of Majesty, and in  
all things equal to his Father;  
yet he humbled himself, de-  
scending to the form of a Ser-  
vant: nay, he suffer'd on the  
Cross, condemning the Shame,  
to rebate the force of Corrupti-  
on; dying one for all, and ris-  
ing again, to free Mankind  
from the Snares of Death, to  
overthrow the Tyranny of Sin,  
which oppress'd us, to allay the  
raging Law of the Flesh in our  
Members, and make us Ado-  
rers in Spirit, &c. Now the

*Vita labores, ac dolorum aequi-  
mina.*

*Erexi ad cælum faciem, stetit  
obvia contra*

*Fucus colorum picta Imago  
Martyris,*

*Plagas mille gerens, totos lace-  
rata per artus :*

*Raptam minutis præferens  
punctis cutem.*

*Et Hym. 11. de S. Hippo-  
lyto Martyre idem asserit.*

*S. Cyril. Alexandrinus,  
lib. 6. contra Julianum. Quod  
autem, inquit, miseros esse dicit  
eos, Julianus, quibus nihil prius,  
aut antiquius est quam ut fron-  
tes ac domos omnino signo pre-  
tiosæ Crucis informet, ejus O-  
rationem nefaria mente concep-  
tam, extremam ignorationem  
sapere, nullo negotio demonstra-  
bimus. Siquidem Servator om-  
nium ac Dominus, tametsi pote-  
rat in forma Patris, eique prorsus  
æqualis conspici, & in divi-  
nitatis solio Majestatem suam  
exhibere, non rapinam arbitra-  
tus est esse se æqualem Deo, sed  
seipsum exinanivit, formam ser-  
vi accipiens. Quin & Crucem  
perpassus est, ignominia contem-  
pta, ut corruptionis vim retun-  
deret; unus mortuus pro omni-  
bus, & excitatus, ut mortis la-  
queis genus hominum exsolve-  
ret, ut tyrannidem peccati, quod  
nos opprimebat, everteret, ut sc-  
vientem in membris carnis le-  
geret*

*gem sedaret, & spiritales faceret adoratores, &c. Horum omnium nobis salutare lignum memoriam excitat, ac in eam præterea cogitationem nos adducit Unum (ut sapientissimus Paulus ait) pro omnibus mortuum esse, ut viventes non ultra sibi ipsis vivoant, sed ei qui pro ipsis mortuus est, & resurrexit. — Quocirca nos, uti dixi, honorandæ Crucis lignum boni omnis ac virtutis admonitionem facimus.*

Et in eodem lib. ubi Julianus Christianis exprobat quod ab avita religione deficientes, lapsum e cælo clypeum colere desiissent, ac pro eo Crucem adorarent: At vos, inquiebat, infelicissimi homines, cum adorare & colere nolitis ancile, quod penes nos servatur æternæ Urbis certissimum pignus cælitus delapsum, & a magno Jove, aut Marte Patre demissum, Crucis lignum adoratis, ejusque signa in fronte formati, & vestibulis adium insculptis. Tantum abest ut Imperatorem calumniæ postulet, falsumque esse dicat, Crucem a Christianis adorari, ut fructus ab ea in genus hominum derivatos recenseat. Tunc igitur nos velis, *Juliane!* repudiato ligno, quod nos ad omnis virtutis recordationem adducit, pueris & mulierculis tua proponere? An forte Dei Deæque lascivientes, mulier-

holy Wood of the Cross brings all these things into our Mind, and moreover makes us reflect, that One, as St. Paul says, died for all, that those who live, may no longer live to themselves, but to him, who died for their sakes, and rose again. — Wherefore we, as I said, look upon the Wood of the Holy Cross as a thing that reminds us of all Good, and exhorts us to Vertue.

*And in the same Book; whereas the Apostate Julian urg'd against the Christians, that deserting the Religion of their Forefathers, they would now no longer adore the Shield that fell from Heaven; but in stead of this, gave Adoration to the Cross: But you (says Julian) miserable Wretches as you are, refusing to adore and worship the Shield which came from Heaven, the Gift of great Jove or Father Mars, the Pledge of our Eternal City, and which is in our custody; you adore the Wood of the Cross, and make the Sign of it on your Foreheads, and set it in the entrance of your Houses. The Holy Father do's not accuse the Emperor of urging a Calumny against the Christians, but declares the Grounds of their so doing. Then (says he) you would have us, O Julian, throw by this Wood, which furnishes us with all kind of vertuous Thoughts, and teach*

our Congregations, in stead of it, your idle Devotions? As if your lascivious Gods, and God-desses could teach any thing that's Good.

*culas & adolescentes ad frugem deducunt.*

*Theodoret in Relig. Hist. cap. 26. affirms, That Images of St. Simeon Stylites were all over Rome set up in Shops and the entrance of Houses, being look'd upon as a Protection and Defence.*

*And Ser. 6. contra Græcos. The Greeks, says he, and Romans, and Foreign Nations, acknowledge a God crucified, and venerate the Sign of the Cross.*

*St. Nilus Abbat, Disciple of St. John Chrysostom, in Epist. ad Olympiadorum Præfectum, being consulted by Olympiadorus, what kind of Pictures he should set up in the Church he had built, advises him to set up the Sign of the Cross, and to draw out in Painting the Histories of the Old and New Testament; to the end, says he, that such as are ignorant, and cannot read the Holy Scriptures, by the viewing these Pictures may be inform'd of all the Heroick Gests, perform'd by those, who have been true Servants of the Living God.*

*Rusticus Diaconus in Dial. contra Acephalos. The whole Church throughout the World without any dispute adores the Nails with which Christ was fastned to the Cross, as likewise the Wood of the Holy Cross.—*

*Theodoret. in Relig. Hist. c. 26. testatur, Sancti Simeonis Stylitæ, Romæ passim in officinarum propyleis erectas fuisse imagines, ut hanc sibi tutelam ac præsidium adjungerent.*

*Et Serm. 6. contra Græcos. Græci, inquit, & Romani, ac Barbari crucifixum profitentur Deum, Crucisque Signum venerantur.*

*S. Nilus Abbas, S. Joannis Chrysostomi Discipulus, in Ep. ad Olympiadorum Præfectum, cui per literas interroganti, cujusmodi Picturis Templum sua munificentia extructum exornaret; suadet ut Crucis effigiem, & utriusque Testamenti Historias adumbrari curet; Ut qui literas nesciunt, inquit, neque sacras literas possunt legere, picturæ contemplatione in memoriam revocent illorum præclara facta, qui vero Deo sincere servierunt.*

*Rusticus Diaconus in Dialogo contra Acephalos. Clavos, inquit, quibus confixus est Christus, & lignum venerabilis Crucis, omnis per totum mundum Ecclesia absque ulla contradictione adorat.—Et adora-*

*mus omnes Crucem, & per ipsam illum cujus est Crux.*

Auctor Quæst. ad Antioch. sub nomine S. Athanasii, Quæst. 41. *Figuram quidem Crucis, inquit, ex duobus lignis compingentes conficimus, ut si quis infidelium id in nobis reprehendat, quod veneremur lignum, possimus duobus inter se disjunctis lignis, & Crucis dirempta forma, ea tanquam inutilia ligna reputare, & infideli persuadere, quod non colamus lignum, sed quod Crucis typum veneremur.*

Theophylactus Simocatta, l. 2. Hiltor. laudato a Photio, Cod. 65. *Ubi narrat, Philippicum Mauritiæ Imperatoris generum, imaginem non manu factam ferentem, exercitum obivisse, & lustrasse; quo facto victoriam stetit penes Romanos, ipsamque imaginem cum veneratione ad Simeonem Amidæ Episcopum missam.*

Justinianus Imperator. in Authentica de Monachis statuit: *Ut si quis ædificare venerabile Monasterium voluerit, non prius licentiam esse hoc agendi, quam Deo amabilem locorum Episcopum advocet: ac ille manus extendat ad cælum, & per orationem locum consecret Deo, figens in eo salutis nostræ signum; dicimus autem adoran-*

And we all adore the Cross, and by it we adore him whose Cross it is.

Auctor Quæst. ad Antioch. sub nom. Athanasii, Quæst. 41. *We make, says he, the Figure of the Cross with two pieces of Wood; that, if any Infidel calumniate us as worshipping Wood, we may immediately pull it in pieces, and the Form of the Cross being destroy'd, throw them by, as now of no more worth than any others; and by this convince the Infidel, that we worship not the Wood, but the Figure of the Cross.*

Theophylactus Simocatta, lib. 2. *Hiltor. laudato a Photio, Cod. 65. Where he relates, how Philippicus Son-in-Law to the Emperor Mauritius visited his whole Army, carrying with him a miraculous Image, by which means the Romans gain'd the Victory; and afterwards the Image it self was sent with veneration to Simeon Bishop of Amida.*

*The Emperor Justinian, in Authent. de Monach. decreed: If any one would build a Religious Monastery, he shall have no Licence for the so doing, till he has consulted the Reverend Bishop of the Place; and till the said Bishop, by lifting his Hands to Heaven, and Prayer, has Consecrated the Place to God, erecting upon it the Sign of our Redemption,*

demption, that is, the adorable and truly honourable Cross.

St. Gregory the Great, l. 7. Ep. 5. *Indict. 2. ad Januarium Calaritan. Upon occasion that a New Convert had zealously possess'd himself of a Synagogue of the Jews, and plac'd in it the holy Sign of the Cross, and an Image of the V. Mary; St. Gregory reprehends the imprudent Zeal of the Neophyt, and advises the Bishop of the City that the Synagogue be restor'd to the Jews, The Image and Cross being taken out thence, with that Veneration which is due to them.*

Venerable Bede, l. 1. Hist. Eccl. Angl. c. 25. *writes thus of the Entrance of St. Augustin and his Companions into England, being sent to preach the Gospel there by St. Gregory. But they, says he, full, not of an Infernal, but a Divine Power, came with a Silver Cross before them, and the Picture of our Lord and Saviour, singing the Litanies, and praying for their own and the eternal Salvation of those to whom and for whom they were sent.*

Sedulius l. 3. Paschal. Carm. *thus writes of Christ; affirming, that not only the Holy Cross is Honourable, but that the whole World has a Veneration for the Sign of the Cross.*

*dam & honorandam vere Crucem.*

S. Gregorius Magnus l. 7. Ep. 5. *Indict. 2. ad Januar. Calaritan. Data, occasione cujusdam ex Judæo Christiani, qui Gentilium suorum Synagogam vi occupatam invaserat, atque imaginem illic B. Virginis Mariæ, & venerandam Crucem posuerat. S. Gregorius imprudentem hominis zelum reprehendit, ac civitatis Episcopum monet, ut Judæis Synagoga reddatur, Sublata exinde, cum ea, qua dignum est, veneratione, imagines atque Cruce.*

Venerabilis Beda l. 1. Hist. Eccl. Angl. c. 25. *ita scribit de adventu S. Augustini a S. Gregorio missi in illam Insulam cum sociis, Evangelii prædicandi causa. At illi non Dæmoniaca sed divina præditi virtute veniebant, Crucem pro vexillo ferentes argenteam; & imaginem Domini Salvatoris in tabula depictam, Litaniasque canentes, pro sua simul & eorum, propter quos, & ad quos venerant, æterna salute Domino supplicabant.*

Sedul. l. 3. Paschal. Carm. *de Christo ita canit, asserens non tantum S. Crucem esse honorandam, sed & toti orbi signum ejus venerabile.*



*Pax Crucis ipse fuit, violentaque  
robora membris*

*Illustrans propriis, panam vesti-  
vit honore :*

*Suppliciumque dedit signum ma-  
gis esse salutis :*

*Ipseque sanctificans in se tormen-  
ta, beavit.*

*Nec quis ignoret speciem Crucis  
esse colendam,*

*Quæ Dominum portavit ovans  
ratione potenti,*

*Quatuor inde plagas quadrati  
colligit orbis.*

The Cross was reconcil'd to  
Man by Him,

Rais'd by his tortur'd Members  
to esteem :

Man's Punishment became his  
Safety's Sign,

Illustrious when the Suffering  
was Divine.

That none might doubt the  
Cross should be ador'd,

That bore our Glorious and  
Triumphant Lord ;

Pointing to every Corner of the  
Globe it stood,

An Universal Blessing darted  
from the Wood.

In Collatione S. Maximi  
Martyris, quæ extat inter Ana-  
stasii Biblioth. Collectanea. Et in his surrexerunt om-  
nes cum gaudio, & lacrymis,  
positisque in terra genibus, facta  
est oratio. Et unusquisque ipso-  
rum Sancta Evangelia, pretio-  
samque Crucem, & Imaginem  
Dei, ac Salvatoris nostri Jesu  
Christi, atque Domine nostræ,  
quæ illum peperit, Sanctissimæ  
Dei Genetricis salutaverunt :  
ponentes nihilominus manus suas  
ad testificationem eorum quæ di-  
cta sunt. — Et conversus ad  
Episcopum Abbas Maximus cum  
lacrymis ait : Domine magne,  
diem Judicii expectamus omnes.  
Nosti quæ ordinata sunt, & de-  
finita supra sancta Evangelia,  
& vivificam Crucem, atque Ima-

*In Collatione S. Maximi Marty-  
ris, quæ extat inter Anastasii Bib-  
lioth. Collectanea.* And upon this  
they all rose up with Joy and  
Tears, and then pray'd upon  
their Knees. And every one of  
them paid their Respects to the  
Holy Gospels, to the Venerable  
Cross, to the Image of God,  
and of our Saviour Jesus Christ,  
and of our Blessed Lady his Mo-  
ther : all setting to their Hands,  
in Testimony of what had been  
said. — And Abbat Maximus  
turning to the Bishop, with  
Tears in his Eyes, said ; My  
Lord, we all expect the Day of  
Judgment. You know what  
has been ordain'd and defin'd  
upon the Holy Gospels, upon  
the Life-giving Cross, upon the  
Image of God, and of our Sa-  
viour

viour Jesus Christ, and of his holy Mother the ever-Virgin Mary.

*Sophronius Hierosolym. Patriar. in Orat. de Mirac. SS. Cyri & Joannis.* Coming therefore, says he, to a Magnificent Temple, which was as terrible as stately, and reaching to the very Heavens with its Roof, we entered it, and saw a large and admirable Picture, having in the middle our Saviour Christ drawn in Colours, with his ever-Virgin-Mother, our B. Lady, on the Left-hand, *John Baptist* on the Right, with several of the Apostles, Prophets, and Martyrs; amongst whom were likewise *Cyrus* and *Joannes* Martyrs, who before the Image prostrated themselves on the Ground to our Lord, begging for the Cure of the Youth.

*stantes, Domino procidebant, genua incurvantes, & capita in pavimentum ferentes, & pro juvenis medela intercedentes.*

*Leontius Neapol. in Cypro Episc. Ser. 5. Apologet. pro Christian. adv. Judæos.* You are fill'd with Indignation, says he, you insult over us with Blasphemies, and call us Idolaters, because you saw me pay a Veneration to the Image of our Lord Jesus, of his Immaculate Mother, or some other Saint. And, tell me, do's it not confound you, to see me overthrowing every where the

*ginem Dei, & Salvatoris nostri Jesu Christi, & Sanctissima, quæ illum genuit, semper Virginis Matris.*

*Sophronius Hierosolym. Patriar. in Orat. de Mirac. SS. Cyri & Joannis.* Venientes ergo ad Templum quoddam perfectum, specie quidem terribile & præclarum, altitudine vero ad cælum ipsum pertingens; quod intrantes, maximam Imaginem & admirabilem videbamus, in medio quidem Dominum nostrum Christum pictum coloribus habentem, Christi autem Matrem, & Dominam nostram Dei Genetricem & semper Virginem Mariam sinistrorsum, & dextrorsum Joannem Baptistam, & quosdam ex Apostolorum & Prophetarum gloriofo choro, & Martyrum cœtu, cum quibus & aderant ipsi *Cyrus* & *Joannes* Martyres, qui ante Imaginem

*Leontius Neapol. in Cypro Episc. Ser. 5. Apologet. pro Christian. advers. Judæos.* Quia vero, inquit, me vidisti Iconam Domini nostri Jesu Christi, vel immaculatæ Matris ejus, vel alterius cujuspiam Justî salutare, indignaris, & mox blasphemans salis, & nos Idololatrias appellas. Deinde non confunderis, dic mihi, videns me in toto terrarum orbe templa Idolorum

*lorum destruere, & templo Marti-  
rum adificare? Si Idola ado-  
ramus, cur Martyres, qui de-  
struxerunt Idola adoraremus? Si  
ligna ut Deus honoro & glorifico,  
quomodo honoro & glorifico  
Martyres, qui lignea simulacra  
destruxerunt?*

*Ibidem. Quemadmodum Re-  
gis cujusdam benigni, qui coro-  
nam variam & pretiosam sibi  
propria manu paravit, omnes  
qui germanè adhaerent Regi, sa-  
lutant coronam & honorant, non  
aurum & margaritas, sed ver-  
ticem Regis honorantes, & sapi-  
entissimas manus ejus, quæ co-  
ronam struxerunt: Sic, O ho-  
mo, Christianorum populi, quot-  
quot figuras Crucis, & Icona-  
rum salutant, non ipsis cultu-  
ram lignis vel lapidibus offerunt,  
vel auro, vel corruptibili ima-  
gini, vel arcæ, vel reliquiis;  
sed per ea Deo, & ipsorum, &  
cunctorum Creatori gloriam, &  
Salutationem, & cultum impen-  
dunt. Honor enim qui Sanctis  
ejus impenditur, ad eum recur-  
rit. Sicut e contra quidam Im-  
gines Imperiales demolientes,  
Principem offenderunt, & non  
ipsam tabulam.*

*Simeon Thessalonicensis a  
septima Synodo Act. 5. lau-  
datus. Nos, inquit, Imagines  
hominum sanctorum facimus ad*

Temples of the Idols, and  
building Churches in memory  
of the Martyrs? If we worship  
Idols, why should we honour  
the Martyrs, who ruin'd the  
Idols? If I honour and worship  
a piece of Wood as God, how  
can I honour and praise the  
Martyrs, who destroy'd the  
Wooden Gods?

*And after.* As when some No-  
ble Prince has with his own  
Hands prepar'd for himself a  
rich and glorious Crown, all  
those who love their Prince, re-  
spect and honour it; not pay-  
ing a Veneration to the Gold or  
Gems, but honouring the Head  
of their Monarch, and his art-  
ful Hands which made it: So,  
believe me, the Christians that  
honour the Sign of the Cross,  
and other Images, do not wor-  
ship the Wood or Stones, the  
Gold or the corruptible Image,  
the Shrine or the Reliques;  
but by these things give Glory  
and Honour and Worship to  
the Creator of them, and of the  
whole World. For the Honour  
which is given to his Saints, is  
referr'd to God. As on the con-  
trary, such as throw down the  
Statues of Emperors, injure the  
Emperor, and not what they  
are made of.

*Simeon Thessalonicensis quoted in  
the Seventh Synod, Act. 5. We  
make, says he, Images of the  
Saints to preserve their Memo-*

ry, and to honour them.— And when we adore, 'tis not to the Images we give Honour, but to those who are represented by them: and these we honour, not as Gods, but as faithful Servants and Friends of God, and as capable of interceding to God for us. We make Images of God, that is, of our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, as, he was seen upon Earth, and convers'd with Men, representing him as Man, without intending to paint him as God.

*Synodus Quinifexta, Can. 82. decreed, That Christ should be no longer drawn in the shape of a Lamb, but of a Man; and gave Images the Epithet of Venerable.*

*St. Simeon Stylites Jan. Ep. ad Justin. Jun. Imperat. Where speaking concerning some Samaritans, who had abus'd the Cross and Holy Images, he says; If our sacred Laws have ordain'd, That whoever presumes to affront the Emperor's Image, shall be punish'd with Death; what Punishment do they deserve, who with incredible Impiety and Impudence have done the like to the Image of the Son of God, and his most holy and glorious Mother?*

*Anonymous Auctor Disput. Judæi & Christiani, a Sept. Syn. laudatus Act. 5. The Scriptures command thee not to worship any new-*

*recordandum & honorandum eos.—Sed & adorantes, non Imagines, sed eos qui per picturam indicantur, glorificamus: & hos non ut Deos, sed ut proprios servos & amicos Dei, & fiduciam habentes intercedendi pro nobis. At vero imagines Dei facimus, id est, Domini & Salvatoris nostri Jesu Christi, quem admodum visus est super terram, & cum hominibus conversatus est hunc pingentes, & non ut intelligitur natura Deus.*

*Synodus Quinifexta, Can. 82. decrevit, ut non amplius Christus in Agni Figura, sed in forma potius hominis exprimeretur & Venerandas Imagines appellavit.*

*S. Simeon Stylites Junior Ep. ad Justin. Imperatorem; Ubi de Samaritanis nonnullis, qui Crucem, & Sanctas Imagines contumelia affecerant, hæc dicit; Si piissimæ leges jubent, imagine Imperatoris injuriis lacerata morti tradendos, qui hoc conari præsumperint: quanta digni sunt damnatione in perditionem, qui in Imaginem Filii Dei, & sanctissimæ ac gloriose Dei Genetricis, cum indicibili impietate & impudentia talia præsumperunt?*

*Anonymous Auctor Disput. Judæi & Christiani, a Sept. Syn. laudatus Act. 5. Deum recentem præcipiunt te non adorare*

rare Scripturæ, & omnem similitudinem non adorare ut Deum. Imagines vero quas vides ad recordationem benignissimæ salutis pinguntur, per Salvatorem nostrum Jesum Christum effectæ, Incarnationis ejus personam significantes: Sanctorum autem imagines similiter uniuscujusque ipsorum signant agones, quos adversus Diabolum habuerunt, & Victorias eorum atque Coronas. Non autem, ut tu intelligis, Deificantes eas Christiani adorant, sed zelo ferventes & fide Sanctorum contemplantur imagines, memoriam ferentes bonum pietatis, & adorantes, Sanctorum invocant Deum dicentes: Benedictus est Deus hujus Sancti ac omnium Sanctorum, qui dedisti eis patientiam, & dignos illos fecisti regno tuo: nos quoque participes eorum constitue, atque orationibus eorum salvos nos fac. At vero Salvatoris Iconam contemplantes & adorantes, spiritualibus oculis, & cordis intuitu Deo cunctorum Domino gratias agimus, qui dignatus est in forma servi hominem suscipere, & salvare mundum. — Ergo non ligneam imaginem vel picturam adoramus, aut colimus, sed omnium Dominum Christum Deum glorificamus.

made God, or the Likeness of him for God. But the Images you see, are made to put us in mind of our Redemption wrought by our Saviour Jesus Christ, and represent to us his Incarnation; the Images likewise of the Saints describe to us their Conflicts they had against the Power of Hell, their Victories and Crowns. Now the Christians don't (as you fancy) make Gods of these Images, and adore them; but full of Zeal and Faith, they behold them, refreshing their Minds with the Memory of the vertuous Lives of the Martyrs; and adoring, they thus invoke the God of the Saints: Blessed art thou, O God of this and all the Saints, who hast given them patience, and made them worthy of thy Kingdom: Grant that we may be Partakers with them, and save us by their Prayers. But when we behold the Image of our Saviour, and make adoration, we give Thanks in our Understanding and Heart to the Sovereign Lord of the Universe, who vouchsaf'd in the form of a Servant to take Human Nature, and redeem the World. — We do not therefore adore or worship any wooden Image or Picture; but we glorify and

praise the Lord of all, Christ Jesus.

*Imag.* There's none ignorant, but such who separate from Ecclesiastical Tradition, that the Holy Church, according to the Tradition of the Holy Fathers, do's in memory of its Redemption venerate, adore, and worship the Cross of Christ, not with that Adoration and Worship which is due to God alone, but that which we have at large explicated above. Whence it is, that yearly on Good Friday, the Faithful, in remembrance of Christ's Passion, in conformity to the Tradition of the Church, adore the Cross of Christ, that is, salute it with all submission: and the Church diffus'd over the whole World, breaking forth in the Praises of her Redeemer, in Thanksgiving, sings; *We adore thy Cross, O Lord, &c.* And again; *Behold the Wood of the Cross, on which the Worlds Redeemer hung: Come, let us adore.* And St. Gregory, whose Words none call in question, except those who are careless of their Salvation, in his Book of the Sacraments, prays thus: O Lord, who hast vouchsaf'd to redeem Mankind by the precious Blood of thy only Son our Lord Jesus Christ, mercifully grant, that all such who come to adore the Life-giving Cross, may obtain forgiveness of their Sins.

de Cultu Imag. *Quo ergo, inquit, ob recordationem Redemptionis suæ Sancta Ecclesia ex SS. Patrum traditione Crucem Christi veneretur, adoret, colat, non cultu & adoratione quæ solius Divinitatis est, sed eo quo satis abundeque supradictum est, Nemo nisi qui ab Ecclesiastica Traditione dissentit, ignorat. Unde ob recordationem salutiferæ Passionis Dominicæ annuatim in Sanctissimo die Parasceves, secundum Traditionem Ecclesiasticam, Crucem Christi adorat; id est, supplicando salutat: quæ etiam totum diffusa per orbem in Christi laudem prorumpens gratulabunda canit. Crucem tuam adoramus Domine, &c. Et iterum; Ecce lignum Crucis in quo Salus mundi pendit, Venite adoremus. Et B. Gregorius, de cujus dictis, nemo nisi immemor salutis suæ ambigit, in Lib. Sacramentorum ita meminit: Deus, qui Unigeniti tui Domini nostri Jesu Christi pretioso sanguine humanum genus redimere dignatus es, concede propitius, ut qui ad adorandam vivificam Crucem adveniunt, a peccatorum suorum nexibus liberentur.*

*Again, Leontius Neapol. lib. 5. Apol. pro Christian. cont. Judæos.*

*Iterum Leontius Neapol. lib. 5. Apol. pro Christian. contra*



contra Judæos, prout laudatur in Synodo Septima, Act. 4. Ubi Judæos refellit, qui Christianos accusabant, quod contra Decalogi mandatum imagines colerent. Penes nos, inquit, sicut Dii non adorantur Sanctorum Characteres, & Icones vel formæ. Si enim ut Deum adorarem lignum imaginis, possem profecto & ligna reliqua adorare. Nam si ut Deum lignum adorarem, minime utique deleto characterẽ Iconam incenderem.— Et sicut is qui justificationem Imperatoris suscepit, & salutavit sigillum, non lutum adoravit, aut chartam, aut plumbum, sed Imperatori adorationem & cultum impendit: ita & nos Christianorum pueri, figuram Crucis adorantes, non naturam ligni adoramus, sed signum & annulum, & characterem Christi; cum aspicientes per eum illum qui in eo crucifixus est, salutamus & adoramus. Et sicut pueri proprii patris cuiusdam, qui peregre profectus est ad tempus ab illis, multo ergo eum affectu ex anima flagrantes, siue virgam ejus in domo vident, siue sedem, siue chlamydem, hæc cum lacrymis deosculantes amplectuntur; & non illa adorant, sed Patrem desiderant & honorant: ita & nos omnes Fideles, ut virgam Christi, Crucem adoramus; ut sedem vero & cubile, ipsius sanctissimum

*Where he answers the Argument of the Jews, who charg'd the Christians with the breach of the Second Commandment, for giving Respect to Images. The Pictures and Images, says he, of the Saints are not ador'd amongst us, like Gods. For if I worshipp'd the Wood of an Image as God, I might as well do the like to any other Wood: If I honour'd the Wood as God, I would never throw it into the Fire, when the Image is once disfigur'd.—As therefore he that has receiv'd a Commision from his Prince, and kisses the Seal, do's not respect the Wax, the Paper, or the Lead, but gives the Honour to the King; so we Christians, when we shew Respect to the Figure of the Cross, do not honour the Nature of the Wood, but the Sign, the Pledge, the Remembrance of Christ: through this beholding him who was crucified on it, we respect and adore him. And as Children full of a dear Affection to their Father, who is absent from them, do kiss with Tears, and with all tenderness embrace his Stick, his Chair, his Coat, which they see at home; not that they have any respect for these things, but express their desire and honour of him: Just so do all we Faithful honour the Cross, as Christ's Staff; the most holy Sepulcher, as his Chair and Couch; the Manger*

Manger and *Betbleem*, as his House, &c. Not that we honour the Place, the House, the Country, the City, or the Stones, but him that was conversant amongst them, who appear'd in our Flesh, and deliver'd us from Error, Christ our Lord; and for Christ we honour those things which belong to him, describing his Passion in our Churches, in our Houses, in the Streets, in Images, upon our Linnen, in our Chambers, upon our Clothes, and upon every Place; to the end that having these continually before our Eyes, we may be put in mind, and not like thee (*O Jew*) forget our Lord and God. As thou therefore expressing a Veneration for the Book of the Law, dost not honour the Paper or Ink of which 'tis compos'd, but the Word of God contain'd in it: So I, shewing Reverence to the Image of Christ, do not adore (God forbid) the Wood or the Colours; but having an inanimate Representation of Christ, by this seem to be possess'd of, and to worship Christ himself. As *Jacob* having receiv'd the party-colour'd and bloody Coat of his Son *Joseph*, kiss'd it full of Tears, and put it to his Eyes, not doing this for any Love or Honour he had for the Coat, but by this seeming to kiss *Joseph*, and hold him in his Arms:

*monumentum, ut domum, prae-sepe Betbleem, &c. Non locum, neque domum, neque regionem, vel civitatem, aut lapides honorantes, sed eum qui in illis conversatus est, & illuxit, & in carne innotuit, atque ab errore liberavit, Christum videlicet Dominum nostrum; & per Christum ea quae sunt Christi, Passionem in Ecclesiis, in domibus, & plateis, & in imaginibus, & in sindonibus, & in penetralibus, & in vestimentis, & in omni loco formamus: ut incessanter aspicientes haec, commoneamur & non obliviscamur, ut tu, Judae, oblitus es Domini Dei tui. Et sicut tu adorans librum Legis, non naturam pellium, vel atramenti, quae in ipso est adoras, sed verba Dei quae in illo continentur: ita & ego imaginem Christi adorans, non naturam lignorum & colorum adoro, absit, sed inanimatum characterem Christi tenens, per eum, Christum tenere mihi videor, & adorare. Et sicut Jacob acceptam a filiis suis tunicam variam & sanguinolentam Joseph, deosculatus est cum lacrymis, & propriis oculis hanc circumposuit: & non vestimentum amans, vel honorans hoc fecit, sed per id aestimans Joseph osculari, & in manibus eum habere. Ita & Christiani universi imaginem Christi, vel Apostolorum aut Martyrum tenentes aut salutan-*

tes carne, Christum ipsum, vel Martyrem ejus, anima nos tenere existimamus.

S. Gregorius II. Pontifex Ep. i. ad Leonem Isaurum Imperat. Dicis, inquit, nos lapides, & parietes, ac tabellas adorare. Non ita est, ut dicis, Imperator! Sed ut memoria nostra excitetur, & ut solida & imperita, crassaque mens nostra erigatur, & in altum provebatur per eos, quorum hæc nomina, & quorum appellationes, & quorum hæc sunt imagines; & non tanquam Deos, ut tu inquis, absit; non enim spem in illis habemus.

S. Germanus Constantinopol. Patriarcha Ep. ad Joannem Synad. Episc. Hic est modus faciendarum imaginum, non quo convenientem incomprehensibili & inaccessiblei Deitati in spiritu & veritate adorationem transferamus in manufactas imagines.—Sed quo dilectionem, quam juste habemus circa veros servos Dei nostri, hoc modo demonstrantes, per illorum honorem, in Deum, qui ab his glorificatus est, & illos glorificavit, in confessione Dominationis ejus glorificationem, & cultum referamus: ut & nos imitatores fortitudinis eorum, & charitatis in Deum, per bona opera &

So all Christians, holding or kissing any Image of Christ, of his Apostles or Martyrs, do the like to Christ himself, or his Martyrs, in their Souls.

St. Gregory II. Pont. Ep. i. ad Leonem Isaurum Imperat. You charge us, says he, with the Adoration of Stones, and Walls, and Pictures. But the thing is not so as you affirm, O Emperor! What we do, is only to refresh our Memory, to raise our foolish, unskilful, and gross Minds, and carry them Heavenwards, by the help of those whose Names, and Titles, and Images these are; and not, as you urge, to worship them as Gods; no, God forbid, we place no Hope in them.

St. German Patriarch of Constantinople, Ep. ad Joannem Synad. Episc. The intention of making Images, is not to transfer the Adoration in Spirit and Truth, due to the Incomprehensible and Inaccessible Godhead, and give it to Images, the Work of Mens Hands.—But to the end, that by this means expressing the Love we justly bear to the true Servants of God, by the Honour given to them, we may refer all Praise and Worship to God, in acknowledgment of his Supreme Power, who was glorified by them, and has glorified them: And that so we may shew our selves, by  
Good

Good Works, and opposition to Vices, true Imitators of their Courage and Love towards God.

*St. John Damascen, Orat. 3.* Neither do I, says he, worship any Image as God; but by the Images and Saints, I give Adoration and Honour to God, for whose sake I respect and reverence those that are his Friends.

*Adrian I. Pont. Ep. ad Constant. & Iren. Impp.* All over the World, wheresoever Christianity is profess'd, Sacred Images are honour'd by all the Faithful, that by those visible Objects their Souls may be carried with a more than ordinary Affection to the Invisible Majesty of God. By the Image of that Body, which the Son of God took for our Redemption, we adore our Redeemer, who is in Heaven. For far be it from us, that we (as some calumniate) should make Gods of Images: we only express the Love and Zeal we have for God and his Saints; and as we keep the Books of the Holy Scripture, so we do the Images, to mind us of our Duty; still preserving entire the Purity of our Faith.

*In Conformity to the Doctrine of Christianity, thus acknowledg'd and profess'd by these and other Holy Fathers and Historians, the Seventh Synod, or Second Nicene Coun-*

*refragationem contra vitia demonstremur.*

*S. Joannes Damascen. Orat. 3.* Neque ego, inquit, tanquam Deum imaginem adoro: sed per Imaginem, perque Sanctos, adorationem Deo atque honorem exhibeo, propter quem & amicos ejus veneror & colo.

*Adrianus I. Pont. Ep. ad Constant. & Irenem Impp.* In universo mundo ubi Christianitas est, ipse sacræ imagines permanentes ab omnibus Fidelibus honorantur, ut per visibilem vultum, ad invisibilem Divinitatis Majestatem mens nostra rapiatur speciali affectu. Per contemplationem figuratæ imaginis secundum carnem, quam Filius Dei pro nostra salute suscipere dignatus est, Eundem Redemptorem nostrum, qui in cælis est, adoramus. Nam absit a nobis, ut ipsas imagines (sicut quidam garriunt) deificemus; sed affectum & dilectionem nostram, quam in Dei amorem, & Sanctorum ejus habemus, omnimodo præferimus: & sicut divinæ scripturæ libros, ipsas imagines ob memoriam venerationis habemus, nostræ fidei puritatem servantes.

Tot & tam gravibus sulta momentis est Septima Synodi definitio, quæ sic habet. *Sequentes divinitus inspiratum Sanctorum Patrum, nostrorum magi-*

*magisterium, & Catholicæ Traditionem Ecclesiæ, definimus, sicut figuram pretiosæ ac vivificæ Crucis, ita venerabiles ac Sanctas imagines proponendas, tam quæ de coloribus & tessellis, quam quæ ex alia materia congruenter se habente, in sanctis Dei Ecclesiis, & sacris vasis, & vestibus, & in parietibus ac tabulis, domibus & vis: tam videlicet imaginem Domini Dei & Salvatoris nostri Jesu Christi, quam intemeratæ Domine nostræ sanctæ Dei Genetricis, honorabiliumque Angelorum, & omnium Sanctorum simul & aliorum virorum: quanto enim frequentius per imaginalem formationem videntur, tanto quibus contemplantur, alacrius eriguntur ad primitivorum earum memoriam & desiderium. Et his osculum ad honorariam adorationem tribuunt, non tamen veram Latriam, quæ secundum fidem est, quæque solam divinam naturam decet.*

*Octava Synodus hanc Definitionem roboravit, Can. 2.*

*Tridentina Synodus, Sess. 25. idem approbavit his verbis. Imagines porro Christi, Dei-para Virginis, & aliorum Sanctorum, in templis præsertim habendas, & retinendas, iisque debitum honorem & veneratio-*

*cil, made this Declaration.* In pursuance of the Heavenly Doctrine of the Holy Fathers our Predecessors, and of the Tradition of the Catholick Church, we define, That the Figures of the Crois, as likewise Holy Images, whether drawn in Colours, or wrought in Wood, or any other Matter, may be set up in the Churches, form'd upon the sacred Vessels and Vestments, upon Walls or Boards, in Houses and Ways; as well the Image of our Saviour Jesus Christ, as of his Immaculate Mother, of the Holy Angels, of Saints and holy Men. For by how much the oftner Men behold these Images, so much more chearfully are their Minds rais'd to the Memory and Love of the Prototypes. And these Images the Faithful salute with a Kiss, and give an honorary Worship to them; but not the true Latria or Divine Worship, which is according to Faith, and can be given to none besides God himself.

*The Eighth Synod confirm'd this Definition with a Decree of the like tenor, Can. 2.*

*The Council of Trent did the like, Sess. 25. in these words, decreeing, That Images of Christ, of the Virgin Mary, and other Saints, are to be kept and retain'd, especially in Churches, and due Honour and Respect to*

be given them; not that 'tis believ'd there's any Divinity or Vertue in them, for which they are to be worshipp'd; or that any thing is to be ask'd of them; or that any Confidence is to be put in Images, as the Gentiles did of old, who trusted in their Idols: But because the Honour shew'd to them, is referr'd to the Prototypes, which they represent; so that by the Images we kiss, and before which we stand bare and kneel down, we adore Christ, and honour his Saints, whom these represent to us. This Doctrin being the same with what has been establish'd by Councils, and more especially by the Decrees of the Second Nicene Synod, in opposition to the *Iconoclasts*.—The Use of all holy Images is of great advantage to the Faithful, not only in admonishing them of the Benefits and Blessings receiv'd from Christ; but likewise in laying before them the Miracles God has wrought by his Saints, and proposing to them worthy Examples; for which they may be excited to Thanksgiving, and to order their Life and Manners in imitation of those Holy Men; to adore and love God, and to practise a Vertuous Life. Let him be *Anathema* who teaches the contrary. And if any Abuses have crept in amongst these

nem impertiendam; non quod credatur inesse aliqua in eis divinitas, vel virtus, propter quam sint colendæ, vel quod ab eis sit aliquid petendum; vel quod fiducia in imaginibus sit figenda; veluti olim fiebat a Gentibus, quæ in Idolis spem suam collocabant: sed quoniam bonos, qui eis exhibetur, refertur ad Prototypa, quæ illæ representant: ita ut per imagines quas osculamur, & coram quibus caput aperimus, & procumbimus, Christum adoremus, & Sanctos, quorum illæ similitudinem gerunt, veneremur: id quod Conciliorum, præsertim vero secundæ Synodi Nicenæ decretis, contra Imaginum oppugnatores est sancitum.—Cum vero ex omnibus sacris imaginibus magnum fructum percipi; non solum quia admonetur populus beneficiorum & munerum, quæ a Christo sibi collata sunt; sed etiam quia Dei per Sanctos miracula & salutaria exempla oculis Fidelium subjiciuntur; ut pro eis Deo gratias agant, ad Sanctorumque imitationem vitam moresque suos componant, excitenturque ad adorandam, & ad diligendum Deum, & ad pietatem colendam. Si quis autem his decretis contraria docuerit, *Anathema* sit. In has autem sanctas & salutes observationes, si qui abusus irreperint, eos prorsus aboleri Sancta Synodus vehementer



*menter cupit; ita ut nullæ falsi  
dogmatis imagines, & rudibus  
periculosi erroris occasionem præ-  
bentes, statuatur.*

holy Observances, the Holy Synod earnestly desires care may be taken for their redress: so that no Images be propos'd which may any ways concur to

the promoting unsound Doctrin, or give occasion to the Ignorant of falling into dangerous Errors.

A N

# A P P E N D I X,

CONTAINING

## The Testimonies

Of some Eminent

## PROTESTANTS.

Confessing the FATHERS of the Primitive Church to have Taught, Believ'd, and Practis'd the above-mention'd Doctrins.

**T**His is the Language of Antiquity, and thus do the *Fathers* speak, as They had been taught by their Predecessors, and These from the Apostles. What They say, is Plain, Clear, and Full enough; and yet not so privileg'd above other sublunary things, as to be free from all Exceptions. Many, I question not, will be made against what is here done; but my Care at present is only to obviate *One*, which I hope will be the *Principal*, and 'tis this.

B b 2

That

That however the *Fathers* are here produc'd as Abettors of these Doctrins; yet that many *Testimonies* are found in the same *Fathers*, wherein they seem to maintain Opinions quite *opposite* to These. Of what Authority therefore can These *Testimonies* be, since all Parties and Divisions of Christians lay claim to the same *Fathers*, for the upholding Their Tenets, so contradictory to each other?

*I answer*; That never any Author yet, either Sacred or Profane, has writ so cautiously, but that some Sentences may be pick'd out of him, which seem to favour an Opinion or Doctrin quite *contrary* to the main and principal *Design* of his Work. This is so evident, that it stands in little need of Proof. For we see daily the *Laws* and *Statutes*, tho' worded with the greatest caution, for the preventing all Ambiguity, made use of for *contrary* Pretences. I have seen some Places of the *Mass-Book* produc'd against *Transubstantiation*, tho' it be a Doctrin there so clearly own'd. And don't we find, by a sad Experience, the very *Scripture* daily produc'd against it *self*? We look upon nothing to be more *clear* in the *New Testament*, than that God the *Son* became *Man*, was Born, and Died upon a Cross for our Redemption; nay, 'tis beyond question, that the declaring *this Truth* to the World, was the *Principal Design* of that Sacred Volume. And yet several Texts have been made use of out of this same Book, to prove a *contrary Doctrin*. Thus did those ancient Hereticks the *Marcionites*, out of these words of St. *Paul*, spoken of Christ, (*Ep. to the Philip. c. 2. v. 7, 8.*) He took upon him the Form of a Servant,—was made in the Likeness of Men,—found in Fashion as a Man, &c. endeavour to prove, that according to the Doctrin deliver'd by the Apostles in Scripture, Christ was no True, Real, and Substantial Man, but only a Man in Figure, Likeness, and Fashion; and so did not Really suffer on the Cross, but only in Appearance.

And now if this be the Condition of all Books and Writings whatever, either the Authority of *all Books* must be lessen'd upon this score, or else not the *Writings* of the *Fathers*, at least any more than the rest. That *Objections* therefore are made out of the *Fathers*, against the Doctrins they here evidently and at large maintain, is no matter of wonder; the same is, or may be done out of all Books. It is enough to satisfy any Considering Man, that the *Fathers* are confess'd and own'd to have

have taught and practis'd these Doctrins, for which I have quoted them, by Men of all sorts of Persuasions and Religions, even by those who make the Objections. *Roman-Catholicks* all agree, that the Doctrins here related, are conform to the Sentiments of the *Fathers*. *Protestants* likewise acknowledge, that the *Fathers* taught these Doctrins. And to remove all Doubts of this kind, I'll here, by way of *Appendix*, insert some Testimonies of Eminent and Leading *Protestants*, wherein they confess, that the *Fathers* maintain'd these Tenets and Doctrins, for which I have here produc'd them.

## C H A P. I.

*Protestants confess, that the Fathers of the Primitive Church believ'd Peter to have been appointed Head of the Church, and that 'twas founded upon Him.*

**F**ROM these Words of St. Hierom (l. i. cont. Jovian.) *Amongst the Twelve One is chosen, that a Head appointed, the occasion of Schism should be taken away.* Thus Dr. Covell (in his *Exam.* p. 106.) argueth. *If this were the Principal Means to prevent Schisms and Dissentions in the Primitive Church, when the Graces of God were far more abundant and eminent than now they are: Nay, if the Twelve were not like to agree, except there had been One Chief among them; (for, saith Hierom, among the Twelve One was therefore chosen, that a Chief being appointed, occasion of Dissention might be prevented) How can they think, that Equality would keep all the Pastors in the World in Peace and Unity? &c. For in all Societies, Authority (which cannot be, where all are equal) must procure Unity and Obedience.*

The *Centurists* confess, (*Cent. 4. col. 556.*) That Optatus, l. 2. cont. Donat. writeth: *It cannot be denied, but that thou knowest in the City of Rome, the Episcopal Chair to have been first bestow'd upon Peter, in which Peter, the Head of all the Apostles, sat, whereupon he is call'd Cephas; in which one Chair Unity should be kept by all, lest the other Apostles should every one defend his own: So that he should be esteem'd a Schismatick and Sinner, who should erect another against that singular See; therefore there is one Chair, &c.*  
They

They also reprehend him (*Cent. 4. col. 1100.*) for that he extollerh overmuch the Chair of Peter, and the Succession of the Roman Bishops. And Dr. Fulk (in his *Retentive*, p. 148.) chargeth Opatius with absurdity, for saying of Peter, He deserv'd to be prefer'd before all the Apostles, and he alone receiv'd the Keys of the Kingdom of Heaven, to be communicated to the rest.

The Centurists (*Cent. 3. col. 85.*) recite and reprove Origen (*Hom. 17. in Lucam*) for calling Peter, the Prince of the Apostles.

Dr. Reynolds (in his *Conference*, p. 485.) citeth St. Dionysius (*de Divinis nominibus*, c. 3.) terming Peter the Chief and most Ancient Top (or Head) of the Apostles.

The Centurists charge St. Hilary that he speaketh incommodiously of Peter, that he lieth under the Building of the Church, and is made the Foundation thereof. In like manner (*Cent. 4. col. 557.*) they affirm, that St. Hierom saith incommodiously of Peter, that our Lord built his Church upon him. Again, they reprove (*Cent. 4. col. 558.*) for the like saying, Nazianzen: And charge Tertullian (*Cent. 3. col. 84.*) That, not without error, he seemeth to think, that the Keys were committed only to Peter, and that the Church was built upon him. And (*ibid.*) they confess, that Cyprian in many Places affirmeth the Church to be founded upon Peter, as *l. 1. ep. 3. l. 4. ep. 9. &c.* And (*ibid. col. 85.*) they charge Origen (*Tract. 5. in Matth.*) to say, Peter by promise deserv'd to be made the Foundation of the Church.

Daneus (*Resp. ad Bellar.*) reproveth the Fathers in general, for that They (saith he) naughtily expounded of the Person of Peter, that saying of Christ (*Matth. 16.*) Thou art Peter, and upon this Rock I will build my Church.

## CHAP. II.

It is confess'd by Protestants, that the Fathers taught the Bishops of Rome to be Successors of St. Peter: And that They claim'd a Primacy even in the First and Purest Ages of the Church.

DR. Bilson (in his *True Diff. part 1. pag. 147.*) confesses most plainly and in general, that The Ancient and Learned Fathers

thers call the Roman Bishop, Peter's Successor. Dr. Reynolds (in his Confer. p. 42.) further grants, that *The Fathers say, Peter was Bishop of Rome*; naming for this, *Jerom, Eusebius, Irenæus*. And Dr. Cooper (Cbron.) calls *Linus, The First Bishop of Rome after Peter*. Bucer (in *prepar. ad Conc.*) says, *We plainly confess, that among the Ancient Fathers, the Roman Church obtain'd Primacy above others, as that which has the Chair of St. Peter, and whose Bishops have almost always been accounted the Successors of Peter*.

And that the Bishops of Rome did even in the first Three hundred Years exercise this Primacy, is likewise acknowledg'd by Protestants. In the Third Age Pope Damasus sat in the Apostolick Chair, and is call'd by Mr. Whitgift (in his Def. p. 345.) a *Virtuous, Learned, and Godly Bishop*; and yet this Pope is charg'd by Mr. Cartwright (in Rep. part 1. pag. 502.) to speak in the Dragon's Voice, when he shames not to write, that the Bishop of Rome's Sentence was above all other to be attended for in a Synod. Mr. Symonds (on the Revel. c. 5. p. 54.) acknowledges, that Damasus wrote to the Councils of Africk, that the Judgment of the Causes of Bishops, and all other Matters of great importance, may not be determin'd but by the Authority of the Apostolick See. The Centurists (Cent. 4. col. 1367.) confess out of Socrates, that Peter Patriarch of Alexandria being expuls'd thence by the Arians, was, upon his Request to Damasus, and by vertue of his Letters, restor'd, and confirm'd in his See of Alexandria. Mr. Bunny likewise owns (in Trial of Pope's Title, p. 117.) that Damasus in his fourth Epistle to Prosper, and the other Bishops of Numidia in Africk, commands them, that in all doubtful Matters they refer themselves to Him, as to the Head, &c.

In this same Age liv'd also Pope Julius, of whom Mr. Cartwright (in 2 Reply, part 1. p. 510.) thus writeth: Julius Bishop of Rome says, it was decreed by the Laws of the Church, and immediately after the Nicene Council, That the Bishop of Rome must be call'd to the Synod, and that that was void which was done there besides his Sentence. The Centurists relate, That whereas the Arians had expell'd Athanasius Bishop of Alexandria, and other Catholick Bishops of the Eastern Church from their Sees, Julius commanded the Arians to come to Rome, and appointed also a Day to Athanasius; where having heard their Cause, He restor'd every one of these (injur'd Bishops) to his own Place: and this not by entreaty, or as Umpire, but, as the Centurists confess, (Cent. 4. col. 550.)

col. 550.) by *Prerogative of the Roman See*. All which is extant in *Athanasius's Second Apology*, alledg'd likewise by the *Centurists*. Neither was this the Private Opinion of some Popes, but the receiv'd Doctrin of other Bishops and Fathers; inso-much that the Council of *Sardis* (stil'd by Mr. Bell, *The Famous and Ancient Council of Sardis*) consisting of Three hundred Bishops, many of which had been Members of the First General Council of *Nice*, decreed Appeals to the Bishop of Rome, as is confess'd by the *Centurists* and *Osiander*, who both recite the Canon of the said Council: and *Osiander* giving the Reason hereof, says, (*Cent. 4. p. 294.*) *It was the ancient, common, and receiv'd Error, that Peter was the first Bishop of Rome; therefore this Honour was thought due to the Successor of Peter, according to the common Opinion.*

In the Second Age it is confess'd (*Cent. 3. col. 168*) that Pope *Stephen* did in this Age threaten Excommunication to *Helenus* and *Firmilianus*, and all throughout *Cilicia* and *Cappadocia* (of the Eastern Church) for rebaptising Hereticks. The same *Centurists* own (*Cent. c. 7. col. 168.*) that *Dionysius Bishop of Rome*, through the false Accusation of some, excommunicated *Dionysius Bishop of Alexandria*; but *Dionysius of Alexandria* made his Apology, and refused the Errors falsely objected unto him, as *Athanasius* reports. They likewise reprove *St. Cyprian* for calling *Peter's Chair*, the Principal Church from whence Priestly Unity ariseth. Mr. *Trig* thus reprehends *St. Cyprian* (upon *Jude*; p. 285.) *Cyprian gives more Privileges to the Roman Church; he calls it the Chief Church, from whence Priestly Unity began, &c. and to which Infidelity cannot have access.* Upon which he makes this Inference: *Here we may note, what Certainty it is, to build our Faith on the Fathers.* The *Centurists* again charge the same Father, for teaching (as they will have it) without any Foundation of Scripture, That the Roman Church ought to be acknowledg'd of all other for the Mother and Root of the Catholick Church.

In the First Age after the Apostles, Pope *Victor* govern'd the Church, who in Dr. *Whitgift's* Opinion was a Godly Bishop and Martyr, and the Church at that time in great Purity: yet of Him says Dr. *Whitaker*, with Dr. *Fulk*, *The first that exercis'd jurisdiction upon Foreign Bishops, was Victor*; inso-much that he Excommunicated the Bishops of *Asia*, for not observing the Feast of *Easter-day* according to the Use of the *Latin Church*: And in this



this Dr. Fulk charges him, that He passed the Bounds of his Authority. The Centurists (Cent. 2. c. 7. col. 159.) record, That *Anacletus*, in the Epistles which bear his Name, in the general Regiment of Churches, so joyns them together, that to the Roman Church he attributes Primacy and Excellency of Power over all Churches, and over the whole Flock of the Christian People, and that by the Authority of Christ saying to Peter, Thou art Peter, and upon this Rock I will build my Church, &c. The Bishop of Rome is placed First, as the Supreme Head of the Church; who tho' he err, yet will be not have him to be judg'd of others, &c. He likewise there prescribes, that If greater Difficulties arise, or Causes fall out among the Bishops and Primates themselves, let them be brought to the See Apostolick, if such Appeal be made: for so the Apostles ordain'd by the appointment of our Saviour, that the greater and harder Questions should always be brought to the Apostolick See, upon which Christ built his Universal Church. In like manner they say of *Xystus* Pope, That in his Second Epistle he nameth himself the Bishop of the Universal Apostolick Church; and willet others to appeal to the Apostolick See, as to the Head. And *Irenæus*, who, according to *Hamelmanus*, might yet remember the Apostles own lively Preaching, affirming, that It is necessary that all Churches do accord to the Roman Church, in regard of a more powerful Principality, is charg'd for the same by the Centurists, with a corrupt Saying, concerning the Primacy of the Roman Church. *Papias* too, who liv'd in the Apostles time, and, as Dr. Fulk confesses, was Scholar to St. John, is thus charg'd by Mr. Middleton, (*Papistomast.* p. 200.) *Papias*, says he, was the first Father and Founder of Traditions, and Peter's Primacy, or Romish Episcopality. And Dr. Whitaker blushes not yet farther to assert, That the Mystery of Iniquity did work in the See of Rome in Peter's time, and did shew it self in *Anicetus*, *Victor*, *Cornelius*, *Sozimus*, *Bonifacius*, *Celestinus*.

## CHAP. III.

*It is acknowledg'd by Protestants, That the Fathers of the Primitive Church taught the Doctrine of Traditions.*

**M**R. Cartwright (in *Whit. Def.* p. 103.) saith, To allow Austin's Saying (concerning Tradition) is to bring in Popery again. And, If St. Austin's Judgment be a good Judgment, then there be some things commanded of God, which are not in the Scriptures, and thereupon no sufficient Doctrine contain'd in the Scriptures. Dr. Reynolds and Dr. Whitaker do own St. Chrysostom (in 2 *Thess. Hom.* 4.) Epiphanius, and Eusebius, to have held the Doctrine of Tradition. Chemnitius (*Exam. part 1. p. 87.*) reproveth for their like Testimony of unwritten Tradition, Clement Alexandrinus, Origen, Epiphanius, Ambrose, Hierom, Maximus, Theophilus, Basil. Mr. Fulk (against *Purg.* p. 303.) confesseth as much of Chrysostom, Tertullian, Cyprian, Augustin, and Hierom. Schrederus (*Opus. Theol.* p. 72.) acknowledgeth, that Origen, and Basil in his Book of the Holy Ghost, and Hierom against the Luciferians, do relate many Customs, which they call Doctrines receiv'd by Tradition without Writing; as, Threefold Immersion in Baptism, Prayer towards the East, the Words of Invocation when the Bread of the Eucharist is shew'd, &c. Prohibition to fast on Sundays, &c. Sacrifices for the Dead.

Dr. Whitaker reproveth for the like Doctrine of Traditions (*de Sacra Script.* p. 678.) Chrysostom, Epiphanius, Tertullian, Cyprian, Augustin, Innocentius, Leo, Basil, Eusebius. The Centurists condemn all the Fathers of the Fourth Age one by one, for this Doctrine of Tradition (*Cent. 4. p. 299.*) Chemnitius (*Exam. part 1. p. 87.*) rejecting the Sayings of Origen, concludeth thus; So Origen judgeth that there are Apostolical Traditions. Dr. Fulk (against *Purg.* p. 393.) confesseth, that Tertullian taught Sacrifice and Prayer for the Dead, upon Traditions from the Apostles.

## CHAP. IV.

*Protestants confess, that the Fathers of the Primitive Church believ'd and practis'd the Doctrine of Praying to Saints.*

**C**Hermitius (*Exam. part. 3. p. 211.*) alledgeth St. Austin invoking Saints. Dr. Fulk (in his *Ref. p. 5.*) I confess (saith he) that Ambrose, Austin, Hierom, held Invocation of Saints to be lawful. And that in Nazianzen, Basil, and Chrysostom is mention of Invocation of Saints; and that Theodoret also speaketh of Prayers unto Martyrs. The Centurists (*Cent. 5. c. 6. col. 675.*) charge St. Chrysostom's Liturgy with Invocation of our Blessed Lady by Name. And again (*Cent. 4. col. 295.*) They alledge several Examples of Prayers to Saints, in Athanasius, Basil, Nazianzen, Ambrose, Prudentius, Epiphanius, and Ephrem. Mr. Brightman (*Apocal. in c. 14. p. 382.*) having nam'd Athanasius, Basil, Chrysostom, Nazianzen, Ambrose, Hierom, Austin, &c. reproveth them, as in words condemning Idolatry, but indeed establishing it by Invocation of Saints, worshipping of Reliques, and such like wicked Superstitions, &c. Beza (*Præf. Nov. Test.*) speaking of the Times of Cyprian, Austin, and Chrysostom, acknowledgeth, that then prevail'd Invocation of the Dead. The Centurists (*Cent. 3. col. 84.*) say thus of St. Cyprian: Verily Cyprian, in the end of his First Epistle of his First Book, doth not obscurely think, that Martyrs and Saints departed do pray for the Living. And (*Cent. 3. col. 83.*) they charge Origen for praying, O Holy Job, pray for us Wretches: And for teaching (*Hom. 1. in Ezech.*) That Angels are to be pray'd unto. Dr. Whitgift (in his *Def. p. 473.*) saith, Almost all the Bishops and Learned Writers of the Greek Church, and Latin also, for the most part were spotted with Doctrins of Free-will, of Merit, of Invocation of Saints. Dr. Saravia and Beza (in *Defens. tract. de Divers. p. 346, 349.*) do both affirm, that Aërius was condemn'd by the Fathers, for his then maintaining, That the Saints departed are not to be pray'd to.

## CHAP. V.

*'Tis acknowledg'd by Protestants, That the Fathers of the Primitive Church did honor the Reliques of Martyrs.*

**C**hemnitius (*Exam. part 4. p. 10.*) acknowledges, that the Christians in Constantine's time made Pilgrimages to such Places, where they heard were Reliques famous and renown'd with Miracles. Dr. Beard (in his *Retrac. p. 197.*) affirmeth, that in former times, &c. they plac'd the Reliques of Saints under the Altar, as Ambrose (whom he calleth this good Father) witnesseth of the Reliques of Gervasius and Protasius; Christ was upon the Altar, who suffer'd for all; the Reliques of them under the Altar, who were redeem'd with his Blood. St. Hierom (*contra Vigilant.*) assures us, that the Emperor Constantine translated the holy Reliques of Andrew, Luke, and Timothy to Constantinople, at which the Devils roar. Bullinger (*de Orig. Err. p. 67.*) disliking the same, affirmeth, that Jerom is overful in that he saith, that Devils roar at the holy Reliques of Andrew. Oslander (*Epit. Cent. 4. p. 506.*) reprovveth St. Jerom, for that, says he, St. Jerom did foolishly contend, that the Reliques of Saints were to be worshipp'd.

Chemnitius confesses (*Exam. part 4. p. 10.*) That Mention is made in Augustin, that a blind Woman receiv'd Sight at the Translation of the Reliques of Stephen. The Centurists likewise acknowledge, (*Cent. 4. col. 457.*) that If we believe Ambrose, the Sick were cur'd by touching with the Hand the Garments of Saints, and the Obsessed were deliver'd. And, I do not think those Miracles vain (says Dr. Whitaker, *Con. Dur. l. 10. p. 866.*) which are reported to be done at the Monuments of Martyrs. Chemnitius confesses (*Exam. part 4. p. 7.*) that Jerom writeth, that the Hereticks Cajani were condemn'd by Tertullian, for that they would not honor the Reliques of Martyrs. Mr. Fox reports out of St. Chrysostom and Theodoret, That after the bringing of the Body of Babylas (Martyr) into the Temple (of an Idol), the Idol ceas'd to give any more Oracles, saying, that for the Body of Babylas he could give no more Answers. This same Relation of Babylas, Dr. Hicks has more at large in his *Jovian, p. 128.* which we will here add.

Julian's

*Julian's* elder Brother, *Gallus Cæsar*, while he was at *Antioch*, being very much griev'd to see the pleasant Place of *Daphne* so polluted with Idolatry and Gentile Superstition, was resolv'd to Cleanse it, and Hallow it in this manner : He thought, if *Sozom.l.6* he built an Oratory over against *Apollo's* Temple, and plac'd c. 19. the Body of *Babylas* the Martyr in it, it would bring the Christians from the Town to worship there, and so the Temple of *Apollo* would soon grow unfrequented. Accordingly it hapned : For from the time the Martyrs Bones were put there, the *Dæmon* grew dumb, and so continued till *Julian* came to *Antioch*, where he found the Temple of his God neglected, and desolate ; and when they told him, that the God had not spoke of a long time, he pretended it was because he had been so neglected ; and therefore, to make him ample amends, he offer'd him Sacrifices in such excessive Numbers, that the Heathens call'd him *Bull-burner*, as well as the Christians, and censur'd him for his Extravagance and Superstition in that Point. Yet for all this *Apollo* stood mute, till *Julian* again and again begging him to tell him how he should succeed in his *Persian* Expedition, he at length answer'd, That he was hinder'd from delivering Oracles, by the dead Bodies which were burid so near unto him. *Julian* by this perceiv'd, that he meant especially the Body of *Babylas*, though some other Martyrs were buried there about him ; and therefore he forthwith made the Christians remove them all, as *Theodoret* saith ; but *Socratas*, *Sozomen*, and *Chrysostom* say, that they only remov'd the Coffin of *Babylas*, and as they carried it in Solemn Procession into the City, the *Præcentors* sang *Psalms*, and the *Chorus*, made up of Men, Women, and Children, at every Pause sung the Seventh Verse of the Seventy ninth Psalm.

## CHAP. VI.

*It is granted by Protestants, That the Doctrin of Purgatory, Prayer and Sacrifice for the Dead, was taught and practis'd by the Ancient Fathers.*

**B**ullinger having perus'd divers Places of St. Austin's Writings concerning Prayer for the Dead, confesses (*de Orig. Err. fol. 223*) That not in one, but in many Places, Augustin makes mention of Sacrifice for the Dead, &c. In *Enchyrid. c. 109*. For it is not to be denied (says he) but that the Souls of the Dead are reliev'd by the Piety of their Living Friends, when the Sacrifice of the Mediator is offer'd for them, &c. Dr. Willet affirms (*Tetrast. part 3. p. 97.*) That divers of the Ancient Fathers did incline too much to maintain and commend Prayer for the Dead; with which Error (says he) St. Austin seems somewhat to be infected. Dr. Fulk confesses (*Consut. of Purg. p. 110.*) That Austin *de Civit. Dei, l. 21. c. 13.* concludeth very clearly, that some suffer Temporal Pains after this Life, this may not be denied: yea, he boldly avouches, That Austin blindly defended Prayer for the Dead. I do not deny, says Calvin, (*de Rat. Ref. Eccl.*) these Prayers (for the Dead) to have been receiv'd by St. Chrysostom, Epiphanius, St. Austin, and such others, because they had them from their Predecessors, &c. But these good Men whom I have nam'd, with overmuch Credulity, without Discretion and Judgment, follow'd that which within short time had gotten Authority

Dr. Fulk not only reprehendeth (*Consut. Purg. p. 320, &c.*) Ambrose, Chrysostom, and Austin, for allowing Prayer for the Dead, but withal admits, that it was the common Error of their Times. And speaking of Constantine the Great, who liv'd somewhat before these Fathers, he affirms, That in the Burial of Constantine there is mention of Prayer for his Soul, according to the Error of his Time. In like manner the Centurists observe (*Cent. 4. col. 454.*) That a great Multitude of People pour'd out their Prayers with Tears, for the Soul of the Emperor. And for the Doctrin of Purgatory, they accuse Lactantius, Prudentius, and St. Hierom. For which Doctrin Dr. Beard likewise reproves Athanasius, Basil, Gregory Nazianzen.

Mr. Gifford



Mr. Gifford grants (in *Demon.* against the Brownists, p. 38.) In the Publick Worship, to pray for the Souls of the Dead, and to offer Oblation for the Dead, was general in the Church, long before the Days of Austin; as appears (says he) in Cyprian and Tertullian, who were before him, and nearer to the Times of the Apostles. Joannes Winkolmannus confesses (in *Apoc.* p. 206.) that Origen decreed a Purgatory, wherein after this Life some Sins are purg'd. The Centurists say thus, (*Cent.* 3. col. 87.) Thou maist see some Seeds of Purgatory spread abroad in some Places of Origen: and produce several Places out of Origen, in which he establishes Purgatory; acknowledging, that in his Book *de Princip.* he decrees Purgatory to be the Punishment of Sins.

Chemnitius grants, that in the Writings of Dionysius the Areopagite, who was converted by the Apostles, mention is made of Prayer for the Dead in the Church. The like is acknowledg'd by Melancthon: And Dr. Fulk in plain Words teaches (against *Purg.* p. 353.) that Tertullian, Cyprian, Austin, Jerom, and a great many more, do witness, that Sacrifice for the Dead is the Tradition of the Apostles; to which Bucer agrees, affirming, That Prayer and Alms were made for the Dead, almost from the very beginning of the Church. Lastly, Zuinglius being impugned for denying Prayer for the Dead, and press'd with the Authority of Fathers, who derive this Custom from the Apostles; His Answer is thus, (*Tom.* 1. *Epich. Decan. Miss.* f. 186.) If it be so as Augustin and Chrysostom report, I think that the Apostles suffer'd certain to pray for the Dead, for no other cause, than to condescend to their Infirmary.

## CHAP. VII.

*Protestants own, That the Fathers of the Primitive Church believ'd and taught the Real Presence of Christ in the Sacrament, as also the Doctrin of Transubstantiation.*

DR. Humprey (*Jesuit.* part 2. rat. 5. p. 627.) speaking of St. Gregory and St. Augustin, puts this Question; What Gregory and Augustin brought into the (English) Church? To which he answers; They brought Transubstantiation; with many other Catholick Points, by him there recited. The Centurists speaking

speaking of St. Chrysostom (Cent. 5. col. 517.) confess, that *He seemeth to teach Transubstantiation*. They likewise affirm, that Eusebius Emiffenus *did speak unprofitably of Transubstantiation*. And many Protestant Writers do highly reprove the Books of Sacraments written by St. Ambrose, for affirming the Opinion of Christ's Bodily Presence in the Sacrament: insomuch that the Centurists (Cent. 4. c. 4. col. 295.) charge St. Ambrose, for not writing well of Transubstantiation, and Application for the Dead. For the same Reason Peter Martyr dislikes the Judgment of St. Cyril: And (in ap. Conf. August. fol. 128.) further annexes; *I will not so easily subscribe to Cyril, who affirmeth such a Communion, as thereby even the Substance of the Flesh and Blood of Christ, first is joyn'd to the Blessing, (for so be call'd the Holy Bread) &c.*

Ursinus gives this Account (Commonefac. de Cœn. &c. p. 211.) of St. Cyprian: In Cyprian, says he, are many Sayings which seem to affirm Transubstantiation. And whereas St. Cyprian testifies, that *That Bread which our Lord gave to his Disciples, not in shew, but in nature chang'd, by the Omnipotency of the Word is made Flesh*; the Centurists (Cent. 3. col. 247.) say hereof, Cyprian in his Sermon de Cœna Domini thinks, that in the Supper there is the true Body and Blood of Christ. The same they affirm of Tertullian and Origen. And it is acknowledg'd by many Protestant Writers, that in the time of Tertullian, Cyprian, and Origen, Christians were accus'd for killing Infants, and eating Men's Flesh: Which Calumny thence arose (says Osiander) in that Christians believ'd and confess'd, that in the Sacred Supper of our Lord, the Body of Christ was eaten, and his Blood drunk.

Moreover St. Ignatius, who, by the confession of Mr. Whitgift, was St. John's Scholar, and liv'd in Christ's time, did affirm of some Hereticks of his time (as is acknowledg'd by several Protestants) *They do not admit, says he, Eucharists and Oblations, because they do not confess the Eucharist to be the Flesh of our Saviour Jesus Christ, which Flesh suffer'd for our Sins*. 'Tis accordingly confess'd by Adamus Francisci (Marg. Theol. p. 256.) that Transubstantiation entred early into the Church: And Antony de Adamo (Anatom. Miss. p. 36.) freely owns, that he hath not yet hitherto been able to know, when this Opinion of the Real and Bodily Being of Christ in the Sacrament did begin. Melancthon (for his suppos'd worth in Learning styl'd by Lavatherus the Phoenix of his Age) thus writes upon this Subject, (L. 3. Ep. Zuing. & Oecol. f. 132.)  
There

*There is no care, says he, that has more troubled my Mind, than this of the Eucharist: and not only my self have weigh'd what might be said on either side; but I have also sought out the Judgment of the old Writers touching the same: and when I have laid all together, I find no good Reason, that may satisfy a Conscience, departing from the PROPRIETY of Christ's Words, THIS IS MY BODY.*

*Chemnitius (Exam. part 2. p. 92.) alledgeth several Sayings of Austin, Ambrose, and Nazianzen, in which they assert the Adoration of the Sacrament. St. Ambrose (in Orat. Præp. ad Miss.) is so plain in this Point, that the Centurists (Cent. 4. col. 437.) do affirm of those Prayers of St. Ambrose, that They contain the Adoration of the Bread in the Sacrament. The same is acknowledg'd by Mr. Parkins and Crispinus: And yet those Prayers are own'd for the Writings of St. Ambrose by Dr. Bilson.*

## CHAP. VIII.

*Protestants confess, that the Primitive Church taught and practis'd the Sacrifice of the Mass.*

**O***siander (Cent. 4. p. 16.) says of the Seventy ninth Canon of the Fourth Council of Carthage (in which St. Austin was present) This Canon, if it be not forg'd, shew'd at that time Prayers and Sacrifices to be made for the Dead. Before this Council was St. Ambrose, whom the Centurists charge thus; He useth Speeches which none of the Fathers before him us'd, as to say Mass, to offer Sacrifice, &c. Before him liv'd Gregory Nyssen, of whom Crastovius, writing against Bellarmin, says; Dost he not know, that the Opinion of Nyssen is of it self absurd? &c. For Nyssen saith, When therefore Christ gave to his Disciples his Body to eat, &c. then hiddenly, unspeakably, and invisibly his Body was sacrific'd, &c.*

*Before him was Cyril of Jerusalem, of whom Hospinian reports (Hist. Sac. p. 167.) saying, As concerning Cyril of Jerusalem, he saith indeed, according to the receiv'd Custom of his time, that the Sacrifice of the Altar is the greatest Help of Souls. Before these Times liv'd St. Cyprian, whom the Centurists reprove (Cent. 3. c. 4. col. 83.) saying, Cyprian says, the Priest exercises the Office of Christ, and Sacrifice is offer'd to God the Father. And further*

D d

they

they say, Cyprian affirms superstitiously, that the Priest exercises the Office of Christ, in the Supper of the Lord. Tertullian is likewise charg'd by Osiander and Dr. Fulk, for that He approved Sacrifice for the Dead. Origen is reprov'd by Chemnitius (Exam. part 3. p. 50.) for teaching, that It is certain that the Daily Sacrifice is bindred to them who serve the Necessities of Wedlock; whereupon it seems to me, that he only is to offer the Daily Sacrifice, who has vow'd himself to daily and perpetual Chastity.

Before these liv'd Irenæus, who is rejected by Calvin, for that He expounds the Place of Malachy (Chap. I. v. 10, 11.) of the Sacrifice of the Mass. And the Centurists affirm, that He seems to speak very incommodiously of Sacrifice, when he says, (Christ) taught a New Sacrifice of the New Testament, which the Church receiving from the Apostles, offers to God over the whole World. And Szegedin undertaking to set down the Framers of the Mass, begins with the Fathers from the Apostles Times, and the Councils of the Primitive Church, saying, (in Spec. Pont. p. 68.) The Framers of the Papistical Mass were Clemens, Anacletus, Alexander, &c. and the Councils of Bishops for the Papistical Mass, were the Councils of Ephesus, Antioch, the Second of Carthage, of Constantinople, of Arles, &c.

Before all these liv'd St. Ignatius, of whom the Centurists say, Certain doubtful and incommodious Speeches occur in some (Fathers :) as in the Epistle of St. Ignatius ad Smyrnenfes, Ignatius says, It is not lawful without a Bishop to Offer or Sacrifice: which Words they censure as dangerous, and the Seed of Error. But Mr. Beacon confesses (Reliq. of Rome, f. 344.) that The Mass was begotten, conceiv'd, and born anon after the Apostles times, if all be true that Historiographers write. In like manner Sebastianus Francus (Ep. de Abrog. Stat. Ec.) owns, that Presently after the Apostles, all things were turn'd upside down, &c. The Supper of the Lord was turn'd into a Sacrifice. Calvin upon this Subject speaks thus of the Primitive Fathers, (Instit. l. 4. c. 18. sect. 11.) I see those old (Fathers) to have detorted this Memory, otherwise than was agreeable to the Institution of our Lord; in that their Supper carried the face of I know not what reiterated, or at least renew'd Sacrifice, &c. For they more nearly imitated the Jewish manner of Sacrificing, than either Christ had ordain'd, or the Rule of the Gospel permitted. And Dr. Field (of the Church, l. 3. a. 19, p. 107.) thus speaks upon this Point: The Fathers, says he, to shew that Christian Religion is

not without Sacrifice, and that of a more excellent nature than theirs (of the Jews) were; did much urge, that Christ once offer'd for the Sins of the World upon the Altar of his Cross, is daily in Mystery offer'd, slain, and his Blood pour'd out on the holy Table: And, that This Sacrifice of Christ, slain for the Sins of the World, thus continually represented, and living in our Memories, is the Sacrifice of Christians. Calvin agen speaking of Catholick Writers (l. de Ver. Eccl. Ref. p. 389.) When therefore, says he, they object to us the Place of Malachy, to be expounded by Irenæus of the Sacrifice of the Mass, the Sacrifice of Melchisedech to be so handled by Athanasius, Ambrose, Augustin, Arnobius; let it be briefly answer'd, The self-same Writers elsewhere also do expound Bread to be the Body of Christ; but so ridiculously, that Reason and Truth compel us to dissent from them.

## CHAP. IX.

'Tis confess'd by Protestants, That the Fathers of the Primitive Church did use the Sign of the Cross, and honour it.

Eusebius relates from the Mouth of Constantine himself, who confirm'd the same with Oath, that The Sign of the Cross appear'd to him in the Afternoon, in great Light above the Sun, and a Writing therein, with these Words, In hoc vinces, In this (Sign) thou shalt overcome. The same History is reported out of Eusebius, by Osiander, Mr. Fox, Mr. Gualter, and Mr. Trig, all Protestant Writers. And agen, Sozomene and Eusebius relating, that In what part of the Army the Standard of the Cross (made by Constantine) was seen, there the Enemies fled, and the Conquerors pursu'd; which the Emperor perceiving, when he saw any part of his Army giving way, there he commanded the Standard to be plac'd, as a certain Help for the obtaining the Victory, &c. All this is acknowledged by Mr. Brightman and Hospinian.

The Centurists say of Ephrem, That he attributeth over-much to the Sign of the Cross: and Dr. Fulk (against Heskius, p. 657.) affirms, that Rufinus and Cyril had a superstitious Estimation of the Sign of the Cross. And the Centurists (Cent. 4. col. 459.) charge them for that At every foot they sign'd their Forehead with the Sign

of the Cross : which *Signing* was so ordinary in those times, that *Osiander* acknowledges (*Cent. 4. p. 326.*) that *Julian the Apostate* being affrighted, out of his Custom, which he had being a Christian, made the Sign of the Cross upon his Forehead, whereat the Devils suddenly vanish'd away. And *Mr. Burges* (in *Covel's Ans. to Burg. p. 130.*) discoursing of the Fathers Opinion of the Cross (with exception only to the Point of Adoration) affirms, that There is nothing ascrib'd to the Cross in or out of Baptism, by the rankest Papist, but the Fathers are as deeply engag'd in the same ; so as if we will use it as the Fathers did, &c. we take the Soul to be fenc'd by Crossing of the Body, and the Cross to have vertue of Consecrating the Sacrament, driving away Devils, Witchcraft, &c. And in proof of this, he alledgeth divers Fathers in his Margin. The Puritans (in *Treat. of Sign of Cross, p. 21.*) confess, that The Fathers deliver'd to us the Sign of the Cross, with an Opinion of Vertue and Efficacy, not only in the Act of Blessing our selves, and in the expelling of Devils, but even in the Consecration of the most Blessed Sacraments. To which purpose they there alledge the special Sayings of *St. Austin*, *St. Jerom*, *St. Cyprian*, *Lactantius*, and *Tertullian*. And *St. Austin* is also reprehended by *Mr. Parker* (against *Symbol. part 1. p. 133.*) for his Opinion of the Cross.

*Dr. Covel* speaking of the Ancient Times (*Ans. to Burg. p. 138.*) affirms, that No man can deny, but that God after the Death of his Son, manifested his Power to the amazement of the World, in this contemptible Sign, as being the Instrument of many Miracles. *Peter Mariyr* says, (*Com. Plac. part 2. c. 5. p. 349.*) I deny not, but certain Miracles have sometimes been wrought by the Sign of the Cross, as *St. Austin* reports, *l. 2. de Civit. Dei, c. 28.* *Dr. Beard* speaking of the Cross (*Ret. from Rom. Rel. p. 240.*) We confess, says he, that there was a holy and commendable Use of the transient Sign of the Cross in the Primitive Church ; to wit, as a Badge of Christian Profession, to signify that they were not asham'd of their Crucified God, which the Heathen and wicked Jews us'd to cast in their Teeth : and so of the permanent Cross erected in Publick Places, to be as it were a Trophy and Monument of the Exaltation of Him that died on the Cross. The Centurists confess (*Cent. 3. col. 121.*) that *Tertullian* seems to tell, that Christians had the Image of the Cross, as well in the Places of their Publick Assemblies, as in their Private Houses. And *Schultetus* alledges (*Med. Theol. p. 229. sect. 7.*) *Tertullian* saying, At every going forward, coming



in or going forth, we make the Sign of the Cross upon the Forehead. And to include many in one; whereas it is confess'd (*Treat. Cat. Trad. p. 215.*) that the Grecians and Abyssins do bow their Heads before the Cross; a Protestant Writer says hereof, *It seems that this is the greatest Accusation which Protestants have against the Churches of the East and Africa; nevertheless, &c. the ancient Doctors, which destroy'd the Pagans Idolatry, have approv'd it, their Devotion did lead them to it, &c.*

## CHAP. X.

*Protestants acknowledge, That the Primitive Church allow'd the Use of Christ's Image, and his Saints, in their Churches, and reverenc'd them.*

**O**siander affirms, (*Cent. 6. p. 289.*) that Austin (sent by Gregory into England) thrust upon the English Churches the Roman Rites and Customs, to wit, Altars, Vestments, Images, &c. Mr. Bale confesses, that St. Leo allow'd the worshipping of Images. Mr. Symonds says (upon Rev. p. 57.) Leo decreed that Reverence should be given to Images, &c. And St. Chrysostom is charg'd by Mr. Parkins and Dr. Fulk with worshipping of Christ's Image. The same Dr. Fulk acknowledges, (*against Hesk. p. 672.*) that Paulinus caus'd Images to be painted on Church-walls. And of the Use of Images in Churches in those ancient Times, several Examples are given by Mr. Parker, the Centurists, and Chemnitius, from the several Testimonies of Sozomene, Athanasius, Prudentius, and others.

But Lactantius, who was yet more ancient, is reprov'd by the Centurists, for that, say they, *He affirms many superstitious things concerning the Efficacy of Christ's Image: Which Centurists also (Cent. 4. col. 408.) do make report of that strange Miracle recorded at large by St. Athanasius, of a certain holy Image of Christ, left in a House where a Christian had inhabited; which the Jews finding, in derision spit upon it, buffeted it with their Hands, nail'd the Hands and Feet upon a Cross, &c. and at last pierced the Right-side thereof with a Lance, from whence forthwith issued out Water and Blood, &c.*

*Functus.*

*Functius* confesses (l. 7. *Comm. in Chron. f. 6.*) that Anno 404. *Xenaias* was the first in the Church that stirr'd up War against Images. Indeed, says Dr. Beard (*Ret. from Ro. Rel. p. 401.*) we confess, that there was in these Primitive Times of the Church an Historical Use of Images, as may appear by that Statue of our Saviour at *Cæsarea*, mention'd by *Eusebius*; and the Pictures of *Peter* and *Paul* in the same Author; and of the good Shepherd seeking the lost Sheep, painted upon the Chalice in *Tertullian*. Another Protestant discoursing of the Religion taught and profess'd publicly by the *Grecians* and *Abyssins*, reciting amongst the rest, their having Pictures in their Churches, and inclining and bowing before the Images of Saints, doth from thence conclude in these words: It seems that this is the greatest Accusation which Protestants have against the Churches of the East and Africa; nevertheless, &c. the Ancient Doctors, which destroy'd the Pagans Idolatry, have approv'd it, their Devotion did lead them unto it, &c.

Many more Testimonies of Protestants, upon all these particular Heads, may be seen more at large, with their exact Quotations, in *The Protestant Apology for Catholicks*, by Mr. *Brerely*; and in another Book entitled, *The Progeny of Catholicks and Protestants*: to which I refer the Reader.

Thus far is confess'd by Eminent Protestants: not that they approve the Doctrins; but in condemning the *Fathers* for holding them, they give a very pregnant Proof, that they believe the *Fathers* to have held them. So that whatever Testimonies are produc'd out of the same *Fathers*, in behalf of Contrary Opinions, they are to be look'd on as no more than Objections, such as may be found in any other Writings (even the Scriptures not excepted) seemingly contrary to the Chief Design of the Author; just enough to hold on a Dispute, and keep alive the Pleasure of Wrangling. And thus I hope the Principal Difficulty is by this time pretty well over, which I look upon as of no small Concern in relation to our present Differences in Points of Religion; here being by this means a Way open'd for our coming to a better Understanding, and Healing up the Wounds of Divided Christendom.

A Sermon at  
the Visit of  
the Bish. of  
Norw. by  
S. Crispe,  
M. A. p. 5, 6.

For if we take now for a Rule, what was not long since deliver'd in a Sermon, set forth by Authority, preach'd by a Reverend Divine of the Church of England, viz. That if we will arrive

arrive to the True Sense of the Scriptures, we must not follow the Conduct of the PRIVATE SPIRIT, nor rest in our own PRIVATE JUDGMENTS, for the Sense of God's Word: But must take the CONCURRENT SENSE OF THE CATHOLICK CHURCH IN THE FIRST AND PUREST AGES OF IT; which may reasonably be presum'd the most Authentick and Credible Witnesses of the Apostolical Faith and Practice. If we take this (I say) for a Rule, with the Assurance the same Reverend Divine gives, That if we follow it *with due Modesty and Humility*, WE CANNOT MISS OUR WAY, for it will lead *ibid.* us to the BEST CHURCH AND RELIGION IN THE WORLD: We all forthwith meet in One Church and One Religion. For it being now agreed on both by Protestants and Catholics, what the Fathers of the First and Purest Ages of the Church taught and believ'd; and the Method of finding out the True Sense of the Scripture, and the Best Religion in the World, being (as here suppos'd) to take the concurrent Sense of the Church of those Times: We are upon this oblig'd to lay aside all Differences, as to all the Points confessedly own'd by the Primitive Church, and unanimously assent to them, as deliver'd to us by the Fathers, the Best Witnesses of the Belief and Doctrin of the Church of their Times.

And as to this, I think there cannot be much difficulty: For there being nothing in our Supposition necessary for the determining the Points of Scripture under debate, but the Concurrent Sense of the Catholick Church in the First and Purest Ages of it; from whom can we so well learn this (abstracting at present from Tradition) as from those Great and Eminent Men, who were Members of that Church, and committed to Writing what they saw then Believ'd and Practis'd? These speak plainly what was the Faith and Doctrin of those Times, and what they receiv'd from their Predecessors. And now if Others come Ten Ages after, pretending to deliver the Sense of the Church in the First Centuries, what Credit can they deserve, especially as to those Points in which they contradict the whole Current of the Fathers and Historians of those Times? If it be our Concern to know what was the Faith and Practice of the Church in the First Three hundred Years, are not those to be presum'd much more Authentick Witnesses of this, who then liv'd Members of that Church, or receiv'd their Instruction  
and

and Education from them; than Others, who had not a Being till Thirteen hundred Years after? To appeal, me-thinks, from Those that then liv'd, to Others that come so long after, for the Information of what was done then, is not justifiable to unprejudic'd Reason.

Since therefore 'tis agreed on by all Parties, what the *Fathers* of those First Ages taught and believ'd; and These are the *Best Witnesses* of what was the Sense of the Catholick Church in the First and Purest Ages of it: According to the *Rule* now laid down, we are not to rest in our own *Private Judgments* for the Sense of the Scripture, but from Them learn what was the Sense of the Church in the Purest Times: And by this means we shall meet in the One Best Church and Best Religion in the World.

For since for the arriving to Truth, we are to take the Sense of the Primitive Church, whosoever will pretend to know what that Church then believ'd and practis'd, it must either be done by *Oral Tradition*, or by the Writings of *Fathers* and *Historians* then living, or succeeding; (For as for the *Scripture*, it being writ in the beginning of the First Age, it can give no Account of what was done in the Times following.) Now all these lead to One Church: For what the *Fathers* here by common Consent own as the Doctrin of the *Primitive Church*, the very same is deliver'd down to us by an uninterrupted *Tradition*, as the Faith and Doctrin of the same Church.

Upon the whole Matter therefore in issue, when I hear *Modern Teachers*, as Dr. Corvel, Whitgift, Morton, Bunny, Beard, Reynolds, Field, the *Centurists*, &c. unanimously confessing, that in the Ancient and most Learned *Fathers* of the *Primitive Church* they find the Doctrins of Purgatory, Invocation of Saints, the Primacy, Tradition, Real and Corporeal Presence of Christ in the Sacrament, Transubstantiation, &c. and then condemning these same *Fathers* for teaching Errors; for condescending too much to the Infirmary of their Times; for not knowing what they said or Taught, &c. I cannot but make some Reflexion, and in my own Thoughts ask these or the like Questions: If we are to follow the *Primitive Church*, is it not likely the *Ancient Fathers* knew what the Faith and Doctrin of that Church was, better than our *Modern Teachers*? Can I imagine, that Dr. Corvel can give me a better Information of what was done in those First Ages, than St. Augustin:

gustin: that Mr. Bunney and Beard deserve more Credit as to this, than St. Cyprian and St. Chrysostom? Have not I more reason to think, that Field and Morton are mistaken in condemning St. Ambrose and St. Jerom; than that such Eminent Fathers, revered by the Faithful of all Ages, were drawn headlong into Error, as they charge them?

And again: If Anacletus, Xystus, Ignatius, Irenæus, Cyprian, Cyril, Gregory Nyssen, Nazianzen, Basil, Ambrose, Augustin, Jerom, &c. had corrupted the Doctrin of the Apostles, had encourag'd Superstition, had made Innovations, as Moderns now accuse them: is it not likely the Pastors and Governors of the Church then in being would have reprov'd and condemn'd them? Was there not at that time a Church, which in General Councils did examine and anathematize all Innovations, and Erroneous Tenets, contrary to the Doctrin receiv'd from the Apostles? How is it possible then, all others being condemn'd, These should escape without any Censure, if their Doctrins were thus corrupt and erroneous, as they are now represented by Moderns?

I am assur'd by Learned Protestants, that the Tradition of the Church remain'd Clear and Strong, till after the First Four General Councils, the Last of which, being that of Chalcedon (establish'd here in England by Act of Parliament, An. 1 Elizabeth. c. 1.) was not held till the Year after Christ 450. I am assur'd agen by Mr. Fulk (in his *Consut. of Purgat.* p. 373.) that the Church of Rome in the time of Cyprian, Tertullian, Austin, Jerom, as it was founded by the Apostles, so it continu'd in the Doctrin of the Apostles. By Mr. Reynolds too (in his *Confer. with Mr. Hart*, p. 443.) that The Succession of the Roman Bishops was a Proof of the True Faith, in the time of Augustin, Epiphanius, Opatius, &c. And by Calvin (in his *Institut.* l. 4. c. 2. sect. 2.) where he says, that It was a matter out of all doubt, that from the beginning, even until that time (of Tertullian, Origen, Augustin) nothing was chang'd in Doctrin. And agen in his *Institutions* (in French, Printed at Geneva by Conradus Badius, An. 1562.) he says, It was a thing notorious, and without doubt, that after the Apostles Age, until those Times (of Augustin, &c.) no change was made in Doctrin, neither at Rome, nor at other Cities. Having this assurance from leading Protestants, of the Church of Rome continuing in the Doctrin of the Apostles till after the time of Austin, Jerom, &c. how is it possible that s<sup>ome</sup> her present Members,

A Papist  
not misrep.  
by Protest.  
p. 56.

I c

who

who liv'd in the time of this her Purity, should fall into extravagant *Superstitions*, should encourage *Errors*, and spread them both in their Writings and Sermons, and yet still go on without Reproof or Censure? Would not that *Last* of the Four General Councils, assembled at *Chalcedon*, have taken notice of these Doctrins, had they been so corrupt and pernicious, as now reputed by those who cry out against them? Or rather, is it not more reasonable to think, that these Doctrins of *Invocation of Saints*, *Praying for the Faithful departed*, &c. being publicly profess'd and taught, in those times of the Churches Purity, by her Members of greatest repute both for Vertue and Learning, and never in the least condemn'd, were then look'd upon as Doctrins receiv'd from the Apostles: and that 'twas not They knew not what they did, who then taught them; but rather such *Moderns*, who now of late have condemn'd them?

From the result of these and such like Considerations, I cannot but conclude, That, since for the finding out the Truth of Religion, we are to take the Sense of the Primitive Church, as the best Witness of the Apostolical Faith; 'tis much more agreeable to Reason, to be inform'd of this from *St. Austin*, *Jerom*, *Ambrose*, *Epiphanius*, *Nazianzen*, *Basil*, *Chrysostom*, *Athanasius*, *Cyprian*, *Cyril*, *Irenaeus*, &c. than from the *Centurists*, *Beza*, *Osander*, *Chemnitius*, *Corvel*, *Fulk*, *Bale*, *Parkins*, *Fox*, *Beard*, *Trig*, &c. And that when These condemn the *Former* of *Errors*, 'tis most certain They err'd themselves, whilst trusting to their own Reason in the pretended Search of Religion, they gave not that just Deference to *Authority* they were oblig'd. And that 'tis for want of this *Modesty* (as *Mr. Crispe* truly observes) in  
 "Mens Enquiries after Truth, there be Thousands of Errors,  
 "which had never otherwise appear'd to disturb the Peace of  
 "the Church. But when Men, out of Pride or Wantonness,  
 "forsake the Guides of God's Appointment, and heap to them-  
 "selves Teachers, having itching Ears, no wonder that God  
 "should give them over to strong Delusions, that they should  
 "believe a Lie.

Serm. p. 7.

FINIS.



*A Catalogue of Books Printed for Henry Hills, Printer to the King's most Excellent Majesty, for his Household and Chappel, 1686. And are to be Sold next door to his House in Black-fryers.*

**R**eflections upon the Answer to the *Papist Mis-represented*, &c. Directed to the Answerer. *Quarto.*

*Papists* Protesting against *Protestant-Popery*. *Quarto.*

Copies of Two Papers Written by the late King *Charles II.* Together with a Paper Written by the late Ducheſs of *York*. *Quarto.*

The Spirit of Christianity. *Twelves.*

Six Sermons Preach'd before their Majesties by the Reverend Father *Dom. Ph. Ellis*, Monk of the Holy Order of *St. Benedict*, and of the *English* Congregation. Chaplain in Ordinary to His Majesty. I. On the first Sunday in *October* 1685. II. On *November 1.* 1685. III. On the third Sunday in *Advent*, *Decemb. 13.* 1685. IV. On *Newyears-day*, 1685. V. upon the Feast of *St. Francis Sales*, *Jan. 29.* 1685. VI. On the first Wednesday in *Lent*, *Febr. 24.* 1685. *Quarto.* All Publish'd by His Majesty's Command.

An Exposition of the Doctrin of the Catholic Church in Matters of Controversie. By the Right Reverend *James Benigne Bossuet*, Counsellor to the King, Bishop of *Meaux*, formerly of *Condom*, and Preceptor to the *Dauphin*: First Almoner to the *Dauphiness*. Done into *English* with all the former Approbations, and others newly Publish'd in the Ninth and Last Editions of the *French*. *Quarto.*

A Sermon Preach'd before the King and Queen, in their Majesties Chappel at *St. James's*, upon the *Annunciation* of our Blessed Lady, *March 25.* 1686. By *Jo. Beatham*, Doctor of *Sorbon*. *Quarto.*

An Abstract of the *Dauay Catechism*, for the Use of Children and Ignorant People. Now Revis'd, and much Amended. *Twentysours.*

A Pastoral Letter from the Lord Bishop of *Meaux*, to the *New Catholics* of his Diocese, Exhorting them to keep their *Easter*, and giving them Necessary Advertisements against the *False Pastoral Letters* of their *Ministers*. With Reflections upon the *Pretended Persecution*. Translated out of *French*, and Publish'd with Allowance. *Quarto.*

The Answer of the *New Converts* of *France*, to a Pastoral Letter from a *Protestant Minister*. Done out of *French*, and Publish'd with Allowance. *Quarto.*

The Ceremonies for the Healing them that be Diseased with the Kings Evil, used in the time of King *Henry VII.* Publish'd by His Majesty's Command. *Quarto* in *Latin*, *Twelves* in *English*.

A Short Christian Doctrin. Composed by the R. Father *Robert Bellarmine*, of the Society of *Jesus*, and Cardinal; wherein very many faults are mended, which escaped in the former Editions. *Twelves.*

A Vindication of the Bishop of *Condom's* Exposition of the Doctrin of the Catholick Church. In Answer to a Book, Entituled, *An Exposition of the Doctrin of the Church* of *England*, &c. With a Letter from the said Bishop. *Quarto.*

A Sermon Preach'd before the King and Queen, in their Majesties Chappel at *St. James's*, on the Twentieth Sunday after *Pentecost*, *Octob. 25.* 1685. By the Reverend Father *John Perfall*, of the Society of *Jesus*, Professor of Divinity. *Quarto.*

A Sermon Preach'd before the King and Queen, in their Majesties Chappel at *Windsor*, on *Trinity-Sunday*, *May 30.* 1686. By the Reverend Father *John Perfall*, of the Society of *Jesus*, Professor of Divinity. *Quarto.*

A Sermon of the Blessed Sacrament, Preach'd in the Chappel of his Excellency the Spanish Embassador, on Corpus Christi Day, June 3, 1686. By the R. Father James Blake, of the Society of Jesus. Quarto.

A Sermon of St. Peter, Preach'd before Her Majesty the Queen Dowager, in Her Chappel at Somerset-House, on the 29th. of June, 1686. being St. Peter and St. Paul's Day. By Tho. Godden, D. D. Preacher in Ordinary to Her Majesty. Quarto.

The Protestants Plea for a Socinian. Quarto.

An Amicable Accommodation of the Difference between the Representer and the Answerer. In return to his last Reply against The Papist protesting against Protestant-Popery. Quarto.

A Defence of the Papers written by the late King of Blessed Memory, and Dukes of York. Quarto.

The Life of St. Ignatius, Founder of the Society of Jesus. Octavo.

Ordo Baptizandi aliaque Sacramenta administrandi, &c. Twelves.

Historical Collections out of several Grave Protestant Historians, concerning the changes of Religion, and the strange Confusions following from thence, in the Reigns of King Henry the Eighth, King Edward the Sixth, Queen Mary, and Queen Elizabeth. Octavo.

Consensus Vterum: Or the Reasons of Edward Schuter, Minister of Putney, for his Conversion to the Catholic Faith and Communion. Quarto.

A Christian Directory, guiding Men to their Eternal Salvation. Divided into three Books. The first whereof appertaining to Resolution, is only contained in this Volume, divided into two parts, and set forth now again with many Corrections, and Additions. There is added also a method for the use of all, with two Tables. By the R. F. Robert Parsons, Priest of the Society of Jesus. Octavo.

Seek and you shall find: Or a Search into the Grounds of Religion. Together with some Queries in order to a particular Satisfaction upon account of the various Opinions in this present Age. Quarto.

An Exact Description of the City of Buda, with the several Encampments, Approaches and Batteries of the Imperial, Bavarian, and Brandenburg Forces, by whom it was taken, Sept. 21. 1686.

A Manual of Prayers and other Christian Devotions. Publish'd by His Majesty's Command. Twelves.

A Letter written by a Minister, for the Satisfaction of a Person doubting in Religion, shewn to be unsatisfactory. Quarto.

The Catholick Almanack for the Year 1687. Containing both the Roman and English Calendar. An Explanation of the principal Holy-days of the whole Year, with Catalogues of the Popes from St. Peter to this present Innocentius XI. And of the Kings of England, and Archbishops of Canterbury, from the Year 660, to the Reformation. Twelves.

The Catholick Almanack, in a Sheet on one side.







